



## AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

### JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity "Dismantling of waste heap at former enrichment plant "Mikitivska""

Parties involved in the JI activity Ukraine, Latvia

Project participants PE PCF "Altair-2007", SIA "Vidzeme Eko"

Coordinating entity (*applicable to JI PoA only*) Not applicable

Type of JI activity:  large-scale  small-scale  LULUCF  PoA

### Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/02/2008-30/06/2012

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> eq.) 1496951

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity  Yes  No

Applicable to JI PoA only

Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)  N/A

Reference number of JPAs verified  N/A

Sampling approach used to verify the PoA  N/A  Yes  No

### Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please **confirm that all requirements** of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines **are met**:

Yes


No

### Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Verification opinion is provided in the verification report #Ukraine-ver/0594/2012

The **project design was revised** in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final  Yes  No

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:			
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>The <u>monitoring plan</u> was revised in the current monitoring period</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<i>If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i>			
<b>The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>List of documents attached to the verification report form</b>			
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Verification report</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable</b> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Other relevant documents:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u>, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents (please list): ERUs calculation Excel-file "Calculation_MR_Nikitovska.xls"</li> </ul>			
<b>The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE</b>			
<b>Operational manager signing for the AIE</b>	Ivan Sokolov	 Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS	
<b>Date and signature</b>	15/08/2012		