

## ALE DEDODT FORM

		AIE K	(version		JKIV	<b>71</b>		
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)								
Accred	dited indepen	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS						
			JI activ	vity				
Refere	ence number a	0173: Mockiai Wind Power Joint Implementation Project						
Parties	s involved in t	Lithuania Netherlands						
Projec	t participants	UAB Iverneta Stichting Carbon Finance (SCF)						
Coord	inating entity	(applicable to JI PoA	only)	N.A.				
Туре с	of JI activity:	scale	□ LUL	UCF	□ РоА			
		Emission reducti	ons/Enha	ancement	s of re	emovals		
Monito	oring period(s	) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/	′MM/YYYY)	01/01/2012-30/09/2012				
source	ed reductions es/enhanceme ks (tonnes of (	16	760					
	esent verifica		⊠ Yes		□ No			
to JI y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)		⊠ N/A	4				
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified			⊠ N/ <i>/</i>	Α.			
Appl	Sampling app	proach used to verify	the PoA	⊠ N/A	4	□ Yes	□ No	
	State	ements regarding	requiren	nents and	possi	ible chan	ges	
further to para	r relevant requagraph 37 of t	all requirements of A uirements defined by he JI guidelines <u>are r</u>	the CMP o					suant
Verification opinion								
Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the								

documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd monitoring period verification of "Mockiai wind power park joint implementation project", which applies the project specific methodology.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion. The management of UAB Iverneta is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the within the project Monitoring Plan indicated in the final PDD version 1.7 (dated 26/05/2011). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Monitoring Report version 1 (dated 30/09/2012) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as . planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we

confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement: Reporting period: From 01/01/2012 to 30/09/2012 Baseline emissions: 16760 t CO2 equivalents; Project emissions: 0 t CO2 equivalents; **Emission Reductions:** 16760 t CO2 equivalents; Total Emission Reductions (Year 2012): 16760 t CO2 equivalents The project design was revised in the current monitoring period, after the determination has □ Yes No been deemed final If yes, please list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a ⋈ N/A reference to an attached document containing the required information) If project design was revised in the current ⊠ N/A  $\square$  No □ Yes monitoring period, please confirm that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met If project design was revised in the current ⋈ N/A □ No monitoring period, please confirm that the changes □ Yes do not alter the original determination opinion If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, please confirm that: (a) Physical location of the project has not changed ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No (b) If emission sources have changed, they are ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No reflected in an updated monitoring plan (c) Baseline scenario has not changed ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No (d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach ⋈ N/A □ Yes □ No or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project The monitoring plan was revised in the current □ Yes ⊠ No monitoring period If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only) The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original ⊠ N/A □ Yes □ No monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans List of documents attached to the verification report form

Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly

Verification report							
☐ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.							
		Other relevant documents:					
			Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable				
			Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u> , if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met				
			Revised monitoring plan, if applicable				
			ermination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy for applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without nging conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				
			Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)				
		⊠	Any other documents (please list):				
Monitoring report version (version 1, dated 30/09/2012)							
Excel spreadsheet Monitoring data Mockiai 2012							
he AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above oes not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE							
perational manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan							

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE						
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Witold Dzugan					
Date and signature	05/11/2012					