

DETERMINATION REPORT CEP CARBON EMISSIONS PARTNERS S.A.

DETERMINATION OF THE JI PROJECT

Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz"

REPORT NO. UKRAINE-DET/0539/2012

VERSION No. 02

BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION



Date of first issue:	Organizational unit:
11/07/2012	Bureau Veritas Certification
11/0//2012	Holding SAS
Client: CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A.	Fabian Knodel
gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastop located in the city of Sevastopol and the territo criteria for the JI, as well as criteria given to preporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 o subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Co	
document, the project's baseline study, monito the following three phases: i) desk review of th follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; the final determination report and opinion.	ndependent and objective review of the project design oring plan and other relevant documents, and consisted of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of The overall determination, from Contract Review to ed using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.
	is a list of Clarification and Corrective Actions Requests ng into account this output, the project proponent revised
	's opinion that the project correctly applies Guidance on meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and
Report No.: Subject Group: J	
Project title:	
*Reduction of natural gas leaks at the distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz»	
Work is carried out by: Oleg Skoblyk – Team Leader, Climate Change Verifier Dmytro Balyn - technical expert	No distribution without permission from the Client or responsible organisational unit
Work is checked by:	
Ivan Sokolov – Internal Technical Reviewer Vasyl Kobzar - technical expert	Limited distribution
Work is verified by: Ivan Sokolov – Operational Manager	Unrestricted distribution
Date of this revision: Rev. No.: Number of page 70	ies:



Table	of Contents	Page
1	INTRODUCTION	
1.1	Objective	4
1.2 1.3	Scope Determination team	4 4
1.5	Determination team	4
2	METHODOLOGY	
2.1	Review of Documents	5
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	5
2.3	Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests	6
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	7
4	DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS	9
4.1	Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)	10
4.2	Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)	10
4.3	Baseline setting (22-26)	10
4.4	Additionality (27-31)	13
4.5	Project boundary (32-33)	14
4.6	Crediting period (34)	14
4.7	Monitoring plan (35-39)	15
4.8 4.9	Leakage (40-41) Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of ne	21
4.9	removals (42-47)	ر 21
4.10	Environmental impacts (48)	23
4.11	Stakeholder consultation (49)	23
4.12	Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)	23
4.13	Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) projects (58-64)	d 23
4.14	Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)	24
5	SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES)
6	DETERMINATION OPINION	
7	REFERENCES	26
APPE	NDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL	30



DETERMINATION REPORT

1 INTRODUCTION

CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to determine the JI project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» (hereafter called "the project") located in the territory of Sevastopol city and the territories adjoining the city, Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the determination of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

1.1 Objective

The determination serves as project design verification and is a requirement of all projects. The determination is an independent third party assessment of the project design. In particular, the project's baseline, the monitoring plan (MP), and the project's compliance with relevant UNFCCC and host country criteria are determined in order to confirm that the project design, as documented, is sound and reasonable, and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria. Determination is a requirement for all JI projects and is seen as necessary to provide assurance to stakeholders of the quality of the project and its intended generation of emissions reductions units (ERUs).

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

1.2 Scope

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The determination is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the project design.

1.3 Determination team

The determination team consists of the following personnel:

Oleg Skoblyk

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Leader, Climate Change Lead Verifier

Dmytro Balyn

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Member, Technical expert



DETERMINATION REPORT

This determination report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov Bureau Veritas Certification Internal Technical Reviewer

Vasyl Kobzar Bureau Veritas Certification Technical expert

2 METHODOLOGY

The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009.

The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of determination and the results from determining the identified criteria.

The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet;
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determiner will document how a particular requirement has been determined and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol, consisting of two tables, is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

2.1 Review of Documents

The Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form, approved CDM methodology and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

To address Bureau Veritas Certification corrective action and clarification requests, CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. revised the PDD version 01 dated 25/05/2012 and resubmitted the PDD as version 02 dated 08/06/2012 and PDD version 03 dated 28/06/2012.

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD versions 01, 02 and 03.



DETERMINATION REPORT

2.2 Follow-up Interviews

On 10/07/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification Determination team performed (on-site) interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" and CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 Interview topics

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
PJSC	Project history
«Sevastopolgaz»	Project approach
	Project boundary
	Implementation Schedule
	Organizational structure
	Responsibilities and authorities
	Training of personnel
	Quality management procedures and technology
	Modernization /installation of equipment (records)
	Metering equipment control
	Metering record keeping system, database
	Technical documents
	Plan and procedures of monitoring
	Permissions and licenses
	Environmental impact assessment
	Stakeholders' responses
CEP Carbon	Baseline methodology
Emissions Partners	Monitoring plan
S.A.	Proof of additionality
	Emission reduction calculations
	Project design
	Legal issues related to the project
	Environmental impact
	Approval by the Host Party

2.3 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the determination is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the project design.

Corrective Action Request (CAR) is issued, where:

(a) The project participants have made mistakes that will influence the ability of the project activity to achieve real, measurable additional emission reductions;



DETERMINATION REPORT

- (b) The JI requirements have not been met;
- (c) There is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated.

The determination team may also issue Clarification Request (CL), if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable JI requirements have been met.

The determination team may also issue Forward Action Request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue that needs to be reviewed during the verification.

To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the verification protocol in Appendix A.

3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The purpose of the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» is reduction of methane leaks at gas transport and gas distribution infrastructure of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", which are the result of faulty sealing of gas equipment and fittings. The basic sources of leaks are elements of distribution pipelines, included into the project boundary, notably:

- gas equipment (pressure regulators, valves, filters, break switches, etc.), located at gas distribution points (GDPs) and cabinet-type gas distribution points (CGDPs) of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz";
- gas fittings (faucets, valve gates, screw valves, etc.), located at gas pipelines of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz".

The project boundary encompasses 70 GDPs (CGDPs), and 2886 gas fitting units at gas pipelines.

The main reason of methane leaks is failure of sealing elements of equipment caused by temperature fluctuations and moisture. Basic component of natural gas is methane, which is greenhouse gas. Methane content in natural gas is 92-95%. Repair of methane leaks will result in a reduction of greenhouse gas emissions.

PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" is an enterprise that provides transportation and supply of natural gas to industrial enterprises (6), public-service facilities (386) and population (143 503 apartments and individual accommodation units) in Ukraine's Sevastopol and the territories adherent to the city.

The main activities of the company are:

- Transportation of natural gas and oil gas by distribution pipelines;
- Supply of natural gas at regulated tariffs;



DETERMINATION REPORT

- Installation of domestic gas meters;
- Design, installation of gas supply systems;
- Maintenance, repair works.

The structure of existing tariffs for gas transportation regulated by the state does not take into account the amortization and investment needs of gas distribution enterprises. This leads to a lack of financing for repair works and modernization of gas networks, purchase of proper technological equipment and components, and, as a result, contributes to the increase of methane leaks at PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" facilities.

Project activities are aimed at the reduction of methane leaks that occur as a result of faulty sealing of gas equipment of GDPs (CGDPs) and gas fittings of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" gas pipelines.

Within the framework of the JI project in order to repair methane leaks at gas equipment and gas fittings two types of repairs are applied:

- 1. Complete replacement of old gas equipment and gas fittings with new units.
- 2. Replacement of sealing elements with the use of modern sealing materials, changing the common practice of maintenance and repair on the basis of paronite packing and gaskets made of cotton fibers with fatty treatment and asbestic and graphite filler.

The existing practice of maintenance and repair on the basis of paronite packing and gaskets made of cotton fibers with fatty treatment and asbestic and graphite filler does not give a long-lasting effect of methane leak reduction.

As a result of JI project activities, in addition to methane leak reductions, technical losses of natural gas will decrease, a contribution will be made to the improvement of environmental situation, and the risk of accidents and explosions will be reduced.

Project activities will include:

- Implementation of Purposeful Examination and Technical Maintenance (PETM) of GDN components (gas equipment of GDPs (CGDPs) and gas fittings);
- Detection of methane leaks: leak monitoring system at all GDN components (gas equipment of GDPs (CGDPs), gas fittings) that are included in the project boundary and including repaired methane leaks (elements of GDN repaired as part of the project activity);
- Repair of all leaks detected: repair of leaking GDN components within the project boundary will vary from replacement of sealing elements by using new and modern materials to replacement of gas equipment units and gas fittings with new and modern ones.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The project was initiated in March 2005:

In March 2005 an inspection of all PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" GDN components (GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings of gas pipelines) took place. Based on the data obtained, a Registry of leak spots was drawn up.

March 4, 2005 - ORELAC GmBH (Liechtenstein) and PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» signed the Memorandum of Understanding relating to the JI project. The Memorandum also stipulated that ORELAC GmBH had to develop the emission monitoring programme and the JI Project Design Document (PDD).

March 4, 2005 — a JI project Working Team was created at PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" in order to ensure implementation of the JI project monitoring plan.

March 12, 2005 - PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" approves the PDD (version 01), which included the emission monitoring programme.

March 2005 – the start of inspection and repair works at GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings, flanged and threaded joints of gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz».

December 16, 2012 – due to changes in organizational structure, PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" approved a new Working Team line-up.

April 19, 2012 – obtaining of a Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 from the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine.

Determination protocol of the project contains CARs and CLs for PDD versions 01, 02 and 03.

4 DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS

In the following sections, the conclusions of the determination are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow-up visit are described in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A. The determination of the Project resulted in 35 Corrective Action Requests and 8 Clarification Requests.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The number between brackets at the end of each section correspond to the DVM paragraph.

4.1 Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)

The project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» has already obtained endorsement from the government of Ukraine, namely a Letter of Endorsement No. 1029/23/7 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine dated 19/04/2012.

Bureau Veritas Certification received this letter from the project participants and does not doubt its authenticity.

After the Determination Report is complete, the Project Design Documents will be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine to receive a Letter of Approval.

Since the project has not been approved by the Host Party, CAR 18 is pending and will be closed after the report is completed (see Appendix A).

The identified areas of concern as to the project approval by the Parties, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 18).

4.2 Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)

The participation for each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD will be authorized through written Letters of Approval (from the Government of Switzerland, as the country – project participant, and from Ukraine, as the Host Party). Refer to Section 4.1 of this report.

4.3 Baseline setting (22-26)

The proposed project applies a JI specific approach based on the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 and the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks" dated 30/04/2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine to set the baseline. Project participants selected the calculation method for estimation of GHG emission reductions.

The Methodology is based on approved Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities" and takes into account the specifics of methane leak detection and repair activity in Ukraine.



DETERMINATION REPORT

This Methodology is designed for developers of projects aimed at methane leak reduction at technological equipment of gas distribution networks and is applicable to project activities that reduce methane leaks by implementing investment activities, which would not be implemented under the existing company practice, i.e. methane leaks would not be repaired.

The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline is established:

- (a) By listing and describing the following plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one:
 - a. Continuation of the current system of leak detection and repair;
 - b. Implementation of this Project without the application of JI mechanism.
- (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances, such as sectoral reform initiatives, local fuel availability, gas supply sector expansion plans, and the economic situation in the project sector. In this context, the following key factors that affect a baseline are taken into account:
 - a. The role of energy sector is absolute and crucial for Ukraine. Power sector is a political factor of sovereignty in Ukraine. Ukrainian economy is considered to be one of the most energy intensive in the world in terms of the consumption of primary energy per a gross domestic product unit. On March 15, 2006 the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine adopted "Energy Strategy of Ukraine till 2030". The Energy strategy considers exploration of non-traditional and renewable energy sources as a significant factor in increasing the level of energy safety, decrease of energy anthropogenic affect on environment and counteractions against global climate change.
 - b. Most natural gas transportation and supply companies currently working in Ukraine operate of equipment installed back in the Soviet era.
 - c. The current practice of detection and repair of natural gas losses and, correspondingly, methane emissions complies with the current legislation of Ukraine. The legislation permits the loss of natural gas and, correspondingly, methane emissions in the course of natural gas transportation. The standards set only the frequency of inspection of equipment



DETERMINATION REPORT

by gas distribution organizations to detect losses of natural gas. The practice of natural gas loss detection at PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" meets the standards. The control of compliance with norms shall be performed by annual inspections by authorized bodies.

- d. The state support in the sphere of natural gas transportation and supply is available in accordance with funds provided by the State Budget of Ukraine for the corresponding year.
- e. The current Ukrainian system of formation of tariffs for natural gas supply does not include an investment component for gas infrastructure development. According to the Law "On fundamentals of natural gas market functioning" PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" is not obliged and is unmotivated to implement new equipment at its own expense. In addition, state investment programs in most cases are targeted at administrative and organizational implementations.
- f. State support in the feld of gas supply is provided in accordance to the amount of money envisaged by the State Budget of Ukraine for te corresponding year.
- g. The project scenario requires attracting significant additional funds. Such investment is characterized by a significant payback period and high investment risks, that is why it is not attractive for investors.
- h. Ukraine already implements JI projects in the sphere of natural gas transportation and supply "Reduction of methane emissions at flanged, threaded Joints and shut-down devices of OJSC "Kyivgas" equipment", "Reduction of Methane Emissions at Flanged, Threaded Joints and Shut-down Devices of OJSC "Odesagas" Equipment", "Reduction of natural gas emissions at OJSC "Odesagas" gate stations and gas distribution networks") by selling emission reduction units.

The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline is duly established.

The methods of calculation used to determine the expected and actual baseline emissions, are sufficiently described in sections E and D of the PDD, respectively.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The identified areas of concern as to the baseline, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 19 - CAR 27).

4.4 Additionality (27-31)

The most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" approved by the CDM Executive Board was used according to the JI specific approach determined as per paragraph 9 (a) of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", Version 03. All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the selected tool or method.

The PDD provides a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description, as per item 4.3 above.

The developer of the project proved that the amount of project anthropogenic emissions is lower than the emissions that would occur in the absence of project activity.

Additionality proofs are provided.

Two plausible and realistic alternative scenarios of the project were identified:

- Alternative 11.: Continuation of the current system of leak detection and repair;
- Alternative 1.2.: Implementation of this <u>Project</u> without the application of JI mechanism.

and the mandatory compliance of the scenarios with the legislation and legal acts was demonstrated.

According to the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" (Version 06.0.0) barrier analysis and common practice analysis were used in the PDD to justify additionality of the project.

Two realistic and plausible scenarios were determined:

- Continuation of the current leak detection and repair system
- Implementation of the Project without the Joint Implementation mechanism,

and the compliance of these scenarios with mandatory laws and regulations was proven.

Such potential barriers as financial barriers (additional cost on implementation of measures planned by the project, purchase and operation of modern measuring equipment for detection and measuring of methane emissions), organizational barriers (lack of labour and technical resources of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" for implementation and carrying out purposeful examination and technical maintenance of gas equipment) that hinder the implementation of the project scenario without additional income from the project under the joint implementation mechanism, and which in fact will not allow for implementation of any alternative other



DETERMINATION REPORT

than the baseline scenario, were described and grounded properly. There are no barriers to baseline alternative, which is the continuation of the situation before the implementation of project activities.

Thus, the overall conclusion is that the project activity meets the criteria of additionality, is not a baseline scenario and is additional.

Additionality is demonstrated properly, as a result of the analysis using the selected approach.

The identified areas of concern as to the additionality, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 28 – CAR 30).

4.5 Project boundary (32-33)

The project boundary defined in the PDD, which according to the specific approach is outlined by the territory of Sevastopol city and the adjoining territories and includes GDN components included in the JI project boundary on the basis of Agreements on the use of state property that is not subject to privatization No.04/01-848 dated 28/12/2001 and No.14/1073/08 as of 31/12/2008, encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases (GHGs) that are:

- (i) Under the control of the project participants, such as:
 - technological natural gas loses during scheduled repair of gas pipelines;
- (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as:
 - methane leaks at gas fittings of house distribution networks:
- (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, whichever is lower:
- leaks at gas equipment (pressure relief valves, gate valves, filters, etc.) of gas distribution points (cabinet-type gas distribution points);
- methane leaks at gas fittings (faucets, slide valve, etc.), , located at gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz".

Only leaks of type (iii) are included in the project boundary.

The delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included are appropriately described and justified in the PDD

4.6 Crediting period (34)

The PDD states the starting date of the project as the date on which the Memorandum of Understanding relating to the JI project between ORELAC GmBH and PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» was signed, and the starting date is 04/03/2005, which is after the beginning of 2000.

The PDD states the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months, which is 12 years and 10 months, or 154 months, from 04/03/2005 to 31/12/2017.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The PDD states the length of the crediting period in years and months, which is 12 years and 10 months, or 154 months, and its starting date is 12/03/2005, which is the date when the first project activities at gas pipelines of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" were implemented and when the first emission reductions are expected to be generated.

The PDD states that the crediting period for the issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project.

The PDD states that the extension of its crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to the host Party's approval, and the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals are presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in all relevant sections of the PDD.

The identified areas of concern as to the crediting period, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 31, CAR 32).

4.7 Monitoring plan (35-39)

The PDD, in its monitoring plan section, explicitly indicates that the JI specific approach was selected.

The monitoring plan describes all relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored, and the period in which they will be monitored, in particular also all decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance, such as reporting forms, the operational structure and management structure of the enterprise, that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.

The monitoring plan specifies the indicators, constants and variables that are reliable (i.e. provide consistent and accurate values), valid (i.e. are clearly connected with the effect to be measured), and that provide a transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored such as: sequence number of GDN component, Global Warming Potential of methane. Number of (replacement/repair) at GDN component after the presence of leak was determined at such component, average mass fraction of methane in the natural gas, Natural gas leak factor from GDN component in CLS, natural gas leak factor that corresponds to EPNGL for GDN component, time of operation of GDN component under pressure from the beginning of monitoring period "y" to implementation of project activities (repair / replacement) that resulted in the repair of EPNGL at such component. The monitoring plan draws on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"



DETERMINATION REPORT

developed by the JISC, as appropriate, among which: baseline emissions (BE_v), project emissions (PE_v), Global Warming Potential (PE_v).

According to Guidance for users of JI PDD forms, version 04, described approach to monitoring clearly and accurately specifies:

(i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once, and that are available already at the stage of the PDD development:

i	Sequence number of GDN component (GDP (CGDP), gas fittings
	of gas pipeline) included in the project boundary

- (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the stage of PDD development: absent.
- (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period:

h	Number of activity (replacement/repair) at GDN component after the presence of EPNGL was determined at such component				
W_y	Average mass fraction of methane in the natural gas				
K_{ih}^{g}	Natural gas leak factor from GDN component in CLS Natural gas leak factor that corresponds to EPNGL for GDN component				
$K_{i"}^n$					
$H^{g}_{i'hy}$	$H_{i'hy}^{s}$ Time of operation of GDN component under pressure from the beginning of monitoring period "y" to implementation of project activities (repair / replacement) that resulted in the repair of EPNGL at such component				
$H^n_{i"hy}$	$H^n_{i^*hy}$ Time of operation of GDN component under pressure from the moment of implementation of project activities (repair replacement) that resulted in the repair of EPNGL at succomponent to the end of the monitoring period "y"				
$\mathit{GWP}_{\mathit{CH}_4}$	Global Warming Potential of methane				

The monitoring plan describes the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording, such as data archiving by using accounting and statistical software.

The most objective and cumulative factor that provides a clear picture of whether the emission reductions took place is the fact of GDN component replacement. It can be determined by means of the calculation method



DETERMINATION REPORT

that is based on the use of data on natural gas leaks from GDN components that are formed from the standard values of natural gas emissions for each GDN component and data obtained through statistical processing of results of actual measurements of methane leaks before and after activities aimed at leak repair.

The monitoring plan elaborates all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions, such as:

Formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO₂ equivalent):

Greenhouse gas emissions in the project scenario according to a specific approach to Joint Implementation projects (calculations by using the tabular method of the Methodology) are calculated according to the formula:

$$PE_{y} = GWP_{CH_{4}} \cdot ConvFactor \cdot W_{y} \cdot P_{y}$$
(1)

where:

 PE_{y} - greenhouse gas emissions in period y of the project scenario (t CO_2 eq)

 $\textit{GWP}_{\textit{CH}_4}$ - global warming potential for methane (tCO2eq/tCH4)

 $\stackrel{\scriptstyle W}{\stackrel{\scriptstyle y}{}}$ - average mass ratio of methane in natural gas in period y of the project scenario (%)

 P_y – natural gas leaks to the atmosphere in period y of the project sceanrio (m³) ConvFactor - volume to weight conversion factor for methane leaks (t CH₄/m³ CH₄) Under normal conditions - zero degrees Celsium and 0.1013 MPa, ConvFactor =0.0007168 t/m³.

 $[\mathcal{Y}]$ - index corresponding to monitoring period

 $[CH_4]$ - index corresponding to methane

Natural gas emissions to the atmosphere caused by leaks from gas transportation networks are calculated by the following formula:

$$P_{y} = \sum_{h \in H_{i'}} \sum_{i' \in I'} K_{i'h}^{g} \cdot H_{i'hy}^{g} + \sum_{h \in H_{i''}} \sum_{i'' \in I''} K_{i''h}^{g} \cdot H_{i''hy}^{n}$$
(2)



DETERMINATION REPORT

- $K^{s}_{i'h}$ natural gas leakage factor of GDN component i' in CLP (i.e. corresponding to EPNGL) of the project scenario (m³/h)
- $K^{^g}_{^{i"h}}$ natural gas leakage factor corresponding to EPNGL of GDN component i " of the project scenario (m 3 /h)
- $H^{g}_{i'hy}$ time of GDN component operation from the beginning of monitoring period y to the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal (h)
- $H^n_{i"hy}$ time of GDN component operation under the pressure from the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal to the end of monitoring period y (h)
- $[\mathcal{Y}]$ index corresponding to monitoring period
- $\begin{bmatrix}i'\end{bmatrix}$ index corresponding to GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I' (I'+I''=I), where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated no emission reductions (no component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period
- $[i\,"]$ index corresponding to GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I'' (I'+I''=I), where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated emission reductions (component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period
- [h] index corresponding to the number of project activity in GDN component, if more than one activity was carried out at this component in monitoring period (where H is a set embracing all activities in the project scenario at the GDN component in monitoring period)
- [g] index corresponding to SPNGL
- [n] index corresponding to EPNGL

Formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO₂ equivalent):

Greenhouse gas emissions in the baseline scenario according to a JI specific approach (which is calculated by using the tabular method of the Methodology) are calculated according to the formula:

$$BE_{y} = GWP_{CH_{4}} \cdot ConvFactor \cdot W_{y} \cdot B_{y}$$
 (3) where:

 BE_y - GHG emissions in period y of the baseline scenario (t CO_2e)

 $\mathit{GWP}_{\mathit{CH}_4}$ - global warming potential of methane (t CO₂e/t CH₄)



DETERMINATION REPORT

 W_{y} - average methane weight fraction in natural gas in period y of the project scenario (%)

 B_y - natural gas leaks into the atmosphere in period y of the baseline scenario (m³)

ConvFactor - conversion factor to convert methane leaks from volume units to weight units (t CH_4/m^3 CH_4). Under normal conditions defined as 0 degree Celsius and 0.1013 MPa. $ConvFactor = 0.0007168 t/m^3$.

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period

 $[CH_4]$ – - index that corresponds to methane.

Emissions of natural gas in the atmosphere caused by leaks from gas transportation networks are calculated according to the formula:

$$B_{y} = \sum_{i' \in I'} \sum_{h \in H_{i'}} K_{i'h}^{g} \cdot H_{i'hy}^{g} + \sum_{i'' \in I''} \sum_{h \in H_{i''}} K_{i''}^{n} \cdot H_{i''hy}^{n};$$
(4)

 $K_{i'h}^g$ – natural gas leakage factor for GDN component i' that is in CLP (i.e. corresponds to SPNGL) of the baseline scenario (m³/h);

 $K_{i''}^n$ – natural gas leakage factor for GDN component i'' that corresponds to EPNGL of the baseline scenario (m³/h);

 $H_{i'hy}^{g}$ - time of operation of GDN component in CLP under pressure in period y of the baseline scenario (h)

 $H_{i^*hy}^n$ - time of GDN component operation from the implementation of the project activity (repair/replacement) that caused EPNGL removal to the end of monitoring period y (h)

[y] - index corresponding to monitoring period

[i] - index corresponding to GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I'(I'+I''=I), where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated no emission reductions (no component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period

[i"] - index corresponding to GDN component number that belongs to the set of elements I" (I'+I"=I), where I is a set embracing all the GDN components included into the project boundary) where project activity generated emission reductions (component replacement/repair took place) in the reporting monitoring period

[h] - index corresponding to the number of project activity in GDN component, if more than one activity was carried out at this component in monitoring period (where H is a set embracing all activities in the project scenario at the GDN component in monitoring period)

[q] - index that corresponds to SPNGL

[n] - index that corresponds to EPNGL.

Formulae used to estimate leakage (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO₂ equivalent):



DETERMINATION REPORT

According to a JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03, the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks" that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and on the basis of elements of approved CDM methodology AM0023 version 4.0 no leakage is expected.

Formulae used to calculate emission reductions from the project (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of CO_2 equivalent):

Reduction of GHG emissions under the Project in period "y" (ER_y) is calculated by the formula:

$$ER_{y} = BE_{y} - PE_{y} \tag{5}$$

where:

ER_y - Total GHG emission reduction generated by the in period y, t CO₂eq;

 PE_y - Project GHG emissions in period y, t CO₂eq;

BE_y - Baseline GHG emissions in period y, t CO₂eq;

[y] - Monitoring period.

The monitoring plan presents the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, which are sufficiently described in tabular form in sections of the PDD D.2. and D.3. This includes, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept.

The monitoring plan clearly identifies the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities. Collection of all the key parameters required for monitoring and calculation of GHG emission reductions are continuously carried out according to the practice, established at PJSC "Sevastopolgaz". Monitoring of the project does not require any changes in the existing and data collection and accounting system.

On the whole, the monitoring plan reflects good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type.

The monitoring plan provides, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources (e.g. official statistics, expert judgment, proprietary data, IPCC,



DETERMINATION REPORT

commercial and scientific literature etc.) but not including data that are calculated with equations.

The monitoring plan indicates that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project.

The identified areas of concern as to the monitoring plan, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 33; CL 07).

4.8 Leakage (40-41)

The PDD appropriately describes an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explains which sources of leakage are to be calculated, and which can be neglected.

According to a JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03, the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks" that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and on the basis of elements of approved CDM methodology AM0023 version 4.0 no leakage is expected.

4.9 Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)

The PDD indicates assessment of emissions in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario as the approach chosen to estimate the emission reductions or enhancement of net removals generated by the project.

The PDD provides the forecasted estimates of:

- (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary), which are 91 368 tons of CO_2 eq for 2005-2007, 152 280 tons of CO_2 eq for 2008-2012, 152 280 tons of CO_2 eq for 2013-2017;
- (b) Leakage is not expected in the project boundary;
- (c) Emissions or net removals for the baseline scenario (within the project boundary), which are 231 302 tons of CO_2 eq for 2005-2007, 785 308 tons of CO_2 eq for 2008-2012, 818 625 tons of CO_2 eq for 2013-2017;
- (d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage (based on (a)-(c) above), which are 139 934 tons of CO_2 eq for 2005-2007, 633 028 tons of CO_2 eq for 2008-2012, 666 345 tons of CO_2 eq for 2013-2017.

The estimates referred to above are given:

(a) On an annual basis;



DETERMINATION REPORT

- (b) From 12/03/2005 to 31/12/2017, covering the whole crediting period;
- (c) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis;
- (d) For each GHG gas, which is CO₂;
- (e) In tonnes of CO_2 equivalent, using global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol.

The formulae used for calculating the estimates referred above, are given in Section 4.7. All formulae are consistent throughout the PDD.

For calculating the estimates referred to above, key factors, e.g. the Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, as well as key relevant factors such as availability of funds for implementation of the project activities, tariffs established by the state, modern technology and the possibility of know-how implementation in gas supply sector influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating the estimates referred to above, such as documents and archive data of the enterprise, standards and statistical forms, results of periodic inspections of meters are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Natural gas leak factor for GDN component i' that is in CLS $(K_{i,h}^s)$ and natural gas leak factor that corresponds to EPNGL for GDN component i" (K_{i}^n) were selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice.

The estimation referred to above is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

The estimates referred to above are consistent throughout the PDD. The annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period is calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period, and multiplying by twelve.

Detailed algorithms of calculation and their results are described in Sections B, E and supporting documents to the PDD.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The identified areas of concern as to the estimation of emission reductions, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 34).

4.10 Environmental impacts (48)

Sections F.1. and F.2. of the PDD provide information about documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party.

The PDD states that according to the environmental standards of Ukraine, natural gas emissions into the air are not considered polluting (CMU Resolution dated 29/11/2001 No. 1598 "On approval of the list of the most popular and dangerous pollutants, which emissions into the atmosphere are subject to regulation"). Therefore no environmental permissions are required for natural gas transportation and supply.

According to the PDD the only environmental impact is reduction of natural gas emissions into the atmosphere.

Implementation of this project will increase the safety of operation of gas distribution networks, which, in turn, will reduce the probability of explosions or fires.

No transboundary impacts from the project activity, according to their definition in the text of the "Convention on long-range tansboundary pollution" ratified by Ukraine, will take place.

Project implementation does not provide for any harmful environmental impacts.

The PDD provides conclusion and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party.

The identified areas of concern as to the environmental impacts, project participants responses and BVC's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination report (refer to CAR 35, CL 08).

4.11 Stakeholder consultation (49)

Consultations were conducted with the specialists of the Institute of General Energy of NAS of Ukraine. No comments from Stakeholders were received. The project activity doesn't provide for any negative impact on the environment or negative social effect.

4.12 Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)Not applicable.



DETERMINATION REPORT

4.13 Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) projects (58-64)

Not applicable.

4.14 Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)

Not applicable.

5 SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES

No comments pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI Guidelines were received.

6 DETERMINATION OPINION

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed a determination of the "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» project in Ukraine. The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participants used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides barrier analysis and common practice analysis to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The determination revealed one pending issue related to the current determination stage of the project: the issue of the written approval of the project by the host Party. If the written approval by the host Party is awarded, it is our opinion that the project as described in the Project Design Document, Version 03 dated 28/06/2012 meets all the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the determination stage and the relevant host Party criteria.



DETERMINATION REPORT

The review of the project design documentation (version 03 dated 28/06/2012) and the subsequent follow-up interviews have provided Bureau Veritas Certification with sufficient evidence to determine the fulfillment of stated criteria. In our opinion, the project correctly applies and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.



DETERMINATION REPORT

7 REFERENCES

Category 1 Documents:

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 01 dated 25/05/2012 /2/ PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 02 dated 08/06/2012 /3/ PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 03 dated 28/06/2012 /4/ Supporting Document 1 "Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing,	/1/	PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution
networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 02 dated 08/06/2012 /3/ PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 03 dated 28/06/2012 /4/ Supporting Document 1 "Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0;	7 17	networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 01 dated 25/05/2012
/3/ PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 03 dated 28/06/2012 /4/ Supporting Document 1 "Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0;	/2/	PDD "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution
networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 03 dated 28/06/2012 /4/ Supporting Document 1 "Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0;		networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz", version 02 dated 08/06/2012
/4/ Supporting Document 1 "Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/3/	
under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		
distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /5/ Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/4/	
Supporting Document 2 "Registry of GDN components under the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; To Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; Etter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; Kyoto Protocol;		
project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; //10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; //11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; //12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/5/	
networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» /6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; //// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; //// Kyoto Protocol;	/3/	
/6/ Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /// Kyoto Protocol;		
leaks at gas distribution networks", registry No. Ukr NTI 0112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /// Kyoto Protocol;	/6/	Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission
O112U00A816, dated 2012 that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /// Kyoto Protocol;		
Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine; /// Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /// Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /// Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /// Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /// Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /// Kyoto Protocol;		
/7/ Report on the scientific and technical work "Development of methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		· · · ·
methodological fundamentals of reducing greenhouse gas emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		
emissions by repairing above-standard leaks of natural gas at gas distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/7/	
distribution networks," Gas Institute of NAS of Ukraine; /8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		
/8/ Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		
the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine; /9/ Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC; /10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/8/	Letter of Endorsement No.1029/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by
/10/ Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	707	
"Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/9/	Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form, version 04, JISC;
"Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/10/	Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0
facilities"; /11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;	7 1 07	"Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing,
/11/ Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery
Version 06.0.0; /12/ Kyoto Protocol;		
/12/ Kyoto Protocol;	/11/	
	1.5	
/13/ Marrakech Agreement, JI methods;		
	/13/	
	/14/	
		sources and removals by sinks in Ukraine for the period of 1990-
2010;		
/15/ Third National Communication of Ukraine on climate change under	/15/	_
the Kyoto Protocol		
/16/ Fourth National Communication of Ukraine on climate change		



DETERMINATION REPORT

	under the Kyoto Protocol				
/17/	Fifth National Communication of Ukraine on climate change under				
	the Kyoto Protocol				
/18/	Law of Ukraine "On the fundamentals of the natural gas market				
	functioning";				
/19/	Law of Ukraine "On Pipeline Transport";				
/20/	Order of the Ministry of Fuel and Energy Industry of Ukraine "On				
/20/	approval of methods for detection of specific losses, technological				
	and production losses of natural gas during gas transportation in				
	gas distribution networks;				
/21/	JI Guidelines. Annex to Resolution 9/CDM.1.;				
/22/	Determination and verification manual, version 01;				
/23/	Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, JISC.				
	Version 03.				

Category 2 Documents:

Documents provided by CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

	to the one components of the project.
/1/	Emission Reductions Purchase Agreement relating to the JI project between PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" and Orelac GmBH
/2/	Memorandum of Understanding relating to the JI project between PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" and Orelac GmBH dated 04/03/2005
/3/	Supplementary Agreement No.1 to Agreement for use of state property not subject to privatization No.04/01-848 of 28/12/2001 dated 11/12/2002
/4/	Supplementary Agreement No.1 to Agreement for use of state property not subject to privatization No. 04/01-848 of 28/12/2001 dated 27/12/2006
/5/	Agreement for use of state property not subject to privatization No.52/18/18 dated 02/11/1999
/6/	List of state property not subject to privatization and not included into PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" authorized fund, which is used for transportation, storage and distribution of gas and oil, operated by NJSC "Naftogaz Ukraine" as of 31/01/2006 based on inventory results
/7/	List of state property not subject to privatization and not included into PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" authorized fund, which is used for transportation, storage and distribution of gas and oil, operated by NJSC "Naftogaz Ukraine" as of 31/12/2009 based on inventory results
/8/	Decree on inventory auditing of state property operated by NJSC "Naftogaz Ukraine"
/9/	Reference on gas leak detection devices of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz"
/10/	Information on volume of natural gas transported by OJSC "Sevastopolgaz"
/11/	Decree on creation of a working team to control natural gas leaks in shut off valves and other gas distribution network equipment dated 04/03/2005
/12/	Decree on changes of line-up of the working team to control natural gas



	leaks in gas distribution network equipment and their repair within the			
	framework of the joint implementation project dated 16/12/2011			
/13/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
	equipment, gas indicators installed,) for 2005			
/14/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
	equipment, gas alarms installed,) for 2006			
/15/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
	equipment, gas alarms installed,) for 2007			
/16/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
	equipment, gas alarms installed,) for 2008			
/17/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
	equipment, gas alarms installed,) for 2009			
/18/	Information on gasification (pipeline, gasified cities and communities, indoor			
	equipment, meters, control and diagnostics devices, emergency dispatch			
	service, leak repair requests, GFS, GFP, GPU, GDP, repaired gas			
44.54	equipment, gas alarms installed,) for 2010			
/19/	JL269 personal gas sensor operation manual			
/20/	Variotec V operation manual			
/21/	FP 12 leak detector-alarm passport			
/22/	Firedamp interferometer specifications and operation manual			
/23/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-151-12 (JL269 personal			
(0.47	gas sensor) dated 26/02/2012			
/24/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-312-12 (JL269 personal			
10.5.1	gas sensor) dated 11/06/2012			
/25/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-006-12 (Variotec 8-EX			
/00/	gas sensor) dated 16/01/2012			
/26/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-152-12 (FP 12 leak			
/07/	detector) dated 26/03/2012			
/27/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-686-11 (ShI-11, ShI-10			
/00/	firedamp interferometer) dated 12/12/2011			
/28/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-458-11 (Variotec V gas			
/00/	detector) dated 10/08/2011			
/29/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-589-11 (ShI firedamp			
1001	interferometer) dated 18/11/2011			
/30/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-360-12 (JL268D			
	personal gas sensor) dated 03/07/2012			



DETERMINATION REPORT

/31/	Metering device verification certificate No.15/2-13/1-459-11 (Variotec-6 gas				
	detector) dated 10/08/2011				
/32/	Registry of gas distribution points and gas fittings of the Joint				
	Implementation Project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas				
	distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz»				

Persons interviewed:

List of persons interviewed during the determination or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.

	Name	Organization	Position	
/1/	Samoilenko S.A.	PJSC	Chief Engineer	
		«Sevastopolgaz»		
/2/	Spodina Y.O.	PJSC	Consultant	
		«Sevastopolgaz»		
/3/	Podrezova L.M.	PJSC	Head of planning and	
	Pourezova L.ivi.	«Sevastopolgaz» engineering department		
/4/	Zhyvoduiev A.S.	PJSC	Head of SENGiS	
	Znyvodulev A.S.	«Sevastopolgaz»		
/5/	Vorobets O.A.	PJSC	Head of STsOBSG	
	«Sevastopolgaz»			
/6/	Repinetskyi S.O.	CEP LLC CEP CARBON EMISS		
767 Repinetskyl S.O.		CLITEC	PARTNERS S.A. Consultant	



DETERMINATION REPORT

APPENDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION HOLDING SAS

Checklist for determination according to the DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
Section A	for Users of the JI PDD form General description of the project f the project			
A.1. Title 0	Is the title of the project presented?	The title of the project is presented: "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz»	OK	OK
A.1	Is the sectoral scope to which the project pertains presented?	Sectoral scope: Sector 10. Fugitive emissions from fuels (solid, oil and gas).	OK	OK
A.1	Is the current version number of the document presented?	The current version of the document: PDD version 03 dated 28/06/2012. See Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1	Is the date when the document was created presented?	The date when the document was created: 28/06/2012.	OK	OK
	ption of the project			
A.2	Is the purpose of the project included with a concise, summarizing explanation (max. 1-2 pages) of the: a) Situation existing prior to the starting	The purpose of the project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» is reduction of methane leaks at gas transport and gas distribution infrastructure of PJSC	CL 01 CL 02 CAR 01 CAR 02	OK OK OK OK



	VERTIAS			
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	date of the project b) Baseline scenario and c) Project scenario (expected outcome, including a technical description)?	"Sevastopolgaz", which are the result of faulty sealing of gas equipment and fittings. The basic sources of leaks are elements of distribution pipelines, included into the project boundary, notably: - gas equipment (pressure regulators, valves, filters, break switches, etc.), located at gas distribution points (GDPs) and cabinet-type gas distribution points (CGDPs) of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz"; - gas fittings (faucets, valve gates, screw valves, etc.), located at gas pipelines of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz". Detailed information on the baseline and project scenarios as well as their technical description is provided in Sections A.2 and A.4.2. of the PDD. CL 01. Please, provide information on causes of methane leaks. CL 02. Please provide information on whether the project activity was planned without the JI mechanism. CAR 01. Please provide more details on current repairs of GDP (CGDP) equipment and gas fittings under the baseline scenario.		



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding CAR 02. Please provide information on PETM	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
A.2	Is the history of the project (incl. its JI component) briefly summarized?	programme activities. CAR 03. Please provide information on project endorsement in PDD Section A.2. CAR 04. PDD Section A.2 states the project was initiated in March 2006. Whereas the project starts in 2005. Please make necessary corrections. CL 03. Please provide relevant documents referred to in Section A.2 of the PDD.	CAR 03 CAR 04 CL 03	OK OK OK
A.3. Projec	Are project participants and Party (ies) involved in the project listed?	Parties involved in the project: PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» (Ukraine – the Host Party), Orelac GmBH (Liechtenstein), CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. (Switzerland).	ОК	OK
A.3	Is the data of the project participants presented in tabular format? Is contact information provided in Annex 1 of the PDD?	The data on project participants are given in tabular form. CAR 05. Table in Section A.3 should comply with the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Contact information of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» and CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. is provided in Annex 1 of the PDD.	CAR 05	OK OK
		CAR 06. Please provide information on the third project participant – ORELAC GmBH.		



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion	
A.3	Is it indicated, if it is the case, that the Party involved is a host Party?	Ukraine is the Host Party.	OK	OK	
	cal description of the project				
A.4.1.1	the project Host Party(ies)	Ukraine is the Host Party. CAR 07. Please indicate the project location in the map of Ukraine.	CAR 07	OK	
A.4.1.2	Region/State/Province etc.	Sevastopol, Ukraine, and adherent territories,	OK	OK	
A.4.1.3	City/Town/Community etc.	Sevastopol, Ukraine, and adherent territories,	OK	OK	
A.4.1.4	Detail of the physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the project. (This section should not exceed one page).	Information about location is given in Section A.4.1.4 of the PDD. CAR 08. Please provide detailed information about facilities included in the project and the details of their physical location.	CAR 08	OK	
A.4.2. Technologies to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project					
A.4.2	Are the technology (ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project, including all relevant technical data and the implementation schedule described?	PDD Section A.4.2 provides the description of the main stages of the project implementation, the annual project activities schedule, some relevant technical data relating to main equipment to be installed as well as project activities to be implemented in the framework of the project. Project design represents the current cutting-edge practice.	CAR 09 CAR 10 CAR 11 CAR 13 CL 04	OK OK OK OK OK	



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
project, inc	cluding why the emission reductions would	CAR 09. Please describe further actions after a methane leak is detected in relevant GDN component. CAR 10. Please state whether a replacement of project equipment is planned in Section A.4.2. CAR 11. Please provide information as to whether the project equipment complies with common practice in the sector. CAR 12. Please specify whether there is any special staff training required in view of the project activity. CAR 13. Please specify the model of gas sensor to be implemented under the project. CL 04. Please provide a reference to the sealant STSTs 7338-90, 5152-84, 10330-76 in Section A.4.2. issions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be red not occur in the absence of the proposed project, taken		
A.4.3	Is it stated how anthropogenic GHG emission reductions are to be achieved? (This section should not exceed one page)	The project activity includes: - repair (replacement) of GDP (CGDP) gas equipment, gas fittings of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" gas pipelines with the use of modern sealing materials and modern equipment of European producers and their analogues of national production; - monitoring of methane leaks aimed at the detection of methane leaks caused by sealing failures; - further renewal of leakproofness at GDN components	OK	OK



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
A.4.3	Is it provided the estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period?	of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz". The estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period is provided in Section A.4.3.1. of the PDD. CAR 14. Tables in Section A.4.3.1 shall comply with the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. CAR 15. Please specify total estimated emission reductions and the annual average of estimated emission reductions in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent in Tables 2, 3,4 Section A.4.3.1. CAR 16. In Table 2 Section A.4.3.1 the duration of the crediting period is incorrect. CAR 17. Section A.4.3.1 has an incorrect reference to Section E of the PDD.	CAR 13 CAR 14 CAR 15 CAR 16 CAR 17	OK OK OK OK
A.4.3	Is it provided the estimated annual reduction for the chosen crediting period in tCO ₂ e?	The estimated annual emission reductions for the first commitment period in tCO ₂ e are provided; the estimated annual emission reductions for the periods before and after the first commitment period within the project are also provided.	OK	ОК
A.4.3	Are the data from questions above presented in tabular format?	The data are presented in tabular format, for the first commitment period and for the periods before and after the first commitment period. Refer to the PDD (Version 03) Tables 2, 3, 4 Section A.4.3.1.	OK	OK



	VERTIAS			
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
A.4.3.1. Es	timated amount of emission reductions over	er the crediting period		
A.4.3.1	Is the length of the crediting period Indicated?	,	OK	OK
A.4.3.1	Are estimates of total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent provided?	Total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO_2 equivalent are provided in accordance with the calculated values in the tables of Section A4.3.1 of PDD and the Supporting documents.	OK	OK
Project app	provals by Parties			
19	Have the DFPs of all Parties listed as "Parties involved" in the PDD provided written project approvals?	CAR 18. The project has no approval of the Host Party and the country–participant. To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report together with this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. A Letter of Approval of Switzerland as the country-participant is also not obtained at the current stage of the Project. CAR 18 will be closed after the Letter of Approval are issued by the Host Party.	CAR 18	Pending
19	Does the PDD identify at least the host	Host Party involved in project is Ukraine.	OK	OK
	Party as a "Party involved"?	, ,		
19	Has the DFP of the host Party issued a	Reference to CAR 18	CAR 18	Pending



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	written project approval?			
20	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	Reference to CAR 18	CAR 18	Pending
Authorizati	on of project participants by Parties involv	red		
21	Is each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD authorized by a Party involved, which is also listed in the PDD, through: - A written project approval by a Party involved, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity? or - Any other form of project participant authorization in writing, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity?	Party involved 1: Ukraine (the Host Party), legal entity is PJSC «Sevastopolgaz». Party involved 2: Liechtenstein, legal entity is ORELAC GmBH. Party involved 3: Switzerland, legal entity is CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. The project participants will be authorized in accordance with the relevant project approvals. Pending CAR 18.	CAR 18	Pending
Baseline se				
22	Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used for identifying the baseline? – JI specific approach – Approved CDM methodology approach	The chosen baseline is described in section B.1. of the PDD. A JI specific approach is used for setting the baseline.	OK	OK
	approach only			
23	Does the PDD provide a detailed	The choice of the applicable baseline for the project	CAR 19	OK



,			VENTIA	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner?	category is sufficiently justified; detailed theoretical description is provided in section B.1 of the PDD version 03. CAR 19. PDD Section B.1 indicates that the baseline was set using the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, version 02, while the most recent verion is 03. Please use the newest versions of documents in JI PDD development. CAR 20. Please specify the full name of the methodology AM0023 version 4.0, elements of which are used for determining the baseline. CAR 21. In the description of compliance of the specific approach chosen with the third condition, an incorrent reference to Annex 1 was provided. CL 05. Please provide the reference to AM0023 methodology in Section B.1. of the PDD.	CAR 20 CAR 21 CL 05	OK OK OK
23	Does the PDD provide justification that the baseline is established: (a) By listing and describing plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one? (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstance? – Are key factors that affect a baseline	The PDD provides detailed, full and transparent description and justification that the baseline is established by: (a) Identifying plausible future scenarios and choosing the most plausible one. As a result of evaluation of several alternatives the most plausible of them have been identified and will be used as a baseline: - Alternative 1.1: Continuation of existing practice	CAR 22	OK



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	taken into account? (c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors? (c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, date sources and key factors? (e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure? (f) By drawing on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B to "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", as appropriate?	of leak detection and repair; - Alternative 1.2: The project activities without the use of the Joint Implementation mechanism. (b) Taking into account key factors such as for example technological requirements to the gas supply in Ukraine, Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, and key relevant factors, such as the ability of financing of construction and reconstruction of gas distribution system, tariffs for gas supply, availability of local technologies and methods of the project, skills and experience in implementing similar projects (c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of JI approach and assumptions, parameters, data sources and key factors for identifying initial conditions listed in tabular format in Section B.1. (d) Taking into account of uncertainties and using conservative assumptions (e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure (f) By drawing on the list of standard variables. The baseline is set; the description is given in Section		



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
		B of the PDD. CAR 22. In the Alternative 1.1 desription in PDD Section B.1, the type of ownership of "Sevastopolgaz" is incorrect.		
24	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools for baseline setting are used, are the selected elements or combinations together with the elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 23 above?	The baseline assumptions of the developed JI specific approach are clearly described in full in Section B.1 of the PDD version 03. CAR 23. Please provide the description of W_y parameter in formula (B1) of PDD Section B.1. CAR 24. In formulae (B1), please provide data units for GWP_{CH_4} parameter. CAR 25. Tables of PDD Section B.1 provide incorrect information on the frequency of measurement/monitoring of GWP_{CH_4} parameter. Please make corresponding corrections. CAR 26. Tables of PDD Section B.1 provide the description of $K_{i,h}^s$ parameter twice. Please delete the unnecessary information.	CAR 26 CAR 27	OK OK
25	If a multi-project emission factor is used,	CAR 27. Please provide a table with description of K_{i}^{n} parameter. In order to set the baseline the following factors are	OK	OK
25	If a multi-project emission factor is used, does the PDD provide appropriate		OK	



			VERTIAS	2
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	justification?	used: $K_{i'h}^g$ – natural gas leak factor for GDN component i ' that is in CLS and $K_{i''}^n$ – natural gas leak factor		
		that corresponds to EPNGL for GDN component i ". Data source that was (will be) used: "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks"		
	odology approach only			
Additional				
	approach only			
28	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches for demonstrating additionality is used? (a) Provision of traceable and transparent information showing the baseline was identified on the basis of conservative assumptions, that the project scenario is not part of the identified baseline scenario and that the project will lead to emission reductions or enhancements of removals (b) Provision of traceable and transparent information that an AIE has already	The PDD indicates that the project scenario is not a part of the established baseline scenario. It is also stated that the project will lead to emission reductions. Additionality of the project activity is demonstrated in Section B.2. PDD by using the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality", version 06.0.0. CAR 28. Please provide more details on the barrier associated with the absence of specifications. CAR 29. Reference to the Law of Ukraine "On basics of functioning of natural gas market" does not work. CAR 30. Please provide an outcome of Sub-step 1.b.	CAR 28 CAR 29 CAR 30	OK OK OK



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	positively determined that a comparable project (to be) implemented under comparable circumstances has additionality (c) Application of the most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality. (allowing for a two-month grace period) or any other method for proving additionality approved by the CDM Executive Board".			
29 (a)	Does the PDD provide a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description?	Detailed analysis described in Sections A.4.3, B.1 and B.2, shows that emissions of the baseline scenario are likely to exceed emissions of the project scenario due to the implementation of project activities.	OK	ОК
29 (b)	Are additionality proofs provided?	Refer to Section B.2. of the PDD.	OK	OK
29 (c)	Is the additionality demonstrated appropriately as a result?	The fact that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario is clearly demonstrated in Sections A.2, B.1, B.2 of the PDD.	OK	ОК
30	If the approach 28 (c) is chosen, are all explanations, descriptions and analyses	All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the latest version of the "Tool for	OK	OK



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	made in accordance with the selected tool or method?	(Version 06.0.0)		
	CDM methodology approach only_ Paragra			
	undary (applicable except for JI LULUCF p	rojects)		
	approach only			
32 (a)	Does the project boundary defined in the PDD encompass all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are: (i) Under the control of the project participants? (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project? (iii) Significant?	The project boundary defined in the PDD encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that are: (i) Under the control of the project participants, such as: - technological natural gas loses during scheduled repair of gas pipelines; (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as: - methane leaks at gas fittings of house distribution networks; (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2,000 tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent, whichever is lower: - leaks at gas equipment (pressure relief valves, gate valves, filters, etc.) of gas distribution points (cabinet-type gas distribution points); - methane leaks at gas fittings (faucets, slide		



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
		valve, etc.), , located at gas distribution networks of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz". Only methane leaks of type (iii) are included in the project boundary.		
32 (b)	Is the project boundary defined on the basis of a case-by-case assessment with regard to the criteria referred to in 32 (a) above?	Project boundary is defined on the basis of case-by- case assessment of different emission sources.	OK	OK
32 (c)	Are the delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included appropriately described and justified in the PDD by using a figure or flow chart if it is possible?	The project boundary is presented in a graphic figure (Figure 4) and is understandable enough; so there is no need to provide its description in tabular form.	OK	OK
32 (d)	Are all gases and sources included explicitly stated, and the exclusions of any sources related to the baseline or the project are appropriately justified?	All gases and sources included are explicitly stated. See Section B of the PDD.	OK	OK
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra	ph 33_ Not applicable		
Crediting p		A 11 A 11 O 11 11 (11 DDD 1		
34 (a)	Does the PDD state the starting date of the project as the date on which the implementation or construction or real action of the project will begin or began?	According to the Guidelines for users of JI PDD form (version 04) the starting date of the project is the date when the implementation or construction or real action of the project begins.	OK	OK



Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
		The starting date of the project is identified and specified in Section C. 1 of the PDD. The starting date of the project is 04/03/2005, which is the date when the Memorandum of Understanding relating to the JI project was signed by ORELAC GmBH and PJSC "Sevastopolgaz".		
34 (a)	Is the starting date after 2000?	The starting date of the project is after 2000.	OK	OK
34 (b)	Does the PDD state the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months?	CAR 31. The expected operational lifetime is incorrect.	CAR 31	OK
34 (c)	Does the PDD state the length of the crediting period in years and months?	The length of the crediting period is stated in Section C.3. CAR 32. Please, in Section C.3. of the PDD specify that the starting date of the crediting period is the date when the first emission reductions are expected to be generated.	CAR 32	OK
34 (c)	Is the starting date of the crediting period before or after the date of the first emission reductions or enhancements of net removals generated by the project?	Refer to CAR 32.	OK	OK
34 (d)	Does the PDD state that the crediting period for issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not	Generation of ERUs relates to the first commitment period of 5 years (January 1, 2008 – December 31, 2012).	OK	OK



			VERTIAS	2
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project?			
34 (d)	If the crediting period extends beyond 2012, does the PDD state that the extension is subject to the host Party approval? Are the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012?	The PDD states that the prolongation of the crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to approval of the Host Party and estimation of emission reductions is presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in the relevant sections of the PDD. If after the first commitment period under the Kyoto protocol its validity is prolonged, the crediting period under the project will be prolonged by 5 years or 60 months until December 31, 2017.	OK	OK
Monitoring	Plan			
35	Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used? – JI specific approach – Approved CDM methodology approach	The proposed project uses a JI specific approach based on the JI requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, version 03.	OK	OK
	approach only			
36 (a)	Does the monitoring plan describe: - All relevant factors and key characteristics subject to monitoring? - The period in which they will be monitored? - All critical factors for the control and	The monitoring plan specifies all decisive factors for the control and reporting on project performance: quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures; operational and management structures that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.	OK	OK



			VERTIAS	<u>, </u>
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	reporting of project performance?			
36 (b)	Does the monitoring plan specify the indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored?	The monitoring plan specifies indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored. Data to be monitored are presented in Section D of the PDD.	CL 07 CAR 33	OK OK
		CL 07. Please provide a reference to the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring". CAR 33. Please check the compliance of description of parameters in Section D.1 with the description throughout the PDD.		
36 (b)	If default values are used: - Are accuracy and reasonableness carefully balanced in their selection? - Do the default values originate from recognized sources? - Are the default values supported by statistical analyses providing reasonable confidence levels? - Are the default values presented in a transparent manner?	Default values are provided in the table of Annex 3 to the PDD. They originate from recognized sources and are presented in a transparent manner.	OK	OK
36 (b) (i)	For those values that are to be provided by	Monitoring plan clearly specifies which values should	OK	OK



			VERTIAS	<u> </u>
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	the project participants, does the monitoring plan clearly indicate how the values are to be selected and justified?	be chosen and justified.		
36 (b) (ii)	For other values, - Does the monitoring plan clearly indicate the precise references from which these values are taken? - Is the conservativeness of the values provided justified?	The monitoring plan clearly indicates the precise references from which the default values are taken. The conservativeness of the values provided is justified.	OK	OK
36 (b) (iii)	For all data sources, does the monitoring plan specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable?	Refer to Section D of the PDD.	ОК	ОК
36 (b) (iv)	Are International System Units (IS units) used?	IS units are used for certain parameters.	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Does the monitoring plan note any parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. that are used to calculate baseline emissions or net removals but are obtained through monitoring?	Relevant data necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases within the project boundary is presented in table D.1.1.3. of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Is the use of parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan?	The use of parameters, coefficients and variables is consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan.	OK	OK
36 (c)	Does the monitoring plan draw on the list	The monitoring plan is established taking into account	OK	OK



			VENTIAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"?	the latest version of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring".		
36 (d)	Does the monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguish: (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination? (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not yet available at the stage of determination? (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period?	The monitoring plan clearly distinguishes three types of data and parameters. Refer to Section D.1. of the PDD. (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination. (ii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period. (iii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not yet available at the stage of determination, such data are absent.	OK	OK
36 (e)	Does the monitoring plan describe the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording?	In tables of parameters provided in section D.1.1.1. of the PDD the time of monitoring (frequency) and the source of data to be used, as well as recording method are indicated for all the monitored parameters and data.	OK	OK



	VERITAS				
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion	
36 (f)	Does the monitoring plan elaborate all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions/removals and project emissions/removals or direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project, leakage, as appropriate?	All algorithms and formulae used for the estimation of baseline and project emissions are indicated and explained in the PDD. The description of formulae is provided in Section D.1 of the PDD	OK	OK	
36 (f) (i)	Is the underlying rationale for the algorithms/formulae explained?	Refer to section 36 (f) of this table.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (ii)	Are consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. used?	Consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. are used.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (iii)	Are all equations numbered?	All equations are numbered.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (iv)	Are all variables with units indicated defined?	Yes. Refer to Section D of the PDD.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (v)	Is the conservativeness of the algorithms/procedures justified?	Yes, algorithms/procedures comply with state norms and are conservative.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (v)	To the extent possible, are methods to quantitatively account for uncertainty in key parameters included?	Uncertainty in parameters used is low taking into account the algorithms of data monitoring.	OK	OK	
36 (f) (vi)	Is consistency between the elaboration of the	There is consistency between the elaboration on the baseline scenario and procedure for calculating the	OK	OK	



			VERTIAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	baseline scenario and the procedure for calculating the emissions or net removals of the baseline ensured?	baseline emissions in the monitoring plan and in tables.		
36 (f) (vii)	Are any parts of the algorithms or formulae that are not self-evident explained?	The formulae used in the PDD are sufficiently described.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is it justified that the procedure is consistent with standard technical procedures in the relevant sector?	Monitoring under the project does not require any changes in the existing data accounting and data collection system of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz".	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are references provided as necessary?	All necessary references to the rules and regulatory documents of the Host Party are provided.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are implicit and explicit key assumptions explained in a transparent manner?	All key assumptions are explained in a transparent manner.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is it clearly stated which assumptions and procedures have significant uncertainty associated with them, and how such uncertainty is to be addressed?	N/A	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is the uncertainty of key parameters described and, where possible, is an uncertainty range at 95% confidence level for key parameters for the calculation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals provided?	Equipment for measuring calorific value of natural gas transported in GDN of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" is calibrated in accordance with the quality control procedures.	OK	OK
36 (g)	Does the monitoring plan identify a national or international monitoring standard if such	The monitoring plan was set according to national norms and standards.	OK	OK



			VERTIAS	<u> </u>
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	standard has to be and/or is applied to certain aspects of the project? Does the monitoring plan provide a reference as to where a detailed description of the standard can be found?			
36 (h)	Does the monitoring plan document statistical techniques, if used for monitoring, and that they are used in a conservative manner?	Yes	OK	OK
36 (i)	Does the monitoring plan present the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, including, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available upon request?	Inspection (calibration) of metering and measuring devices is carried out in accordance with manuals of the manufacturer, approved methodologies on inspection/calibration of measuring devices as well as according to the national standards of Ukraine.	OK	OK
36 (j)	Does the monitoring plan clearly identify the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities?	The technologist is responsible for collection of all information under the monitoring plan and conduction of all necessary calculations. The engineer is responsible for organization of monitoring measurements of leaks and their repair. On the basis of the information received, Head of the Working Team shall determine the plan of project activities and the	OK	OK



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
		amount of resources required. The metrologist shall ensure the availability of verified metering devices and technical support. The coordinator is responsible for storage, archiving and backuping of project information.		
36 (k)	Does the monitoring plan, on the whole, reflect good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type? If it is a JI LULUCF project, is the good practice guidance developed by IPCC applied?	The monitoring plan includes the following sections: 1. The program of the initial monitoring measurements of methane leaks at the gas equipment of GDPs (CGDPs), gas fittings of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" gas distribution networks. 2. Monitoring map of methane leaks at the gas equipment of GDPs (CGDPs), gas fittings of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" gas distribution networks. 3. Methodology of methane leak detection. 4. Guidance on monitoring measurement data collection and storage.	OK	OK
36 (I)	Does the monitoring plan provide, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources but not including data that are calculated with equations?	Tables D.1.1.1 and D.1.1.3 provide compilation of all data needed to monitor project and baseline emissions.	OK	OK



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
36 (m)	Does the monitoring plan indicate that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project?	Data to be monitored and required for determination will be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs under the project.	OK	OK
37	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools are used for establishing the monitoring plan, are the selected elements or combination, together with elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 36 above?	Yes, selected elements of approved CDM methodology are used for setting the baseline scenario. The selected elements and combinations together with additional elements that were additionally developed by the project participants are in line with requirements of paragraph 36 above.	OK	OK
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra	. , , , ,		
	to both JI specific approach and approved		OK	OV
39	If the monitoring plan indicates overlapping monitoring periods during the crediting period: (a) Is the underlying project composed of clearly identifiable components for which emission reductions or enhancements of removals can be calculated independently?	Periods will not overlap in the crediting period.	OK	OK
	(b) Can monitoring be performed independently for each of these			



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	components (i.e. the data/parameters monitored for one component are not dependent on/effect data/parameters to be monitored for another component)?			
	(c) Does the monitoring plan ensure that monitoring is performed for all components and that in these cases all the requirements of the JI guidelines and further guidance by the JISC regarding monitoring are met?			
	(d) Does the monitoring plan explicitly provide for overlapping monitoring periods of clearly defined project components, justify its need and state how the conditions mentioned in (a)-(c) are met?			
Leakage JI specific	approach only			
40 (a)	Does the PDD appropriately describe an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explain which sources of leakage are to be calculated and which can be neglected?	According to a JI specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03, the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas	OK	OK



			VENTIAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
		emission reductions achieved by eliminating above- standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks" that was developed by the Institute of Gas of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine and on the basis of elements of approved CDM methodology AM0023 version 4.0 no leakage is expected.		
40 (b)	Does the PDD provide a procedure for an ex ante estimate of leakage?	The PDD states that there isn't any leakage.	OK	OK
	CDM methodology approach only_Paragra			
	of emission reductions or enhancements			
42	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches it chooses? (a) Assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario (b) Direct assessment of emission reductions	baseline scenario and in the project scenario is indicated. CAR 34. The total amount of emission reductions indicated in Table 7 of the PDD is incorrect.	CAR 34	OK
43	If the approach (a) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable? (c) Emissions or net removals for the	PDD provides ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions in the project scenario (Section E.1) (b) Leakage (Section E.2) (c) Emissions in the baseline scenario (Section E.4) (d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (Section E.6).	OK	OK



-			VENTIAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	baseline scenario (within the project boundary)? (d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?			
44	If the approach (b) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable? (c) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?	N/A	N/A	N/A
45	For both approaches in 42 (a) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 given: (i) On a periodic basis? (ii) At least from the beginning until the end of the crediting period? (iii) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis? (iv) For each GHG? (v) In tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent, using	 (a) Estimates in 43 are given on the periodic basis, in tonnes of CO₂ equivalent, on a source-by-source basis, before, during and after the crediting period. (b) The formulae used in PDD are consistent. (c) Key factors influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the project emissions are taken into account, as appropriate. (d) Data sources used to calculate the estimates are clearly identified, reliable and transparent. (e) Default emission factors are taken from identified sources. (f) Estimation in 43 is based on conservative 	OK	OK



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	global warming potentials defined by decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol? (b) Are the formulae used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD? (c) For calculating estimates in 43 or 44, are key factors influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or net removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate? (d) Are data sources used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 clearly identified, reliable and transparent? (e) Are emission factors (including default emission factors) if used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice? (f) Is the estimation in 43 or 44 based on conservative assumptions and the most	assumptions and the most plausible scenario in a transparent manner. (g) Estimates in 43 are consistent throughout the PDD. (h) The annual average of estimated emission reductions are calculated correctly (by dividing the total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve).		



			VERITAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	plausible scenarios in a transparent manner? (g) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD? (h) Is the annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve?			
46	If the calculation of the baseline emissions or net removals is to be performed de facto, does the PDD include an illustrative forecasted emissions or net removals calculation?	The baseline level of emissions is determined on a basis of the specific approach that is based on the "Methodology for calculation of greenhouse gas emission reductions achieved by eliminating above-standard natural gas leaks at gas distribution networks" and approved Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities". Calculations of the estimated emissions are clearly presented in the PDD.	OK	OK



			VENTIAS	
Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
Environme	ental impacts			
48 (a)	Does the PDD list and attach documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party?	The EIA of the project was sufficiently described in the PDD. CAR 35. The date of CMU Resolution No. 1598 "On approval of the list of the most popular and dangerous pollutants, which emissions into the atmosphere are subject to regulation" is incorrect. CL 08. Please, provide a reference to CMU Resolution No. 1598 in Section F.1 of the PDD.	CAR 35 CL 08	OK OK
48 (b)	If the analysis in 48 (a) indicates that the environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, does the PDD provide conclusion and all references to Accompanying documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party?	The project doesn't provide for any negative impacts on the environment.	OK	OK
Stakeholde	er consultations			
49	If stakeholder consultation was undertaken in accordance with the procedure as required by the host Party, does the PDD provide: (a) A list of stakeholders from whom	There was consultation with specialists of the Institute of General Energetics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine. Comments of the stakeholders were not received. Activities under the project do not provide for any negative impacts on the environment or	OK	OK



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guideline s for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragrap h	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participant s' actions review	Final Conclusion
	comments on the projects have been received, if any? (b) The nature of the comments? (c) A description on whether and how the comments have been addressed?			

Determination regarding small-scale projects (additional elements for assessment)

Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry projects (additional/alternative elements for assessment)

Determination regarding programmes of activities (additional/alternative elements for assessment)



DETERMINATION REPORT

TABLE 2 RESOLUTION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLARIFICTION REQUESTS

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
CAR 01. Please provide more details on current repairs of GDP (CGDP) equipment and gas fittings under the baseline scenario.	A.2	Fixing the leaks detected by gas detectors and organoleptic methods usually implied a mere routine repairs of GDP (CGDP) equipment and gas fittings using cotton fiber stuffing with oil tightening and asbestos-graphite compound. This technology of repairs ensured only short-term leak-proofness of the equipment and gas fittings and avoidance of explosion hazards.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 02. Please provide information on PETM programme activities.	A.2	The PETM programme will include organizational measures such as creation of working team for the project, training of employees, implementation of relevant monitoring procedures for all GDP (CGDP) equipment and fittings, creation of data collection and storage for data on repairs and methane leaks, and implementation of internal audit and quality assurance system for the repair of methane leaks.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 03 . Please provide information on project endorsement in PDD Section A.2.	A.2	19/04/2012 – a Letter of Endorsement № 1029/23/7 was obtained from the	J



			VEHITAG
Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine.	the issue is closed.
CAR 04. PDD Section A.2 states the project was initiated in March 2006. Whereas the project starts in 2005. Please make necessary corrections.	A.2	The project was initiated in March 2005. Corresponding corrections were made in the PDD version 03.	Relevant corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 05. Table in Section A.3 should comply with the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form.	A.3	The table was formatted in accordance with the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form.	Relevant corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 06. Please provide information on the third project participant – ORELAC GmBH.	A.3	Information concerning the third party - ORELAC GmBH was provided in Annex 1 to the PDD version 03.	Relevant information is provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 07. Please indicate the project location on the map of Ukraine.	A.4.1.1	Project location on the map of Ukraine is indicated in Figure 1 of the PDD version 03.	Project location is specified, the issue is closed.
CAR 08. Please provide detailed information about facilities included in the project and the details of their physical location.	A.4.1.4	A complete list and addresses of gas distribution points (70 units), cabinet-type gas-distribution points (121 units) and gas fittings (1132 unit), that are included in the <u>project boundary</u> , is provided in Supporting Document 1 - "Registry of gas distribution points, cabinet-type gas distribution points, gas fittings of gas distribution networks of the Joint Implementation Project "Reduction of natural gas leaks at the gas distribution networks	Information provided, the issue is closed.



			VERITAS
Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz".	
CAR 09. Please describe further actions after a methane leak is detected in relevant GDN component.		After methane leak detection in a corresponding GDN component (GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas pipeline fittings), the unit is repaired or replaced with the use of modern sealing materials (GOST 7338-90, GOST 5152-84 or GOST 10330-76).	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 10. Please state whether a replacement of project equipment is planned in Section A.4.2.		Upon proper maintenance no replacement of equipment implemented in the framework of the project is expected during the project period. This information is provided in Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 03.	relevant section, the issue is
CAR 11 . Please provide information as to whether the project equipment complies with common practice in the sector.		The project equipment complies with common practice. Relevant information was provided in Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 03.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 12. Please specify whether there is any special staff training required in view of the project activity.		Training of employees and specialists of PJSC "Sevastopolgaz" will take place in accordance with practice that existed prior to the project, and in case of necessity, such as lack of skills for working with equipment that is implemented in the framework of the project activities, equipment manufacturers will conduct briefings and training, as stipulated in contracts	Information provided, the issue is closed.



			VERITAS
Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		for the purchase of equipment.	
CAR 13. Please specify the model of gas sensor to be implemented under the project.	A.4.2	The project provides for implementation of FP-12 gas sensor. Relevant information was provided in Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 03.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 14 . Tables in Section A.4.3.1 shall comply with the Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form.	A.4.3	Tables in Section A.4.3.1 were corrected.	Relevant corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 15. Please specify total estimated emission reductions and the annual average of estimated emission reductions in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent in Tables 2, 3,4 Section A.4.3.1.		The total estimated emission reductions and the annual average of estimated emission reductions are provided in tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent. Refer to the PDD version 03.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 16 . In Table 2 Section A.4.3.1 the duration of the crediting period is incorrect.	A.4.3	The duration of 2005-2007 crediting period is 3 years.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 17 . Section A.4.3.1 has an incorrect reference to Section E of the PDD.	A.4.3	The formula used to calculate emission reductions is provided in Section D.1.4.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 18. The project has no approval of the Host Party and the country–participant.	19	To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report together with this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine. A Letter of Approval of Switzerland as	The issue was closed after the Letter of Approval was issued by the Host Party.



			VERITAS
Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		a country-participant has not been obtained at the current stage of the Project either.	
CAR 19. PDD Section B.1 indicates that the baseline was set using the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, version 02, while the most recent verion is 03. Please use the newest versions of documents in JI PDD development.	23	For setting the baseline (measurement and calculation of methane leaks) the proposed project uses a specific approach based on the requirements to JI projects according to paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03.	·
CAR 20. Please specify the full name of the methodology AM0023 version 4.0, elements of which are used for determining the baseline.		The Methodology is based on approved Clean Development Mechanism methodology AM0023 version 4.0 "Leak detection and repair in gas production, processing, transmission, storage and distribution systems and in refinery facilities" and takes into account the specifics of methane leak detection and repair activity in Ukraine.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
CAR 21. In the description of compliance of the specific approach chosen with the third condition, an incorrent reference to Annex 1 was provided.		Implementation of stepwise procedures, creation of a broad database and application of systematic approach will enable to conduct reliable monitoring of repaired GDP (CGDP) gas equipment and gas fittings of pipelines, as well as to detect recurrent leaks if any (see	



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
CAR 22. In the Alternative 1.1 desription in PDD Section B.1, the type of ownership of "Sevastopolgaz" is incorrect.	23	Annex 3). Continuation of the current situation of natural gas (and thus methane) leak detection and repair is the most plausible alternative to the Project implementation, because it does not require any additional investment from PJSC «Sevastopolgaz». Corrections are made. See the PDD version 03.	Relevant corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 23. Please provide the description of W_y parameter in formula (B1) of PDD Section B.1.	24	W_{y} – average methane weight fraction in natural gas in period y of the project scenario (%)	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 24. In formulae (B1), please provide data units for GWP_{CH_4} parameter.	24	GWP_{CH_4} – global warming potential of methane (t CO_2 e/t CH_4)	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 25 . Tables of PDD Section B.1 provide incorrect information on the frequency of measurement/monitoring of GWP_{CH_4} parameter. Please make corresponding corrections.	24	The frequency of measurement/monitoring of GWP_{CH_4} parameter is throughout the crediting period.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 26 . Tables of PDD Section B.1 provide the description of $K_{i,h}^s$ parameter twice. Please delete the unnecessary information.	24	Unnecessary information deleted. See the PDD version 03.	Unnecessary information was deleted, the issue is closed.



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
CAR 27. Please provide a table with description of K_{i}^{n} parameter.	24	A table containing the description of K_{i}^{n} parameter is provided in Section B.1 of the PDD version 03.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 28. Please provide more details on the barrier associated with the absence of special technical knowledge. CAR 29. Reference to the Law of Ukraine	28	At the begining of the <u>Project</u> available qualified personnel did not have experience in operation and repair of more innovative equipment provided by the Project. Therefore, the Project implementation requires time to gain practical experience in installation, commissioning and further operation of the equipment included into the project boundary. References to the corresponding law	Information provided, the issue is closed. The correct reference was
"On basics of functioning of natural gas market" does not work.	28	are provided.	provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 30. Please provide an outcome of Substep 1.b.	28	The selected plausible, credible and conservative alternative (<i>Alternative 1.1</i>) fully correspondents to mandatory requirements and standards of the Ukrainian legislation. <i>Alternative 1.2</i> also doesn't contradict national legislation of Ukraine.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CAR 31 . The expected operational lifetime is incorrect.	34(b)	Expected operational lifetime of the Project in years and months is 12 years and 10 months, or 154 months, from 04/03/2005 to 31/12/2017.	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.



			VERTIAS
Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
CAR 32. Please, in Section C.3. of the PDD specify that the starting date of the crediting period is the date when the first emission reductions are expected to be generated.	34(c)	The starting date of the crediting period is the date when the first project measures at gas pipelines of PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» were carried out, namely 12/03/2005.	
CAR 33. Please check the compliance of description of parameters in Section D.1 with the description throughout the PDD.	36(b)	The description of parameters in Section D.1 is verified, corresponding corrections are made.	
CAR 34. The total amount of emission reductions indicated in Table 7 of the PDD is incorrect.	42	The estimated GHG emission reductions in the period of 2005–2017 are 395 923 t CO ₂ equivalent.	Relevant corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CAR 35. The date of CMU Resolution No. 1598 "On approval of the list of the most popular and dangerous pollutants, which emissions into the atmosphere are subject to regulation" is incorrect.	48 (a)	Decree of the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine No.1598 dated 29/11/2001 "On approval of the list of the most widespread and dangerous polluting substances which emissions are subject to regulation"	Corrections are made, the issue is closed.
CL 01. Please provide information on causes of methane leaks.	A. 2	The main reason of methane leaks is failure of sealing elements of equipment caused by temperature fluctuations and moisture. Relevant information is provided in Section A.2. of the PDD.	Information provided, the issue is closed.
CL 02. Please provide information on whether the project activity was planned without the JI mechanism.	A. 2	Prior to the project implementation, it was planned to apply JI mechanism stipulated by the Kyoto Protocol. Therefore ORELAC GmBH and PJSC «Sevastopolgaz» signed a Memorandum of Understanding	



Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		relating to the JI project	
CL 03 . Please provide relevant documents referred to in Section A.2 of the PDD.	A. 2	Relevant documents were provided to the determination team for the reference.	Necessary documents were provided, the issue is closed.
CL 04 . Please provide a reference to the sealant STSTs 7338-90, 5152-84, 10330-76 in Section A.4.2.	A.4.2	Relevant references are provided.	References were provided, the issue is closed.
CL 05 . Please provide the reference to AM0023 methodology in Section B.1. of the PDD.	23	Relevant references are provided in the PDD version 03.	References were provided, the issue is closed.
CL 07. Please provide a reference to the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring".	36 (b)	Relevant references are provided in the PDD version 03.	References were provided, the issue is closed.
CL 08 . Please, provide a reference to CMU Resolution No. 1598 in Section F.1. of the PDD.	48 (a)	Section D.4 of the PDD version 03 specifies that CEP Carbon Emissions Partners S.A. established the monitoring plan for the project.	References were provided, the issue is closed.