

AIE REPORT FORM								
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)								
Accre	Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS							
JI activity								
Reference number and title of JI activity			0089 - ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project					
Partie	s involved in the JI activity		Lithuania Netherlands					
Projec	ct participants		ACHEMA AB ECOCOM BG Ltc					
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.						
Type of JI activity: ⊠ large-scale □ small-				LULUCF	□ РоА			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals								
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)			22 March 2012 - 31 December 2012 LINE 1: 22/03/2012- 16/10/2012 LINE 1: 18/10/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 2: 17/07/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 3: 27/07/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 4: 28/08/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 5: 11/07/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 6: 26/04/2012- 22/10/2012 LINE 6: 12/12/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 7: 08/05/2012- 31/12/2012 LINE 8: 17/05/2012- 31/12/2012					
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)			875,279					
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity		□ Yes 🛮 No					
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A					
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified		⊠ N/A					
Арр	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes								
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met: Yes								

Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 6th periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "ACHEMA UKL-7 plant N2O abatement project", located in Lithuania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N2O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v02. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of ACHEMA, AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 5 issued on 07/09/2009. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 2 dated 07/02/2013 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: from 22/03/2012 to 31/12/2013
Emission Reductions: 875,279 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final		⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ N/A			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:				
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No	

		oring plan was revised in the current period	□ Yes		⊠ No				
under e	each t	e specify for which technologies and measures type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised o JI PoA only)							
impro inform monite the re	ve th nation oring levar	et revisions to the monitoring plan the accuracy and/or applicability of the collected, compared to the original the plan without changing conformity with the rules and regulations for establishing the plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
List of documents attached to the verification report form									
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly									
Ve	rifica	tion report							
	Wri	itten approvals by the Parties involved, if	applicable						
	Other relevant documents:								
		Document listing all revisions to the project	t design, if applica	able					
	 Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met 								
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable							
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans								
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)							
	⊠	Any other documents (please list):							
		Monitoring report version 2, dated FebCalculation models access list	oruary 7 th , 2013						
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE									
Operational manager signing for the AIE			Witold Dżugan						
Date and signature			26/02/2013	/					