

## VIE DEDODT EODW

(version 04)						
(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)						
Accre	dited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI activity						
Refere	ence number and title of JI activity	0163: Kreivenai wind power park				
Parties	s involved in the JI activity	Lithuania Netherlands				
Projec	et participants	Energogrupe, UAB Ecocom BG, LTD				
Coord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.				
Туре	of JI activity: ⊠ large-scale □ small-	scale [	LULUCF	□ РоА		
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals						
Monito	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/2012-31/10/2012				
source	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	2490	3			
	resent verification is the first with regard to activity		Yes	⊠ No		
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A				
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A				
Appl P	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes						
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :						
Verification opinion Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the						

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3rd periodic verification of the "Kreivenai wind power park" Project in Lithuania, the project specific methodology. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of

outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Energogrupe, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emissions data and the reported GHG emissions reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 06 and its revision verified during the 1st verification. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in approved project design documents. Installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is and resulting GHG emissions reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 31/10/2012 Baseline emissions: 24,903 t CO2 equivalents; Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents; Emission Reductions (Year 2012): 24,903 t CO2 equivalents. The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring period, please confirm that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised in the current □ Yes No monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

Verification report						
	Writ	Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable				
⋈	Othe	Other relevant documents:				
		Document listing all revisions to the project	design, if applicable			
			ect design, if applicable, do not alter the original as defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines			
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
		Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
		Any other documents (please list):				
	Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 02/11/2012)					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Operational manager signing for the AIE			Witold Dzugan			
Date and signature			2012-12-07 Ling			