

AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

(pgp						
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS							
JI activity									
Refer	ence number and title of JI activity	0221: YARA Köping S2 N2O abatement project in Sweden							
Partie	s involved in the JI activity	Sweden Netherlands							
Proje	ct participants	YARA AB N.serve Environmental Services GmbH							
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.							
Туре	of JI activity:	scale 🗆 Ll	JLUCF	□ РоА					
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals									
Monit	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	12/11/2010-31/07/2011							
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals lks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)	95946							
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity	⊠ Yes		No □					
to JI	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A							
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A							
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes									
furthe	e <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of a r relevant requirements defined by the CMP of agraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No								
Verifi	cation opinion								

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 1st periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "YARA KÖPING S2 N2O ABATEMENT PROJECT IN SWEDEN", which applies the project specific approach (using a methodology for baseline setting and monitoring developed in accordance with appendix B of the JI guidelines), AM0034 version 03.4 (with validated deviations) and AM0028 version 04.2 (for monitoring of project emissions).

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also

on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of YARA AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 8 issued on 02/09/2011 and Monitoring plan revision provided in the Monitoring Report version 02 dated 27 January 2012. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 02 dated 27 January 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

	•							
Reporting period: From 12/11/2010 to 31/07/2011								
Emission Reductions (year 2010): 11918	t CO2 equivalents							
Emission Reductions (year 2011): 84028	t CO2 equivalents							
Emission Reductions (total): 95946	t CO2 equivalents.							
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No					
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A							
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that:								
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No					
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	⊠ Yes		□ No					
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)								

improvinform monite the rel	ve th nation oring levar	at revisions to the monitoring plan the accuracy and/or applicability of the collected, compared to the original the plan without changing conformity with the rules and regulations for establishing the plans	□ N/A	⊠ Yes	□ No				
List of documents attached to the verification report form									
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly									
Vei	rifica	tion report							
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable								
⊠	Other relevant documents:								
		Document listing all revisions to the project	t design, if applic	able					
	 Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the origin determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guideline are still met 								
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable:							
	Monitoring plan revision is described in the Monitoring Report version 02, dated 27/01/2012 Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans								
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)							
		Any other documents (please list):							
	Monitoring Report version 02, dated 27/01/2012								
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE									
Operational manager signing for the AIE		Witold Dzugan							
Date and signature			2012-02-08	The					