UNITY OF A CONTROL	03.1)		
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Ce	ertification Holdi	ng SAS
JI activ	/ity		
Reference number and title of JI activity	Modernization of PJSC "Kirovograd		distribution system at
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N/a		
Parties involved in the JI activity	UkraineSwitzerland		
Type of JI activity: ☑ large scale □ small	scale 🗆 LL	JLUCF	D PoA
Emission reductions / Enh	ancements of	removals	
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/01/20	008 – 31/12/20	010
Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.		
A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)	☑ N.A.		
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ equivalent)	1175315		
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	⊠ Ye	es	□ No
Statements regarding requirem	ents and pos	sible chan	ges
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of t relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JIS paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :			
No			
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference documents attached), including a statement regardi accordance with the Standard for applying the conc	ng materiality ar	nd level of as	surance, in
The verification opinion is provided in the attached rev.02, of 20/09/2011.	Verification Repo	ort, No. UKR	AINE-ver/0344/2011
The <u>project design was revised</u> after the determination has been deemed final		Yes	☑ No

If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes		□ No □ No □ No □ No □ No □ No
 conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised <i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i> The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes	 Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 	 No No No No No No
 the changes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. ☑ N.A. □ Yes	 Yes Yes Yes Yes 	 No No No No
 (a) Physical location of the project has not changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 changed (b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI POA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
 reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	⊠ N.A. ⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes □ Yes	□ No □ No
 (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of 	⊠ N.A. □ Yes	□ Yes	□ No
approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes	3	
If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of			⊠ No
measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes		
accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of	□ Yes	- •	
		□ No	☑ N.A.
List of documents attached to the verification report	form		
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification	n process and che	eck mark belo	w accordingly
Verification report			
Written approvals by the Parties involved			
☑ Other documents:			
Document listing all revisions to the p	oroject design, if	applicable	
Determination that the revisions to th original determination opinion and the the JI guidelines are still met			
Revised monitoring plan, if applicable	e		
 Determination that the revisions to th accuracy and/or applicability of inforr monitoring plan without changing con regulations for the establishment of n 	nation collected, nformity with the	, compared t e relevant rul	o the original
Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA o	only)		
Any other documents (please list):			
 Annex 1 to the Monitoring Report for about implementation of new and record grid in the monitoring period (Excel file 	onstruction of exis		
 Annex 2 to the Monitoring Report for reconstruction of existing segments monitoring period (Excel file) 	•		
- Annex 3 to the Monitoring Report for the	he period 01/01/20	008-31/12/20 ⁻	10: List of
	he period 01/01/20	008-31/12/20 ⁻	10: List of

metering equipment (Excel file))
 Annex 4 to the Monitoring Repo GHG emission reductions (Exc 	ort for the period 01/01/2008-31/12/2010: Calculation of el file)
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the does not constitute a conflict of interest which Authorized officer signing for the AIE	
does not constitute a conflict of interest which	h is incompatible with the role of an AIE