



# DETERMINATION REPORT

## VEMA S.A.

### DETERMINATION OF THE

Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions  
by gasification of Kyiv city

REPORT No. UKRAINE-DET/0442/2012

REVISION No. 01

BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION



DETERMINATION REPORT

Date of first issue: <b>06/06/2012</b>	Organizational unit: <b>Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS</b>
Client: <b>VEMA S.A.</b>	Client ref.: <b>Fabian Knodel</b>

Summary:  
 Bureau Veritas Certification has made the determination of the "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city" project of VEMA S.A. located in Kyiv city, Ukraine, on the basis of UNFCCC criteria for the JI, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting. UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study, monitoring plan and other relevant documents, and consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion. The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

The first output of the determination process is a list of Clarification and Corrective Actions Requests (CL and CAR), presented in Appendix A. Taking into account this output, the project proponent revised its project design document.

In summary, it is Bureau Veritas Certification's opinion that the project correctly applies the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring and meets the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the JI and the relevant host country criteria.

Report No.: <b>UKRAINE-det/0442/2012</b>	Subject Group: <b>JI</b>
Project title: <b>"Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city"</b>	
Work carried out by: <b>Oleg Skoblyk – Team Leader, Climate Change Lead Verifier</b>	
Work reviewed by: <b>Ivan Sokolov - Internal Technical Reviewer</b>	
Work approved by: <b>Ivan Sokolov - Operational Manager</b>	
Date of this revision: <b>06/06/2012</b>	Rev. No.: <b>01</b>
Number of pages: <b>74</b>	

- No distribution without permission from the Client or responsible organizational unit
- Limited distribution
- Unrestricted distribution

Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS



<b>Table of Contents</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	INTRODUCTION .....	4
1.1	Objective	4
1.2	Scope	4
1.3	Determination team	4
2	METHODOLOGY .....	5
2.1	Review of Documents	5
2.2	Follow-up Interviews	5
2.3	Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests	6
3	PROJECT DESCRIPTION .....	7
4	DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS.....	9
4.1	Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)	9
4.2	Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)	9
4.3	Baseline setting (22-26)	9
4.4	Additionality (27-31)	12
4.5	Project boundary (32-33)	13
4.6	Crediting period (34)	13
4.7	Monitoring plan (35-39)	14
4.8	Leakage (40-41)	22
4.9	Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)	22
4.10	Environmental impacts (48)	24
4.11	Stakeholder consultation (49)	25
4.12	Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)	25
4.13	Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) projects (58-64)	25
4.14	Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)	26
5	SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES .....	25
6	DETERMINATION OPINION.....	26
7	REFERENCES .....	27
	APPENDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL.....	34



## 1 INTRODUCTION

VEMA S.A. has commissioned Bureau Veritas Certification to determine its JI project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city” (hereafter called “the project”) located in Kyiv, Ukraine.

This report summarizes the findings of the determination of the project, performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria, as well as criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

### 1.1 Objective

The determination serves as project design verification and is a requirement of all projects. The determination is an independent third party assessment of the project design. In particular, the project's baseline, the monitoring plan (MP), and the project's compliance with relevant UNFCCC and host country criteria are determined in order to confirm that the project design, as documented, is sound and reasonable and meets the stated requirements and identified criteria. Determination is a requirement for all JI projects and is seen as necessary to provide assurance to stakeholders of the quality of the project and its intended generation of emissions reductions units (ERUs).

UNFCCC criteria refer to Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI rules and modalities and the subsequent decisions by the JI Supervisory Committee, as well as the host country criteria.

### 1.2 Scope

The determination scope is defined as an independent and objective review of the project design document, the project's baseline study and monitoring plan and other relevant documents. The information in these documents is reviewed against Kyoto Protocol requirements, UNFCCC rules and associated interpretations.

The determination is not meant to provide any consulting towards the Client. However, stated requests for clarifications and/or corrective actions may provide input for improvement of the project design.

### 1.3 Determination team

The determination team consists of the following personnel:

Oleg Skoblyk

Bureau Veritas Certification Team Leader, Climate Change Lead Verifier



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

This determination report was reviewed by:

Ivan Sokolov  
Bureau Veritas Certification Internal reviewer

## **2 METHODOLOGY**

The overall determination, from Contract Review to Determination Report & Opinion, was conducted using Bureau Veritas Certification internal procedures.

In order to ensure transparency, a determination protocol was customized for the project, according to the version 01 of the Joint Implementation Determination and Verification Manual, issued by the Joint Implementation Supervisory Committee at its 19 meeting on 04/12/2009. The protocol shows, in a transparent manner, criteria (requirements), means of determination and the results from determining the identified criteria. The determination protocol serves the following purposes:

- It organizes, details and clarifies the requirements a JI project is expected to meet:
- It ensures a transparent determination process where the determiner will document how a particular requirement has been determined and the result of the determination.

The completed determination protocol consists of two tables and is enclosed in Appendix A to this report.

### **2.1 Review of Documents**

The Project Design Document (PDD) submitted by VEMA S.A and additional background documents related to the project design and baseline, i.e. country Law, Guidelines for users of the joint implementation project design document form, Approved CDM methodology and/or Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Kyoto Protocol, Clarifications on Determination Requirements to be Checked by an Accredited Independent Entity were reviewed.

To address Bureau Veritas Certification corrective action and clarification requests, VEMA S.A. revised the PDD version 01 dated 05/03/2012 and resubmitted it on 25/05/2012 as version 02.

The determination findings presented in this report relate to the project as described in the PDD versions 01, 02.

### **2.2 Follow-up Interviews**

On 28/05/2012 Bureau Veritas Certification performed on-site interviews with project stakeholders to confirm selected information and to resolve issues identified in the document review. Representatives of PJSC

“Kyivgas” and VEMA S.A. were interviewed (see References). The main topics of the interviews are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1. Interview topics**

Interviewed organization	Interview topics
PJSC “KYIVGAS”	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Project History</li> <li>➤ Project approach</li> <li>➤ Project boundary</li> <li>➤ Schedule of implementation</li> <li>➤ Organizational Structure</li> <li>➤ Responsibilities and obligations</li> <li>➤ Training</li> <li>➤ Quality control procedures and technologies</li> <li>➤ Modernization / installation of equipment (records)</li> <li>➤ Control of metering equipment</li> <li>➤ The system of keeping records of measurements, the database</li> <li>➤ Technical Documentation</li> <li>➤ Monitoring Plan and procedures</li> <li>➤ Permits and licenses</li> <li>➤ Environmental Impact Assessment</li> <li>➤ Stakeholders comments</li> </ul>
VEMA S.A.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>➤ Baseline methodology</li> <li>➤ Monitoring Plan</li> <li>➤ Additionality proofs</li> <li>➤ The calculations of emission reductions</li> <li>➤ Project design</li> <li>➤ Legal issues relating to the project</li> <li>➤ Environmental Impacts</li> <li>➤ Approval of the host party</li> </ul>

### 2.3 Resolution of Clarification and Corrective Action Requests

The objective of this phase of the determination is to raise the requests for corrective actions and clarification and any other outstanding issues that needed to be clarified for Bureau Veritas Certification positive conclusion on the project design.

Corrective Action Request (CAR) is issued, where:

(a) The project participants have made mistakes that will influence the ability of the project activity to achieve real, measurable additional emission reductions;

(b) The JI requirements have not been met;

(c) There is a risk that emission reductions cannot be monitored or calculated.





---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

The determination team may also issue Clarification Request (CL), if information is insufficient or not clear enough to determine whether the applicable JI requirements have been met.

The determination team may also issue Forward Action Request (FAR), informing the project participants of an issue that needs to be reviewed during the verification.

To guarantee the transparency of the verification process, the concerns raised are documented in more detail in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

### **3 PROJECT DESCRIPTION**

The main purpose of the project is reduction of greenhouse gas emissions by changing the structure of fuel consumption in industrial, utility, administrative and private sectors of Kyiv city by replacing solid and liquid fuels with natural gas. The project provides for the construction and expansion of gas distribution systems (GDS) of Kyiv city, which will also improve the energy efficiency of thermal power generation due to the transition of existing heating systems to natural gas. The Project that is initiated by PJSC "Kyivgas" will result in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere and will improve the environmental situation in the region.

The main type of activity of PJSC "Kyivgas" is natural gas distribution, transportation and supply. PJSC "Kyivgas" serves the Ukraine's capital, supplying natural gas to 2 million consumers, including individuals, more than 300 industrial enterprises and 1,300 public utilities.

Kyiv system of gas supply is a municipal property of Kyiv city territorial community. This municipal property was provided to PJSC «Kyivgas» by the Kyiv City Council for the use and administration. In addition, "Kyivgas" designs, constructs and repairs subsurface and above-ground gas pipelines, maintains boiler houses, installs inner-building gas equipment and meters and so on, as a part of its core activities.

One of the main objectives of PJSC «Kyivgas» is uninterrupted and safe gas provision of consumers in Kyiv city, as well as implementation of advanced solutions for the economical use of natural gas. For the implementation of the above, special attention is paid to the improvement of quality of maintenance of gas supply systems, timely overhaul thereof, gas pipelines protection from electrochemical corrosion and other damage. The Company uses modern reliable technologies of well-known national and foreign producers in order to ensure stable and safe operation of the gas supply system and to maintain the desired working gas pressure. However, the structure of existing tariffs for gas transportation regulated by the state does not take into consideration amortization and investment needs of gas distribution companies. This



## DETERMINATION REPORT

hinders the flow of sufficient funds for the purposes of repair, modernization and development of gas networks, procurement of appropriate technological equipment and components.

The project involves expansion of the territorial gas supply system, which includes construction and reconstruction of the gas distribution networks (GDN) and related equipment. The project provides for modernization of the fuel consumption system of Kyiv by means of transition of heat-generating systems to natural gas and transferring the consumers from centralized to individual heating and hot water supply systems, which, in turn, will lead to the use of more efficient and environmentally friendly fossil fuel (natural gas), improvement of the quality of heating and hot water supply services, reduction of thermal energy consumption due to increased efficiency of individual systems in comparison with the centralized ones.

In general, the project activity is aimed at:

- Ensuring the fuel (natural gas) supply to end users by means of the construction and reconstruction of gas distribution networks (gasification);
- Replacement of solid and liquid fuels with natural gas;
- Increase in heat energy consumption efficiency;
- Greenhouse gas emission reductions under the Joint Implementation (JI) Mechanism.

The project implementation will be carried out in three main sectors of Kyiv: industrial, social and administrative. Nowadays, natural gas consumption does not enjoy strong demand in Kyiv. First of all, this is due to the lack of an extensive gas distribution network that would meet the fuel demand of consumers of industrial and energy, social (household) and administrative sectors.

15/08/2006 – PJSC “Kyivgas” started to implement measures on gas distribution system expansion in Kyiv as part of the Joint Implementation Project.

25/07/2011 – an agreement was signed between VEMA S.A. and PJSC “Kyivgas” on preparation of the project design document for the Joint Implementation programme of greenhouse gas emission reduction by gasification of Kyiv.

09/08/2011 – supporting materials for the project of anthropogenic emission reductions were submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine.

19/04/2012 – the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine issued Letter of Endorsement No.1027/23/07 for the Joint Implementation project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city”.

The determination protocol contains CARs and CLs relating to the PDD versions 01 and 02.





## 4 DETERMINATION CONCLUSIONS

In the following sections, the conclusions of the determination are stated.

The findings from the desk review of the original project design documents and the findings from interviews during the follow up visit are described in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A.

The Clarification and Corrective Action Requests are stated, where applicable, in the following sections and are further documented in the Determination Protocol in Appendix A. The determination of the Project resulted in 40 Corrective Action Requests and 8 Clarification Requests.

The number between brackets at the end of each section corresponds to the DVM paragraph.

### 4.1 Project approvals by Parties involved (19-20)

The project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city” has already obtained support of the government of Ukraine, namely a Letter of Endorsement No.1027/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine.

Bureau Veritas Certification received this letter from the Project Participants and does not doubt in its authenticity.

After completion of Determination Report the project documentation will be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine for obtaining a Letter of Approval.

As the project has no approval by the Host Party, CAR 18 remains pending and will be closed after report finalizing (see Appendix A).

The identified areas of concern as to project approvals by the Parties, project participants response and Bureau Veritas Certification’s conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination Report (refer to CAR 18).

### 4.2 Authorization of project participants by Parties involved (21)

The participation for each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD is authorized by Parties involved, which are also listed in the PDD, through written Letters of Approval (from the government of Switzerland, as the country-investor, and from the government of Ukraine, as the host party). See Section 4.1 of this report.

### 4.3 Baseline setting (22-26)

The PDD explicitly indicates that using a methodology for baseline setting and monitoring developed in accordance with appendix B of the JI



## DETERMINATION REPORT

guidelines (hereinafter referred to as JI-specific approach) was the selected approach for identifying the baseline (in accordance with paragraph 11 of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring for JI projects, version 03).

To set the baseline a specific approach based on approved methodology ACM0009 «Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas - Version 3.2» was used.

Due to a large number of consumers, their wide variety in terms of sectors, and absence of data on types of heat-generating units, in accordance with conservative principles and based on approved methodology ACM0009 version 3.2 “Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas”, the efficiency factors indicated in the PDD were used for heat-generating units.

Those factors exceed substantially the efficiency factors of heat-generating units used by consumers prior to the project (described above), which leads to a decrease in calculated GHG emission reductions, which complies with conservative principles.

The PDD provides a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline is established:

- (a) By listing and describing the following plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one:
  - a. Scenario in which the company continues its current practice, without the JI project.
  - b. Scenario in which the project activities are implemented without the Joint Implementation mechanism.
- (b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances, such as sectoral reform initiatives, local fuel availability, power sector expansion plans, and the economic situation in the project sector. In this context, the following key factors that affect a baseline are taken into account:
  - a. The role of energy sector is absolute and crucial for Ukraine. Power sector is a political factor of sovereignty in Ukraine. Ukrainian economy is considered to be one of the most energy intensive in the world in terms of the consumption of primary energy per a gross domestic product unit. On March 15, 2006 the Cabinet of Ministers of Ukraine adopted “Energy Strategy of Ukraine till 2030”. The Energy strategy considers exploration of alternative and renewable energy sources as a



significant factor in increasing the level of energy safety, decrease of energy anthropogenic effect on environment and counteractions against global climate change.

- b. In the framework of the existing market model for the supply of fossil fuels, the effective competition among producers and suppliers of fuel can't be achieved; this market model can't also provide for the competitive fuel pricing, which would stimulate providers to improve efficiency and increase investment in the energy sector. Existing market mechanisms and targeted administrative measures don't provide the necessary modernization and upgrading of the existing energy carrier transportation systems. The situation is becoming particularly critical given the growth of the need for fossil fuel in the near future, the lack of which represents a threat to safe operation of local heating and hot water supply systems, electricity generation systems.
- c. Existing tariffs for natural gas supply are regulated by the state and do not include depreciation and investment needs of natural gas suppliers. This situation leads to a constant shortage of funds and the inability of timely capital repair of equipment, ensuring equipment operation, investment in modernization and development of the infrastructure.
- d. The current Ukrainian system of formation of the tariff for natural gas does not include an investment component for the development of gas distribution networks. According to the Law "On principles of the natural gas market functioning" PJSC «Kyivgas» is not obliged and it is unmotivated to build new gas distribution systems at its own expense. In addition, state investment programs in most cases are targeted at administrative and organizational implementations.
- e. State support in the field of natural gas transportation and supply is provided in amounts of funds provided by the law of Ukraine on State Budget of Ukraine for the relevant year.
- f. The project scenario requires attracting significant additional funds. Such investment is characterized by a significant payback period and high investment risks, that is why it is not attractive for investors.
- g. Ukraine is already implementing JI projects in the sphere of natural gas transportation and supply ("Reduction of



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Odesa region”, “Reduction of Methane Emissions at Flanged, Threaded Joints and Shut-down Devices of OJSC “Kyivgas”, “Reduction of natural gas emissions at OJSC “Odesagas” gate stations and gas distribution networks”)

The PDD provides a detailed description in a complete and transparent manner, as well as justification, that the baseline was duly set.

The methods of calculation used to determine the estimated and actual baseline emissions, are sufficiently described in Sections E and D of the PDD, respectively.

The identified areas of concern as to baseline setting, project participants response and Bureau Veritas Certification’s conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination report (refer to CAR 19 – CAR 27).

#### **4.4 Additionality (27-31)**

The most recent version of the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” approved by the CDM Executive Board was used, in accordance with the JI specific approach, defined in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring for JI projects, version 03. All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the selected tool or method.

The PDD provides a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description, as per item 4.3 above.

The developer of the project proved that anthropogenic emissions under the project are lower than the emissions that would take place in the absence of the project activity.

Additionality proofs are provided.

Two plausible and realistic alternative scenarios were identified in the project:

- Alternative 1.1: Continuation of the current practice without the JI project implementation.
- Alternative 1.2: The project activities without the Joint Implementation mechanism.

and mandatory compliance of the scenarios with the laws and legal acts was demonstrated.

According to the “Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality” (Version 06.0.0) investment analysis and common practice analysis were used in the PDD to justify additionality of the project.

Thus, the overall conclusion is that the project activity meets the criteria of additionality, is not a baseline scenario and is additional.



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

Additionality is demonstrated appropriately, as a result of the analysis, which is used by the approach chosen.

The identified areas of concern as to additionality, project participants response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination report (refer to CAR 28, CAR 29; CL 05).

#### **4.5 Project boundary (32-33)**

The project boundary defined in the PDD, which in accordance with the specific approach is delineated by the physical, geographical site of the unified gas supply system of PJSC "Kyivgas" (gas networks and gas supply facilities of settlements, gas pipelines, GDP, GDS, GDI, pressure regulators, gas supply systems of communal and industrial enterprises, gas supply to buildings and structures, etc.) and encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of greenhouse gases (GHGs) that are:

- (i) Under the control of the project participants, such as:
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion in heat-generating units caused by the use of the old energy carrier supply system by consumers;
  - CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion in heat-generating units caused by the use of the new energy carrier supply system by consumers.
- (ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as:
  - CO<sub>2</sub> leaks caused by natural gas combustion by gas turbine units in the process of natural gas transportation to end consumers;
  - CH<sub>4</sub> leaks in the process of gas transportation by gas transportation networks.
- (iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2 000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, whichever is lower.

The delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included are appropriately described and justified in the PDD.

#### **4.6 Crediting period (34)**

The PDD states the starting date of the project as the date when PJSC "Kyivgas" started to implement measures on gas distribution system expansion in Kyiv within the framework of the Joint Implementation



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

Project, and the starting date is 15/08/2006 which is after the beginning of 2000.

The PDD states the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months, which is 13 years, or 156 months, from January 1, 2007, to December 31, 2020.

The PDD states the length of the crediting period in years and months, which is 13 years, or 156 months, and the date the first emission reductions are expected to be generated was taken as the starting date of the crediting period, namely 01/01/2007.

The PDD states that the crediting period for the issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project.

The PDD states that the extension of its crediting period beyond 2012 is subject to the host Party approval, and the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals are presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in all relevant sections of the PDD.

The identified areas of concern as to crediting period, project participants response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to the Determination Report (refer to CAR 30, CAR 31).

#### **4.7 Monitoring plan (35-39)**

The PDD, in its monitoring plan section, explicitly indicates that JI specific approach was selected.

The monitoring plan describes all relevant factors and key characteristics that will be monitored, and the period in which they will be monitored, in particular also all decisive factors for the control and reporting of project performance, such as reporting forms, the operating structure and management structure of the enterprise, that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.

The monitoring plan specifies the indicators, constants and variables that are reliable (i.e. provide consistent and accurate values), valid (i.e. be clearly connected with the effect to be measured), and that provide a transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored such as: total amount of natural gas combusted by consumers; extension of gas distribution systems built as part of the project; net calorific value of natural gas; net calorific value of fossil fuel used before the gasification; carbon emission factor in the course of natural gas combustion; carbon oxidation factor in the course of natural



## DETERMINATION REPORT

gas combustion; carbon emission factor in the course of combustion of fossil fuel used before the gasification; carbon oxidation factor in the course of combustion of fossil fuel used before the gasification; default methane emission factor at technological equipment and at end consumer's place; default methane emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation and distribution; reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation to end consumers.

The monitoring plan draws on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring" developed by the JISC, as appropriate: baseline emissions ( $BE_y$ ), project emissions ( $PE_y$ ),  $CH_4$  emission factor ( $EF_{CH_4,y}$ ), global warming potential ( $GWP_{XX}$ ).

According to the guidelines for users of the JI PDD forms, revision # 04, the described approach to monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguishes:

- (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the PDD development stage:

$\eta_{BL,i}$	Efficiency of stationary coal or fuel oil combustion at "i" consumer's place, relative units
$\eta_{PJ,i}$	Efficiency of stationary natural gas combustion at "i" consumer's place, relative units

- (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not already available at the PDD development stage: none.

- (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period:

$FC_{NG,i,y}$	Total volume of natural gas combusted in period "y" by consumer "i", ths $m^3$
$L_{PJ,y}$	Length of gas distribution systems constructed in the framework of the project, ths km
$NCV_{NG,y}$	Net calorific value of natural gas, GJ/ ths $m^3$
$NCV_{FF,y}$	Net calorific value of fossil FF-type fuel, GJ/t (FF-type fuel means coal, fuel oil)
$EF_{C,NG,y}$	Carbon emission factor for natural gas combustion, t/TJ

## DETERMINATION REPORT

$OXID_{NG,y}$	Carbon oxidation factor for natural gas combustion, relative units
$EF_{C,FF,y}$	Carbon emission factor for fossil FF-type fuel combustion. (FF-type fuel means coal, fuel oil), t/TJ
$OXID_{C,FF,y}$	Carbon oxidation factor for fossil FF-type fuel combustion, relative units
$EF_{CH_4,los1,y}$	Default methane emission factor for natural gas transportation and distribution, t CH <sub>4</sub> e/th <sub>s</sub> km
$EF_{CH_4,los2,y}$	Default methane emission factor at technological equipment and at end consumer's place, t CH <sub>4</sub> e/PJ
$EF_{CO_2,GTU,y}$	Adjusted GHG emission factor for natural gas transportation to end consumers, t CO <sub>2</sub> e/th <sub>s</sub> m <sup>3</sup>
$GWP_{CH_4}$	Global warming potential for methane, t CO <sub>2</sub> e/t CH <sub>4</sub>

The monitoring plan describes the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording, such as data storage through accounting software.

The most objective and cumulative factor that provides a clear picture of whether the emission reduction took place is the fact of GHG emission reduction through replacement of fossil fuel with natural gas. It can be determined as the difference between baseline emissions and GHG emissions after the project implementation.

The monitoring plan elaborates all algorithms and formulae used for the estimation/calculation of baseline emissions and project emissions, including:

**Formulae used to estimate project emissions (for each gas, source, etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, t CO<sub>2</sub>e):**

$$PE_y = \sum_{i=1}^I PE_{i,y}, \text{ where:} \quad (1)$$

$PE_y$  - total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from natural gas combustion caused by the use of the new energy supply system by consumers, in period  $y$ , in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

$PE_{i,y}$  - GHG emissions from natural gas combustion caused by the use of the new energy supply system by consumer  $i$ , in period  $y$ , in the baseline scenario (tCO<sub>2</sub>e);

$[y]$  - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

$[i]$  - index that corresponds to consumer

$[I]$  - index that corresponds to the total number of consumers

## DETERMINATION REPORT

$$PE_{i,y} = \frac{FC_{NG,i,y} \cdot NCV_{NG,y} \cdot EF_{CO_2,NG,y}}{1000}, \text{ where:} \quad (2)$$

$FC_{NG,i,y}$  - natural gas combusted by consumer  $i$ , in period  $y$ , in the project scenario (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$NCV_{NG,y}$  - net calorific value of natural gas (GJ/ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$EF_{CO_2,NG,y}$  - default carbon dioxide emission factor for stationary combustion of natural gas, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub> /TJ);  
 1000 - GJ to TJ conversion coefficient (GJ/TJ)

[NG] - index that corresponds to natural gas;

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[i] - index that corresponds to consumer.

$$EF_{CO_2,NG,y} = EF_{C,NG,y} \cdot OXID_{NG,y} \cdot 44/12, \text{ where:} \quad (3)$$

$EF_{C,NG,y}$  - carbon emission factor for natural gas combustion (t C/TJ);

$OXID_{NG,y}$  - carbon oxidation factor for natural gas combustion (relative units);

44/12 - stoichiometric ratio between the molecular weight of carbon dioxide and carbon (t CO<sub>2</sub> /t C);

[NG] - index that corresponds to natural gas;

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period.

**Formulae used to estimate baseline emissions (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

$$BE_y = \sum_{i=1}^I BE_{i,y}, \text{ where:} \quad (4)$$

$BE_y$  - total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from fossil fuel combustion caused by the use of the old energy supply system by consumers, in period  $y$  in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

$BE_{i,y}$  - GHG emissions from fossil fuel combustion caused by the use of the old energy supply system by consumer  $i$ , in period  $y$  in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e).

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[i] - index that corresponds to consumer

## DETERMINATION REPORT

[I] - index that corresponds to the total number of consumers

$$BE_{i,y} = \frac{FC_{FF,i,y} \cdot NCV_{FF,y} \cdot EF_{CO_2,FF,y}}{1000}, \text{ where:} \quad (5)$$

$FC_{FF,i,y}$  - total FF-type fossil fuel that would have been combusted by consumer  $i$ , in period  $y$ , in the baseline scenario (t);

$NCV_{FF,y}$  - net calorific value of FF-type fossil fuel (GJ/t);

$EF_{CO_2,FF,y}$  - default carbon dioxide emission factor for stationary combustion of FF-type fossil fuel, in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub> /TJ);  
 1000 – GJ to TJ conversion coefficient (GJ/TJ)

[ $y$ ] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[ $FF$ ] - index that corresponds to fossil fuel type;

[ $i$ ] - index that corresponds to consumer.

$$FC_{FF,i,y} = FC_{NG,i,y} \cdot \frac{NCV_{NG,y} \cdot \eta_{PJ,i}}{NCV_{FF,y} \cdot \eta_{BL,i}}, \text{ where:} \quad (6)$$

$FC_{NG,i,y}$  - natural gas combusted by consumer  $i$ , in period  $y$ , in the project scenario (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$NCV_{NG,y}$  - net calorific value of natural gas (GJ/th<sup>s</sup> m<sup>3</sup>);

$NCV_{FF,y}$  - net calorific value of FF-type fossil fuel (GJ/t);

$\eta_{PJ,i}$  - efficiency of stationary natural gas combustion at the site of consumer  $i$ ;

$\eta_{BL,i}$  - efficiency of stationary coal or fuel oil combustion at the site of consumer  $i$ ;

[ $y$ ] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[ $BL$ ] - index that corresponds to the baseline scenario;

[ $PJ$ ] - index that corresponds to the project scenario

[ $NG$ ] - index that corresponds to natural gas;

[ $FF$ ] - index that corresponds to type of fossil fuel;

[ $i$ ] - index that corresponds to consumer.

$$EF_{CO_2,FF,y} = EF_{C,FF,y} \cdot OXID_{FF,y} \cdot 44/12, \text{ where:} \quad (7)$$

## DETERMINATION REPORT

$EF_{C,FF,y}$  - carbon emission factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion (t C/TJ);

$OXID_{FF,y}$  - carbon oxidation factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion (relative units);

44/12 - stoichiometric ratio of molecular weight of carbon dioxide to carbon (t CO<sub>2</sub> /t C);

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[FF] - index that corresponds to fossil fuel type.

**Formulae used to estimate leaks (for each gas, source etc.; emissions in units of tCO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

$$LE_y = LE_{CO_2,los,y} + LE_{CO_2,GTU,y}, \text{ where:} \quad (8)$$

$LE_{CO_2,los,y}$  - methane leaks at technological equipment and at end consumer's place in period y, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

$LE_{CO_2,GTU,y}$  - GHG leaks due to combustion of gas fuel by gas turbine units in the course of transportation of natural gas to end consumers (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[los] - index that corresponds to methane leaks from technological equipment and at end consumers' place

[GTU] - index that corresponds to leaks from gas fuel combustion in gas turbine units during the transportation of gas to end consumers.

$$LE_{CO_2,los,y} = LE_{CO_2,los1,y} + LE_{CO_2,los2,y}, \text{ where:} \quad (9)$$

$LE_{CO_2,los1,y}$  - GHG leaks from methane leaks at technological equipment in period y, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

$LE_{CO_2,los2,y}$  - GHG leaks from methane leaks at equipment of end consumers in period y, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

[y] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[los1] - index that corresponds to methane leaks from technological equipment

[los2] - index that corresponds to methane leaks at end consumers' place

$$LE_{CO_2,los1,y} = \sum L_{PJ,y} \cdot EF_{CH_4,los1,y} \cdot GWP_{CH_4}, \text{ where:} \quad (10)$$

## DETERMINATION REPORT

$L_{PJ,y}$  - length of gas distribution systems constructed in the framework of the project (ths km);

$EF_{CH_4,p,los1,y}$  - default methane emission factor for natural gas transportation and distribution (t CH<sub>4</sub> /ths km);

$GWP_{CH_4}$  - global warming potential for methane; determined according to the IPCC recommendations, (tCO<sub>2</sub>e/tCH<sub>4</sub>).

[ $y$ ] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[ $los1$ ] - index that corresponds to methane leaks from technological equipment

[ $PJ$ ] - index that corresponds to project scenario

$$LE_{CO_2,los2,y} = \frac{\sum_1^i FC_{NG,i,y} \cdot NCV_{NG,y} \cdot EF_{CH_4,los2,y} \cdot GWP_{CH_4}}{10^6}, \text{ where:} \quad (11)$$

$\sum_1^i FC_{NG,i,y}$  - total natural gas consumption in period  $y$  by consumers (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$NCV_{NG,y}$  - net calorific value of natural gas (GJ/ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$EF_{CH_4,los2,y}$  - default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment at end consumers place (t CH<sub>4</sub>/PJ).

$GWP_{CH_4}$  - global warming potential for methane, t CO<sub>2</sub>e/t CH<sub>4</sub>; determined according to the IPCC recommendations, (tCO<sub>2</sub>/tCH<sub>4</sub>);

$10^6$  – GJ/PJ conversion coefficient (GJ/PJ)

[ $y$ ] - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[ $NG$ ] - index that corresponds to natural gas

[ $i$ ] – index that corresponds to consumer

[ $los2$ ] - index that corresponds to methane leaks at end consumers' place

[ $I$ ] - index that corresponds to the total number of consumers.

$$LE_{CO_2,GTU,y} = \frac{\sum_1^i FC_{NG,i,y} \cdot EF_{CO_2,GTU,y}}{1000}, \text{ where:} \quad (12)$$

$\sum_1^i FC_{NG,i,y}$  - total natural gas combusted in period  $y$  by consumer  $i$  (ths m<sup>3</sup>);

$EF_{CO_2,GTU,y}$  - reduced GHG emission factor in the course of natural gas transportation to end consumers (t CO<sub>2</sub>e/ths m<sup>3</sup>). Determination of the



factor is provided in section of Annex 3 and in Supporting Document 1.3. (Excel file).

[*GTU*]- index that corresponds to leaks from gas fuel combustion in gas turbine units during the transportation of natural gas to end consumers.

[*y*] - - index that corresponds to monitoring period;

[*NG*] - index that corresponds to natural gas

[*i*] – index that corresponds to consumer

**Formulae used to estimate emission reductions for the project (for each gas, source etc.; emissions/emission reductions in units of t CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent):**

Quantity of Emission Reduction Units (ER), t CO<sub>2</sub>e:

$$ER_y = BE_y - PE_y - LE_y, \text{ where:} \quad (13)$$

*BE<sub>y</sub>* - total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from fossil fuel combustion caused by the use of the old energy supply system by consumers, in period *y* in the baseline scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

*PE<sub>y</sub>* - total greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions from natural gas combustion caused by the use of the new energy supply system by consumers, in period *y*, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

*LE<sub>y</sub>* - GHG leaks caused by the use of the new energy supply system by consumers, in period *y*, in the project scenario (t CO<sub>2</sub>e);

[*y*] - index that corresponds to monitoring period.

The monitoring plan presents the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, which are sufficiently described in tabular form in PDD Sections D.1.1.1., D.1.1.3. and D.2. This includes, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available on request.

The monitoring plan clearly identifies the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities. Collection of all the key parameters necessary for monitoring and calculation of greenhouse gases emissions reduction are constantly carried out according to the practice, established in PJSC “Kyivgas”. Monitoring under the project does not require changes in existing data accounting and collection system.

On the whole, the monitoring report reflects good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type.

The monitoring plan provides, in tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources (e.g. official statistics, expert judgment, proprietary data, IPCC, commercial and scientific literature etc.) but not including data that are calculated with equations.

The monitoring plan indicates that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project.

The identified areas of concern as to the monitoring plan, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 32 – CAR 37; CL 06, CL 07).

#### **4.8 Leakage (40-41)**

The PDD appropriately describes an assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explains which sources of leakage are to be calculated, and which can be neglected.

According to the specific approach based on approved methodology ACM0009 "Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas," Version 3.2, the PDD defines the following types of leakage:

- methane leaks at technological equipment and at end consumer's place;
- GHG leaks in the process of combustion of natural gas by gas turbine units for transportation of natural gas to end consumers.

Leaks associated with fossil fuel supply to the consumer under the baseline scenario are excluded from calculations because they are beyond the project developer's control.

#### **4.9 Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals (42-47)**

The PDD indicates assessment of emissions in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario as the approach chosen to estimate the emission reductions or enhancement of net removals generated by the project.

The PDD provides the ex ante estimates of:

(a) Emission reductions from the project (within the project boundary), which are 475 802 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2007, 3 998 768 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2008-2012, 8 469 272 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2013-2020;



## DETERMINATION REPORT

(b) Leakage (within the project boundary), which are 42 625 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2007, 328 207 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2008-2012, 677 088 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2013-2020;

(c) Emissions for the baseline scenario (within the project boundary), which are 952 714 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e 2007, 7 552 358 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2008-2012, 15 998 592 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2013-2020;

(d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (based on (a)-(c) above), which are 434 287 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2007, 3 225 383 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2008-2012, 6 852 232 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub>e in 2013-2020.

The estimates referred to above are given:

(a) On an annual basis;

(b) From 01/01/2007 to 31/12/2020, covering the whole crediting period;

(c) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis;

(d) For each GHG, i.e. CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>;

(e) In tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent using global warming potentials defined by Decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol.

The formulae used for calculating the estimates referred above are given in Section 4.7. All formulae are consistent throughout the PDD.

For calculating the estimates referred to above, key factors, e.g. the Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, as well as key relevant factors such as availability of funds for implementation of measures envisaged by the project, tariffs that are set by the state, modern technology and the ability to implement know-how in gasification sphere, influencing the baseline emissions and the activity level of the project and the emissions as well as risks associated with the project were taken into account, as appropriate.

Data sources used for calculating the estimates referred to above, such as documents and archival data of the enterprise, standards and statistical forms, results of annual meter readings, etc. are clearly identified, reliable and transparent.

Emission factors, such as carbon emission factor for natural gas combustion ( $EF_{C,NG,y}$ ), carbon emission factor for fossil fuel combustion



## DETERMINATION REPORT

( $EF_{C,FF,y}$ ), adjusted GHG emission factor for natural gas transportation to end consumer ( $EF_{CO_2,GTU,y}$ ), default methane emission factor for natural gas transportation and distribution ( $EF_{CH_4,los1,y}$ ), default methane emission factor at technological gas equipment at end consumers place  $EF_{CH_4,los2,y}$  were selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice.

The estimation referred to above is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner.

The estimates referred to above are consistent throughout the PDD.

The annual average of estimated emission reductions over the crediting period is calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period, and multiplying by twelve.

Detailed algorithms of calculations and their results are described in Section D, E and Supporting Documents to the PDD.

The identified areas of concern as to the evaluation of emission reductions, project participants' response and Bureau Veritas Certification's conclusion are described in Appendix A to Determination Report (refer to CAR 38, CAR 39)

#### **4.10 Environmental impacts (48)**

Sections F.1. and F.2. of the PDD list and the PDD attaches documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party.

The PDD provides conclusion and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment that meets basic requirements stated in the State Building Norms of Ukraine A.2.2-1-2003, "Structure and content of environmental impact assessment (EIA) in the process of design and construction of plants, buildings and structures".

PJSC "Kyivgas" has the necessary EIA for all the gas distribution network projects in accordance with the legislation of Ukraine. EIA of the projects is developed by subcontracting project-assembling organizations and is provided in the sections of reconstruction project document of PJSC «Kyivgas».



---

**DETERMINATION REPORT**

---

According to the PDD, facilities included in the project boundaries meet all standards and requirements of the Laws of Ukraine "On air protection" and "On Environmental Protection», and the SSR -96 "Planning and development of human settlements", are environmentally safe and do not make any negative impact on the environment.

Overall, the impact of the project "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city" on the environment during the construction work can be assessed as permissible, because the impact is temporary. Project facilities are not included in the list of activities and facilities of environmental hazard.

The PDD provides conclusion and all references to supporting documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party.

The problem issues revealed as to environmental impacts, comments of project participants and the opinion of Bureau Veritas Certification are described in Annex A of the Determination Report (refer to CAR 40, CL 08).

#### **4.11 Stakeholder consultation (49)**

In pursuance of requirements of Art. 18 of the Law of Ukraine "On planning and development of areas" and Art. 11 of the Law of Ukraine "On ecological expertise", PJSC «Kyivgas» informs the public through local media on the implementation of territory planning.

All comments relating to the project implementation were positive. No negative comments were received.

#### **4.12 Determination regarding small scale projects (50-57)**

Not applicable.

#### **4.13 Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry (LULUCF) projects (58-64)**

Not applicable.

#### **4.14 Determination regarding programmes of activities (65-73)**

Not applicable.

### **5 SUMMARY AND REPORT OF HOW DUE ACCOUNT WAS TAKEN OF COMMENTS RECEIVED PURSUANT TO PARAGRAPH 32 OF THE JI GUIDELINES**

No comments, pursuant to paragraph 32 of the JI Guidelines, were received.



## 6 DETERMINATION OPINION

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the determination of the project «Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city». The determination was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The determination consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) the resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final determination report and opinion.

Project participant/s used the latest tool for demonstration of the additionality. In line with this tool, the PDD provides investment analysis and common practice analysis to determine that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario.

Emission reductions attributable to the project are hence additional to any that would occur in the absence of the project activity. Given that the project is implemented and maintained as designed, the project is likely to achieve the estimated amount of emission reductions.

The determination revealed one pending issue related to the current determination stage of the project: the written approval of the project by the host Country (Ukraine) wasn't obtained. If the written approval by the host Country is awarded, it is our opinion that the project as described in the Project Design Document, Version 02 dated 25/05/2012 meets all the relevant UNFCCC requirements for the determination stage and the relevant host Party criteria.

The determination is based on the information made available to us and the engagement conditions detailed in this report.



## 7 REFERENCES

### Category 1 Documents:

Background documents related to the design and/or methodologies employed in the design or other reference documents.

/1/	PDD «Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city», version 01 dated 05/03/2012
/2/	PDD «Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city», version 02 dated 25/05/2012
/3/	Supporting Document 1.1 «Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city”
/4/	Supporting Document 1.2 «Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city”
/5/	Supporting Document 1.3 «Calculation of GHG emission reductions under the project “Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city”
/6/	Supporting Document 2 "Investment analysis"
/7/	Supporting Document 3 "Determination of average gas boiler efficiency rate"
/8/	Supporting Document 4 "Technical registry of gas pipelines"
/9/	Letter of Endorsement No.1027/23/7 dated 19/04/2012 issued by the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine
/10/	Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form. Version 04, JISC
/11/	ACM0009 "Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas," Version 3.2
/12/	“Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality”, Version 06.0.0
/13/	The Kyoto Protocol
/14/	Marrakech Accords, JI Methods
/15/	National inventory report on emissions by sources and removals of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for the period of 1990-2010
/16/	Ukraine’s Third National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol
/17/	Ukraine’s Fourth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol
/18/	Ukraine’s Fifth National Communication on Climate Change under the Kyoto Protocol
/19/	The decree of NERC of Ukraine No.983 of 04/09/2002, Kyiv, “On approval of the Calculation Methodology for tariffs for natural gas

## DETERMINATION REPORT

	transportation and supply for gas supply and gasification enterprises”
/20/	Law of Ukraine "On metrology and metrological activity"
/21/	Law of Ukraine "On basics of natural gas market functioning"
/22/	Law of Ukraine “On atmospheric air protection"
/23/	Law of Ukraine “On environmental protection”
/14/	Law of Ukraine “On state statistics”
/15/	Law of Ukraine “On waste”
/16/	Law of Ukraine “On territory planning and development”
/17/	Law of Ukraine “On environmental impact assessment”
/18/	JI Guidelines. Annex to Decision 9/CMP.1.
/19/	JI Guidance for determination and verification, version 01
/20/	Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, JISC. Version 03

**Category 2 Documents:**

Documents provided to VEMA S.A. that relate directly to the GHG components of the project.

1.	Agreement No.45/09 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 15/08/2006
2.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.45/09 dated 15/08/2006
3.	Agreement No.11/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
4.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.11/01-15-1 dated 31/01/2007
5.	Agreement No.15/02-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 07/02/2007
6.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.15/02-15-1 dated 07/02/2007
7.	Agreement No.10/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
8.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.10/01-15-1 dated 31/01/2007
9.	Agreement No.9/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
10.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.9/01-15-1 dated 31/01/2007
11.	Agreement No.8/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
12.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.8/01-15-1 dated



## DETERMINATION REPORT

	31/01/2007
13.	Agreement No. T12/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
14.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No. T12/01-15-1 dated 31/01/2007
15.	Agreement No.131/01-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 31/01/2007
16.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.131/01-15-1 dated 31/01/2007
17.	Agreement No.25/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/03/2007
18.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.25/03-15-1 dated 28/03/2007
19.	Agreement No.34/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 21/03/2007
20.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.34/03-15-1 dated 21/03/2007
21.	Agreement No.33/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 21/03/2007
22.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.33/03-15-1 dated 21/03/2007
23.	Agreement No.32/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 21/03/2007
24.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.32/03-15-1 dated 21/03/2007
25.	Agreement No.27/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 21/03/2007
26.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.27/03-15-1 dated 21/03/2007
27.	Agreement No.41/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/03/2007
28.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.41/03-15-1 dated 28/03/2007
29.	Agreement No.22/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 16/03/2007
30.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.22/03-15-1 dated 16/03/2007
31.	Agreement No.20/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 16/03/2007
32.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.20/03-15-1 dated 16/03/2007
33.	Agreement No.21/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 16/03/2007
34.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.21/03-15-1 dated 16/03/2007
35.	Agreement No.18/02-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated



## DETERMINATION REPORT

	20/02/2007
36.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.18/02-15-1 dated 20/02/2007
37.	Agreement No.55/05-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 05/06/2007
38.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.55/05-15-1 dated 05/06/2007
39.	Agreement No.56/05-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 05/06/2007
40.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.56/05-15-1 dated 05/06/2007
41.	Agreement No.59/06-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 25/06/2007
42.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.59/06-15-1 dated 25/06/2007
43.	Agreement No.23/04-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 11/04/2007
44.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.23/04-15-1 dated 11/04/2007
45.	Agreement No.53/05-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 23/05/2007
46.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.53/05-15-1 dated 23/05/2007
47.	Agreement No.46/04-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 11/04/2007
48.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.46/04-15-1
49.	Agreement No.43/04-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 11/04/2007
50.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.43/04-15-1 dated 11/04/2007
51.	Agreement No.45/04-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 11/04/2007
52.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.45/04-15-1 dated 11/04/2007
53.	Agreement No.42/04-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 11/04/2007
54.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.42/04-15-1 dated 11/04/2007
55.	Agreement No.T35/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 23/03/2007
56.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.T35/03-15-1 dated 23/03/2007
57.	Agreement No.40/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/03/2007
58.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.40/03-15-1 dated 28/03/2007



## DETERMINATION REPORT

59.	Agreement No.38/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/03/2007
60.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.38/03-15-1 dated 28/03/2007
61.	Agreement No.36/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 23/03/2007
62.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.36/03-15-1 dated 23/03/2007
63.	Agreement No.T37/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 23/03/2007
64.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.T37/03-15-1 dated 23/03/2007
65.	Agreement No.31/03-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 16/03/2007
66.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.31/03-15-1 dated 16/03/2007
67.	Agreement No.54/05-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 05/06/2007
68.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.54/05-15-1 dated 05/06/2007
69.	Agreement No.57/05-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 23/06/2007
70.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.57/05-15-1 dated 23/06/2007
71.	Agreement No.60/06-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 25/06/2007
72.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.60/06-15-1 dated 25/06/2007
73.	Agreement No.85/10-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 05/10/2007
74.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.85/10-15-1 dated 05/10/2007
75.	Agreement No.84/10-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 05/10/2007
76.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.84/10-15-1 dated 05/10/2007
77.	Agreement No.48/26-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 26/09/2007
78.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.48/26-15-1 dated 26/09/2007
79.	Agreement No.76/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 20/08/2007
80.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.76/08-15-1 dated 20/08/2007
81.	Agreement No.81/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/08/2007



## DETERMINATION REPORT

82.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.81/08-15-1 dated 28/08/2007
83.	Agreement No.78/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/08/2007
84.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.78/08-15-1 dated 28/08/2007
85.	Agreement No.80/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/08/2007
86.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.80/08-15-1 dated 28/08/2007
87.	Agreement No.82/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 28/08/2007
88.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.82/08-15-1 dated 28/08/2007
89.	Agreement No.75/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 20/08/2007
90.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.75/08-15-1 dated 20/08/2007
91.	Agreement No.72/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 13/08/2007
92.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.72/08-15-1 dated 13/08/2007
93.	Agreement No.73/08-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 13/08/2007
94.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.73/08-15-1 dated 13/08/2007
95.	Agreement No.84/17-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 17/10/2007
96.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.84/17-15-1 dated 17/10/2007
97.	Agreement No.82/17-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 17/10/2007
98.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.82/17-15-1 dated 17/10/2007
99.	Agreement No.81/17-15-1 for sale/purchase of gas transportation facility dated 17/10/2007
100.	Certificate of delivery and acceptance to agreement No.81/17-15-1 dated 17/10/2007

**Persons interviewed:**

List of persons interviewed during the determination or persons that contributed with other information that are not included in the documents listed above.





## DETERMINATION REPORT

	<b>Name</b>	<b>Organization</b>	<b>Title</b>
/1/	Bernatskyi B.E.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	Chief Engineer, Working Team Leader
/2/	Shevchuk Ye.Ye.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	Working Team Engineer
/3/	Dovbysh V.Yu.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	Working Team Secretary
/4/	Yuriev D.O.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	Working Team Technical Engineer
/5/	Ovcharuk S.S.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	First Deputy Chairman of the Board
/6/	Moshenets D.M.	PJSC "Kyivgas"	Deputy Working Team Leader



## DETERMINATION REPORT

## APPENDIX A: COMPANY PROJECT DETERMINATION PROTOCOL

## BUREAU VERITAS CERTIFICATION HOLDING SAS

Check list for determination, according to the JOINT IMPLEMENTATION DETERMINATION AND VERIFICATION MANUAL (Version 01)

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
<b>Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form</b>				
<b>Section A General description of the project</b>				
<b>A.1. Title of the project</b>				
A.1	Is the title of the project presented?	The title is presented. The title of the project is "Reduction of greenhouse gases emissions by gasification of Kyiv city".	OK	OK
A.1	Is the sectoral scope to which the project pertains presented?	<b>CAR 01.</b> Please specify the Sectoral scope.	<b>CAR 01</b>	OK
A.1	Is the current version number of the document presented?	The current version of the document: PDD, Version 02 dated 25/05/2012. See Section A.1.	OK	OK
A.1	Is the date when the document was created presented?	The date when the document was created: 25/05/2012.	OK	OK
<b>A.2. Description of the project</b>				
A.2	Is the purpose of the project included with a concise, summarizing explanation (max. 1-2 pages) of the: a) Situation existing prior to the starting	The main purpose of the project is to reduce GHG emissions by changing the structure of fuel consumption in Kyiv through replacement of solid and liquid fuels with natural gas. The project provides for	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	date of the project b) Baseline scenario and c) Project scenario (expected outcome, including a technical description)?	the construction and expansion of gas distribution systems (GDS) of Kyiv, which will also improve the energy efficiency of thermal power generation due to the transition of existing heating systems to natural gas. The Project that is initiated by PJSC "Kyivgas" will result in the reduction of greenhouse gas emissions into the atmosphere and will improve the environmental situation in the region. Detailed information on the baseline and project scenarios with technical description is given in Sections A.2 and A.4.2. of the PDD.		
A.2	Is the history of the project (incl. its JI component) briefly summarized?	<b>CAR 02.</b> Please provide more detailed information about the situation existing prior to the project in Section A.2. <b>CAR 03.</b> Please provide the starting date of the project in format dd/mm/yy.	<b>CAR 02</b> <b>CAR 03</b>	OK OK
<b>A.3. Project participants</b>				
A.3	Are project participants and Party (ies) involved in the project listed?	Parties involved in the project: PJSC "Kyivgas" (Ukraine - the host party) and VEMA S.A. (Switzerland).	OK	OK
A.3	Is the data of the project participants presented in tabular format?	The data of the project participants is presented in tabular format.	OK	OK
A.3	Is contact information provided in Annex 1	Contact information is provided in Annex 1 of the PDD.	<b>CAR 04</b>	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	of the PDD?	<b>CAR 04.</b> Please provide Annex 1 tables in accordance with the "Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form" (version 04).		
A.3	Is it indicated, if it is the case, that the Party involved is a host Party?	Ukraine is the Host Party.	OK	OK
<b>A.4 Technical description of the project</b>				
<b>Location of the project</b>				
A.4.1.1	Host Party(ies)	Ukraine is the Host Party.	OK	OK
A.4.1.2	Region/State/Province etc.	Kyiv region, Ukraine	OK	OK
A.4.1.3	City/Town/Community etc.	Kyiv	OK	OK
A.4.1.4	Detail of the physical location, including information allowing the unique identification of the project. (This section should not exceed one page).	Information about location is given in Section A.4.1.4 of the PDD. <b>CAR 05.</b> Please provide detailed information about facilities included in the project and the details of their physical location.	<b>CAR 05</b>	OK
<b>A.4.2. Technologies to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project</b>				
A.4.2	Are the technology (ies) to be employed, or measures, operations or actions to be implemented by the project, including all relevant technical data and the implementation schedule described?	PDD Section A.4.2 provides the description of the main stages of the project implementation, the annual project activities schedule, some relevant technical data relating to main equipment to be installed as well as project activities.	<b>CAR 06</b> <b>CAR 07</b> <b>CAR 08</b> <b>CAR 09</b> <b>CAR 10</b>	<b>OK</b> <b>OK</b> <b>OK</b> <b>OK</b> <b>OK</b>



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		<p>Project engineering represents the current cutting-edge practice.</p> <p><b>CAR 06.</b> Please provide information on the manufacturer of flexible gas pipes to be implemented under the project.</p> <p><b>CAR 07.</b> Please provide links to EFAWA gas fittings manufacturer's website.</p> <p><b>CAR 08.</b> Please provide the explanation of AGMS acronym in Section A.4.2.</p> <p><b>CAR 09.</b> Please provide the project schedule in tabular form with indication of start dates and end dates for each activity and stage.</p> <p><b>CAR 10.</b> Please provide the explanation to Figure 10.</p> <p><b>CAR 11.</b> Please provide the translation of the explanation to Figure 7, presented in PDD Section A.4.2, into the Ukrainian language.</p> <p><b>CAR 12.</b> Please provide information on the length of the project pipeline.</p> <p><b>CL 01.</b> Please provide the information on maximum number of consumers AGMS is capable of servicing.</p> <p><b>CL 02.</b> Please verify the links to AGMS equipment manufacturers' web-sites.</p>	<p><b>CAR 11</b></p> <p><b>CAR 12</b></p> <p><b>CAR 13</b></p> <p><b>CAR 14</b></p> <p><b>CL 01</b></p> <p><b>CL 02</b></p> <p><b>CL 03</b></p> <p><b>CL 04</b></p>	<p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p> <p><b>OK</b></p>



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		<p><b>CL 03.</b> Please provide the explanation of horizontal drilling method in Section A.4.2.</p> <p><b>CL 04.</b> Please provide the information on the purpose of the geographic information system (GIS).</p> <p><b>CAR 13.</b> The project provides for the installation of cathodic protection plants, which is indicated in Section A.4.2 of the PDD. Please provide photos of this equipment.</p> <p><b>CAR 14.</b> Figure 8, PDD Section A.4.2, depicts the principal scheme of cathode protection. Please provide explanation of its elements.</p>		
<p><b>A.4.3. Brief explanation of how the anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases by sources are to be reduced by the proposed JI project, including why the emission reductions would not occur in the absence of the proposed project, taking into account national and/or sectoral policies and circumstances</b></p>				
A.4.3	<p>Is it stated how anthropogenic GHG emission reductions are to be achieved? (This section should not exceed one page)</p>	<p>The project provides for the construction and expansion of gas distribution systems (GDS) of Kyiv. According to the baseline scenario, heat-generating units of end consumers will continue running at solid and liquid fuel and electricity. Such energy resources are characterized by high factor of greenhouse gas emissions in the stationary combustion. The project implementation will promote the transition from solid, liquid fuels to more sustainable fuel - natural gas, which will lead to significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.</p>	OK	OK





## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		Increase in energy efficiency of heat-generating units after gasification will promote decrease in energy consumption, leading to greenhouse gas emission reductions.		
A.4.3	Is it provided the estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period?	<p>The estimation of emission reductions over the crediting period is provided in Section A.4.3.1. of the PDD.</p> <p><b>CAR 15.</b> Table 2, PDD Section A.4.3, indicates the length of the crediting period is 5 years while the estimated annual emission reductions are provided for only 1 year (2007). Please make corresponding amendments.</p> <p><b>CAR 16.</b> The total amount of annual emissions for the period of 2013-2020 is calculated incorrectly. Please make the relevant corrections in Table 4, PDD Section A.4.3.</p> <p><b>CAR 17.</b> Please provide the average estimated GHG emission reductions in Table 4, PDD Section A.4.3, in tCO<sub>2</sub> equivalent.</p>	<p><b>CAR 15</b></p> <p><b>CAR 16</b></p> <p><b>CAR 17</b></p>	<p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p>
A.4.3	Is it provided the estimated annual reduction for the chosen credit period in tCO <sub>2</sub> e?	<p>The estimated annual reduction for the first commitment period in tCO<sub>2</sub>e is provided, as well as the estimated annual reduction for the period before and after the first commitment period within the project.</p> <p>Reference to <b>CAR 17</b>.</p>	Pending	OK
A.4.3	Are the data from questions above	Information for the credit period and after the credit	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	presented in tabular format?	period is presented in tabular format. See PDD (Version 02) Tables 2, 3 and 4, Section A.4.3.1.		
<b>A.4.3.1. Estimated amount of emission reductions over the crediting period</b>				
A.4.3.1	Is the length of the crediting period Indicated?	The length of the crediting period is indicated in the PDD Section A.4.3.1. and Section C.	OK	OK
A.4.3.1	Are estimates of total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent provided?	Total as well as annual and average annual emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent are provided in accordance with the calculated values in the tables of Section A of PDD and the Supporting Documents. Refer to <b>CAR 17</b> .	OK	OK
<b>Project approvals by Parties</b>				
19	Have the DFPs of all Parties listed as "Parties involved" in the PDD provided written project approvals?	<b>CAR 18</b> . The project has no approval of the Host Party and the investing country. To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment Agency of Ukraine that includes this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information. A Letter of Approval of Switzerland as the investing country is not obtained at the current stage of the Project either. <b>CAR 18</b> will be closed after the Letter of Approval is issued by the Host Party.	<b>CAR 18</b>	Pending
19	Does the PDD identify at least the host	The Host Party involved is Ukraine.	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	Party as a "Party involved"?			
19	Has the DFP of the host Party issued a written project approval?	Reference to <b>CAR 18</b> .	<b>CAR 18</b>	Pending
20	Are all the written project approvals by Parties involved unconditional?	Reference to <b>CAR 18</b> .	<b>CAR 18</b>	Pending
<b>Authorization of project participants by Parties involved</b>				
21	Is each of the legal entities listed as project participants in the PDD authorized by a Party involved, which is also listed in the PDD, through: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- A written project approval by a Party involved, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity? or</li> <li>- Any other form of project participant authorization in writing, explicitly indicating the name of the legal entity?</li> </ul>	<p>Party involved 1: Ukraine (the host Party), legal entity is PJSC "Kyivgas".</p> <p>Party involved 2: Switzerland, legal entity is VEMA S.A. The project participants will be authorized in accordance with the relevant project approvals.</p> <p>Pending <b>CAR 18</b></p>	<b>CAR 18</b>	Pending
<b>Baseline setting</b>				
22	Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used for identifying the baseline? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- JI specific approach</li> <li>- Approved CDM methodology approach</li> </ul>	<p>The chosen baseline is described in Section A.1 and Section B.1 of the PDD. A specific JI approach is used for setting the baseline.</p> <p><b>CAR 19</b>. Please indicate in PDD the full title of ACM0009 methodology whose elements were used for setting the baseline.</p>	<b>CAR 19</b>	OK
<b>JI specific approach only</b>				



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
23	Does the PDD provide a detailed theoretical description in a complete and transparent manner?	<p>The choice of the applicable baseline for the project is justified; detailed theoretical description is provided in section B.1 of PDD version 03.</p> <p><b>CAR 20.</b> Section B.1 indicates that baseline was justified using the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 02, whereas the newest version of the document is Version 03. Please use the newest versions of CDM/JI documents.</p>	<b>CAR 20</b>	OK
23	<p>Does the PDD provide justification that the baseline is established:</p> <p>(a) By listing and describing plausible future scenarios on the basis of conservative assumptions and selecting the most plausible one?</p> <p>(b) Taking into account relevant national and/or sectoral policies and circumstance?</p> <p>- Are key factors that affect a baseline taken into account?</p> <p>(c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, data sources and key factors?</p> <p>(c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of approaches, assumptions, methodologies, parameters, data sources and key factors?</p>	<p>The PDD provides detailed, full and transparent description and justification that the baseline is established by:</p> <p>(a) Identifying plausible future scenarios and choosing the most plausible one. As a result of evaluation of several alternatives the most plausible of them have been identified and will be used as a baseline:</p> <p>- Alternative 1.1: Continuation of existing practice, without the JI project.</p> <p>- Alternative 1.2: The project activities without the use of the Joint Implementation mechanism.</p> <p>(b) Taking into account key factors such as for example technological rules of the sector, Ukrainian environmental legislation and other national legislation, and key relevant factors, such as the ability of financing of construction and reconstruction of gas distribution</p>	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<p>(e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure?</p> <p>(f) By drawing on the list of standard variables contained in appendix B to "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", as appropriate?</p>	<p>system, tariffs for gas supply, availability of local technologies and methods of the project, skills and experience of implementing similar projects</p> <p>(c) In a transparent manner with regard to the choice of JI approach and assumptions, parameters, data sources and key factors for identifying initial conditions listed in tabular format in Section B.1.</p> <p>(d) Taking into account of uncertainties and using conservative assumptions</p> <p>(e) In such a way that ERUs cannot be earned for decreases in activity levels outside the project or due to force majeure</p> <p>(f) By drawing on the list of standard variables. The baseline is set; the description is given in Section B of the PDD.</p>		
24	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools for baseline setting are used, are the selected elements or combinations together with the elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 23 above?	<p>The baseline assumptions of the developed JI specific approach are clearly described in full in Section B.1 of the PDD version 02.</p> <p><b>CAR 21.</b> Please add correct description of parameters <math>NCV_{NG,y}</math> and <math>NCV_{FF,y}</math> through the PDD.</p> <p><b>CAR 22.</b> The value of carbon oxidation factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion for 2009 is incorrect. Please provide make the relevant corrections.</p>	<p><b>CAR 21</b></p> <p><b>CAR 22</b></p> <p><b>CAR 23</b></p> <p><b>CAR 24</b></p> <p><b>CAR 25</b></p> <p><b>CAR 26</b></p> <p><b>CAR 27</b></p>	<p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p> <p>OK</p>



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		<p><b>CAR 23.</b> Data units for parameter <math>EF_{C,FF,y}</math> in Section B.1 are incorrect. Please make the relevant corrections.</p> <p><b>CAR 24.</b> The table containing a summary of key elements in Annex 2 lacks the information on the source of data for the parameter “efficiency rate of stationary combustion of coal or fuel oil at consumer <i>i</i> place”. Please add relevant information to Annex 2.</p> <p><b>CAR 25.</b> Please add information on the source of data for the parameter “net calorific value of natural gas” to Annex 2.</p> <p><b>CAR 26.</b> Some designations of parameters and data do not correspond to the list of standard variables presented in Annex B of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring". Please make corresponding corrections of Section B of PDD.</p> <p><b>CAR 27.</b> Please provide the explanation of 44/12 coefficient in formulae.</p>		
25	If a multi-project emission factor is used, does the PDD provide appropriate justification?	When setting baseline the following factors are used: CO <sub>2</sub> emission factor in the course of fossil FF-type fuel combustion (FF-type fuel means coal, fuel oil). Source of data (to be) used "National inventory report of	OK	OK





## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010"		
<b>CDM methodology approach only</b>				
<b>Additionality</b>				
<b>JI specific approach only</b>				
28	<p>Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches for demonstrating additionality is used?</p> <p>(a) Provision of traceable and transparent information showing the baseline was identified on the basis of conservative assumptions, that the project scenario is not part of the identified baseline scenario and that the project will lead to emission reductions or enhancements of removals</p> <p>(b) Provision of traceable and transparent information that an AIE has already positively determined that a comparable project (to be) implemented under comparable circumstances has additionality</p> <p>(c) Application of the most recent version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality. (allowing for a two-month grace period) or any other method for proving additionality approved</p>	<p>The PDD indicates that the project scenario is not a part of the established baseline scenario. It is also stated that the project will lead to emission reductions. Additionality of the project activity is demonstrated and assessed below using the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality" (Version 06.0.0).</p> <p><b>CAR 28.</b> The value of the discount rate specified in Section B.2 does not comply with the value provided in Supporting Document 2. Please make the relevant corrections.</p> <p><b>CAR 29.</b> In the section devoted to demonstrating additionality the developer states that the Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Versdion 05, was used, whereas the newest version of the document is Version 06.0.0. Please use the newest versions of CDM/JI documents.</p>	<p><b>CAR 28</b></p> <p><b>CAR 29</b></p>	<p>OK</p> <p>OK</p>



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	by the CDM Executive Board”.			
29 (a)	Does the PDD provide a justification of the applicability of the approach with a clear and transparent description?	Detailed analysis described in Sections A.4.3, B.1 and B.2, shows that emissions of the baseline scenario are likely to exceed emissions of the project scenario due to the implementation of project activities.	OK	OK
29 (b)	Are additionality proofs provided?	Yes. Refer to Section B.2. of the PDD.	OK	OK
29 (c)	Is the additionality demonstrated appropriately as a result?	The fact that the project activity itself is not the baseline scenario is clearly demonstrated in Sections A.2, B.1, B.2 of the PDD.  <b>CL 05.</b> Please specify whether there are any mandatory government programs or policy binding to carry out manatory gasification in Kyiv.	<b>CL 05</b>	OK
30	If the approach 28 (c) is chosen, are all explanations, descriptions and analyses made in accordance with the selected tool or method?	All explanations, descriptions and analyses are made in accordance with the newest version of the "Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality". (Version 06.0.0)	OK	OK
<b>Approved CDM methodology approach only_ Paragraphs 31(a) – 31(e)_ Not applicable</b>				
<b>Project boundary (applicable except for JI LULUCF projects)</b>				
<b>JI specific approach only</b>				
32 (a)	Does the project boundary defined in the PDD encompass all anthropogenic	The project boundary defined in the PDD encompasses all anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs that		



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<p>emissions by sources of GHGs that are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Under the control of the project participants?</li> <li>(ii) Reasonably attributable to the project?</li> <li>(iii) Significant?</li> </ul>	<p>are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(i) Under the control of the project participants, such as:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from fossil fuel combustion in heat-generating units due to the use of the old energy supply system by the consumers</li> <li>- CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from natural gas combustion in heat-generating units due to use of the new energy supply system by the consumers</li> </ul> </li> <li>(ii) Reasonably attributable to the project, such as:                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- CO<sub>2</sub> leaks due to combustion of natural gas by gas turbine units in the course of transportation of natural gas to end consumers</li> <li>- CH<sub>4</sub> leaks in the course of gas transportation by gas transportation networks</li> </ul> </li> <li>(iii) Significant, i.e., as a rule of thumb, would by each source account on average per year over the crediting period for more than 1 per cent of the annual average anthropogenic emissions by sources of GHGs, or exceed an amount of 2000 tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent, whichever is lower.</li> </ul>		



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
32 (b)	Is the project boundary defined on the basis of a case-by-case assessment with regard to the criteria referred to in 32 (a) above?	Project boundary is defined on the basis of case-by-case assessment of different emission sources.	OK	OK
32 (c)	Are the delineation of the project boundary and the gases and sources included appropriately described and justified in the PDD by using a figure or flow chart if it is possible?	The project boundary is presented in a tabular form and are understandable enough so that there is no need of graphic presentation.	OK	OK
32 (d)	Are all gases and sources included explicitly stated, and the exclusions of any sources related to the baseline or the project are appropriately justified?	All gases and sources included are explicitly stated. See Section B of PDD version 02.	OK	OK
<b>Approved CDM methodology approach only_Paragraph 33_ Not applicable</b>				
<b>Crediting period</b>				
34 (a)	Does the PDD state the starting date of the project as the date on which the implementation or construction or real action of the project will begin or began?	According to the Guidelines for users of JI PDD form (version 04) the starting date of the project is the date on which the implementation or construction or real action of the project begins. The starting date of the project is 15/08/2006, which is the date when PJSC "Kyivgas" started to implement measures on gas distribution system expansion in Kyiv within the framework of the Joint Implementation	<b>CAR 30</b>	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
		Project. <b>CAR 30.</b> The starting date of the project implementation is not specified in Section C.1. Please add relevant information to Section C.1.		
34 (a)	Is the starting date after 2000?	The starting date is after 2000.	OK	OK
34 (b)	Does the PDD state the expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months?	The expected operational lifetime of the project in years and months is 13 years, or 156 months, from 01/01/2007 to 31/12/2020.	OK	OK
34 (c)	Does the PDD state the length of the crediting period in years and months?	The length of the crediting period is stated in Section C.3. <b>CAR 31.</b> PDD Section C.3 provides the incorrect number of months in the crediting period. Please make the relevant corrections.	<b>CAR 31</b>	OK
34 (c)	Is the starting date of the crediting period before or after the date of the first emission reductions or enhancements of net removals generated by the project?	The starting date of the crediting period is 01/01/2007, which is the date when the first emission reductions are expected to be generated.	OK	OK
34 (d)	Does the PDD state that the crediting period for issuance of ERUs starts only after the beginning of 2008 and does not extend beyond the operational lifetime of the project?	Generation of ERUs relates to the first commitment period of 5 years (January 1, 2008 – December 31, 2012).	OK	OK
34 (d)	If the crediting period extends beyond	The PDD states that the prolongation of the crediting	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<p>2012, does the PDD state that the extension is subject to the host Party approval?</p> <p>Are the estimates of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012?</p>	<p>period beyond 2012 is subject to approval of the host party and estimation of emission reductions of enhancements of net removals is presented separately for those until 2012 and those after 2012 in the relevant sections of PDD.</p> <p>If after the first commitment period under the Kyoto protocol it is prolonged, the crediting period under the project will be prolonged by 8 years/60 months until December 31, 2020.</p>		
<b>Monitoring Plan</b>				
35	<p>Does the PDD explicitly indicate which of the following approaches is used?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- JI specific approach</li> <li>- Approved CDM methodology approach</li> </ul>	<p>The proposed project uses a JI specific approach based on the JI requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, version 03.</p>	OK	OK
<b>JI specific approach only</b>				
36 (a)	<p>Does the monitoring plan describe:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- All relevant factors and key characteristics subject to monitoring?</li> <li>- The period in which they will be monitored?</li> <li>- All critical factors for the control and reporting of project performance?</li> </ul>	<p>The monitoring plan specifies all decisive factors for the control and reporting on project performance: quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) procedures; operational and management structures that will be applied when implementing the monitoring plan.</p> <p><b>CAR 32.</b> Please provide the information on the source of data for all of the parameters indicated in Annex 3.</p>	<b>CAR 32</b>	OK





## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
36 (b)	Does the monitoring plan specify the indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancements of net removals to be monitored?	<p>The monitoring plan specifies indicators, constants and variables used that are reliable, valid and provide transparent picture of the emission reductions or enhancement of net removals to be monitored. Data to be monitored are presented in section D of the PDD version 02.</p> <p><b>CL 06.</b> Please provide the information on how the data necessary for determination will be stored after the last transfer of ERUs under the project.</p> <p><b>CAR 33.</b> Please provide references to the source of data for determination of the total natural gas volume transited via the territory of Ukraine in Annex 3.</p>	<p><b>CL 06</b> <b>CAR 33</b></p>	<p>OK OK</p>
36 (b)	<p>If default values are used:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Are accuracy and reasonableness carefully balanced in their selection?</li> <li>- Do the default values originate from recognized sources?</li> <li>- Are the default values supported by statistical analyses providing reasonable confidence levels?</li> <li>- Are the default values presented in a transparent manner?</li> </ul>	Default values are provided in the table of Annex 3 to the PDD. They originate from recognized sources and are presented in a transparent manner.	OK	OK
36 (b) (i)	For those values that are to be provided by the project participants, does the	The monitoring plan clearly indicates how the values are to be selected and justified.	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	monitoring plan clearly indicate how the values are to be selected and justified?			
36 (b) (ii)	For other values, – Does the monitoring plan clearly indicate the precise references from which these values are taken? – Is the conservativeness of the values provided justified?	<b>CAR 34.</b> Please, number all formulae in Section D of the PDD.  <b>CAR 35.</b> Please provide all the values of emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent in the PDD.	<b>CAR 34</b> <b>CAR 35</b>	OK OK
36 (b) (iii)	For all data sources, does the monitoring plan specify the procedures to be followed if expected data are unavailable?	Refer to section D of the PDD.  <b>CAR 36.</b> Please add information regarding collecting and archiving of data in Section D.1.1.	<b>CAR 36</b>	OK
36 (b) (iv)	Are International System Units (IS units) used?	IS units are used for certain parameters.	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Does the monitoring plan note any parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. that are used to calculate baseline emissions or net removals but are obtained through monitoring?	Relevant data necessary for determining the baseline of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases within the project boundary is presented in table D.1.1.3 of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (b) (v)	Is the use of parameters, coefficients, variables, etc. consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan?	The use of parameters, coefficients and variables are consistent between the baseline and monitoring plan.	OK	OK
36 (c)	Does the monitoring plan draw on the list	The monitoring plan is established taking into account	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	of standard variables contained in appendix B of "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring"?	the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring", Version 03.		
36 (d)	Does the monitoring plan explicitly and clearly distinguish: (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination? (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not yet available at the stage of determination? (iii) Data and parameters that are monitored throughout the crediting period?	The monitoring plan clearly distinguishes three types of data and parameters. Refer to Section D.1. of the PDD. (i) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), and that are available already at the stage of determination. (ii) Data and parameters that are not monitored throughout the crediting period, but are determined only once (and thus remain fixed throughout the crediting period), but that are not yet available at the stage of determination. (iii) Data and parameters that are subject to monitoring throughout the crediting period. For more details on monitoring data, refer to PDD Section D.1.	OK	OK
36 (e)	Does the monitoring plan describe the methods employed for data monitoring (including its frequency) and recording?	In tables of parameters provided in section D.1.1.1. of the PDD the time of monitoring (frequency) and the source of data to be used, as well as recording method are indicated for all the monitored parameters and data.	OK	OK
36 (f)	Does the monitoring plan elaborate all algorithms and formulae used for the	All algorithms and formulae used for the estimation of baseline and project emissions are indicated and	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	estimation/calculation of baseline emissions/removals and project emissions/removals or direct monitoring of emission reductions from the project, leakage, as appropriate?	explained in the PDD. The description of formulae is provided in Section D.1.4. of the PDD		
36 (f) (i)	Is the underlying rationale for the algorithms/formulae explained?	Refer to section 36 (f) of this table.	OK	OK
36 (f) (ii)	Are consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. used?	Consistent variables, equation formats, subscripts etc. are used.	OK	OK
36 (f) (iii)	Are all equations numbered?	See <b>CAR 34.</b>	OK	OK
36 (f) (iv)	Are all variables with units indicated defined?	Yes. Refer to section D of the PDD.	OK	OK
36 (f) (v)	Is the conservativeness of the algorithms/procedures justified?	Yes, algorithms/procedures comply with state norms and are conservative.	OK	OK
36 (f) (v)	To the extent possible, are methods to quantitatively account for uncertainty in key parameters included?	Uncertainty in parameters used is low taking into account the algorithms of data monitoring.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vi)	Is consistency between the elaboration of the baseline scenario and the procedure for calculating the emissions or net removals of the baseline ensured?	There is consistency between the elaboration on the baseline scenario and procedure for calculating the baseline emissions in the monitoring plan and in tables.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are any parts of the algorithms or formulae	The formulae used in the PDD are sufficiently	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	that are not self-evident explained?	described.		
36 (f) (vii)	Is it justified that the procedure is consistent with standard technical procedures in the relevant sector?	Monitoring under the project does not require changes in existing accounting and data collection system existing at PJSC "Kyivgas".	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are references provided as necessary?	<b>CAR 37.</b> Please add references to corresponding rules and regulatory documents of the Host Party.	<b>CAR 37</b>	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Are implicit and explicit key assumptions explained in a transparent manner?	All key assumptions are explained in a transparent manner.	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is it clearly stated which assumptions and procedures have significant uncertainty associated with them, and how such uncertainty is to be addressed?	N/A	OK	OK
36 (f) (vii)	Is the uncertainty of key parameters described and, where possible, is an uncertainty range at 95% confidence level for key parameters for the calculation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals provided?	Meters are subject to a regular calibration according to the quality control procedures and the law of Ukraine "On metrology and metrological activity". Thus, the issue of uncertainty range and confidence interval is irrelevant for such measurements.	OK	OK
36 (g)	Does the monitoring plan identify a national or international monitoring standard if such standard has to be and/or is applied to certain aspects of the project?  Does the monitoring plan provide a reference as to where a detailed description of the standard can be found?	The monitoring plan was set according to national norms and standards.	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
36 (h)	Does the monitoring plan document statistical techniques, if used for monitoring, and that they are used in a conservative manner?	Yes	OK	OK
36 (i)	Does the monitoring plan present the quality assurance and control procedures for the monitoring process, including, as appropriate, information on calibration and on how records on data and/or method validity and accuracy are kept and made available upon request?	Inspection (calibration) of meters is carried out in accordance with manuals of the manufacturer, approved methodologies on inspection/calibration of meters as well as according to the national standards of Ukraine.	OK	OK
36 (j)	Does the monitoring plan clearly identify the responsibilities and the authority regarding the monitoring activities?	Detailed operational and management structures are given in Section D.3 to the PDD.  <b>CL 07.</b> Please provide information concerning the entities that determined the monitoring plan in Section D.4.	<b>CL 07</b>	OK
36 (k)	Does the monitoring plan, on the whole, reflect good monitoring practices appropriate to the project type?  If it is a JI LULUCF project, is the good practice guidance developed by IPCC applied?	Monitoring under the project does not require changes in existing accounting system and data collection procedure.	OK	OK
36 (l)	Does the monitoring plan provide, in	Tables D.1.1.1 and D.1.1.3 provide compilation of all	OK	OK





## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	tabular form, a complete compilation of the data that need to be collected for its application, including data that are measured or sampled and data that are collected from other sources but not including data that are calculated with equations?	data needed to monitor project and baseline emissions.		
36 (m)	Does the monitoring plan indicate that the data monitored and required for verification are to be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs for the project?	Data to be monitored and required for determination will be kept for two years after the last transfer of ERUs under the project.	OK	OK
37	If selected elements or combinations of approved CDM methodologies or methodological tools are used for establishing the monitoring plan, are the selected elements or combination, together with elements supplementary developed by the project participants in line with 36 above?	Yes, selected elements of approved CDM methodology are used for setting the baseline scenario. The selected elements and combinations with additional elements that were additionally developed by the project participants are in line with requirements of paragraph 36 above.	OK	OK
<b>Approved CDM methodology approach only Paragraphs 38(a) – 38(d) Not applicable</b>				
<b>Applicable to both JI specific approach and approved CDM methodology approach</b>				
39	If the monitoring plan indicates overlapping monitoring periods during the crediting period:  (a) Is the underlying project composed of	No periods to overlap during the crediting period are expected.	OK	OK



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<p>clearly identifiable components for which emission reductions or enhancements of removals can be calculated independently?                      (b) Can monitoring be performed independently for each of these components (i.e. the data/parameters monitored for one component are not dependent on/effect data/parameters to be monitored for another component)?</p> <p>(c) Does the monitoring plan ensure that monitoring is performed for all components and that in these cases all the requirements of the JI guidelines and further guidance by the JISC regarding monitoring are met?</p> <p>(d) Does the monitoring plan explicitly provide for overlapping monitoring periods of clearly defined project components, justify its need and state how the conditions mentioned in (a)-(c) are met?</p>			
<b>Leakage</b>				
<b>JI specific approach only</b>				
40 (a)	Does the PDD appropriately describe an	According to the approved methodology ACM0009	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	assessment of the potential leakage of the project and appropriately explain which sources of leakage are to be calculated and which can be neglected?	used in the project along with JI specific approach, there are potential sources of leakage due to the project activities. 1. GHG leaks due to combustion of gas fuel by gas turbine units in the course of transportation of natural gas to end consumers 2. GHG leaks in the course of gas transportation by gas transportation networks		
40 (b)	Does the PDD provide a procedure for an ex ante estimate of leakage?	The PDD points to existence of leakage calculated in Section D 1.3.2.	OK	OK
<b>Approved CDM methodology approach only_Paragraph 41_Not applicable</b>				
<b>Estimation of emission reductions or enhancements of net removals</b>				
42	Does the PDD indicate which of the following approaches it chooses? (a) Assessment of emissions or net removals in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario (b) Direct assessment of emission reductions	In the PDD the approach of assessment of emissions in the baseline scenario and in the project scenario is indicated.  <b>CAR 38.</b> Please number the tables in PDD Section E. <b>CAR 39.</b> Please correct invalid references to Supporting Documents in Section E.	<b>CAR 38</b> <b>CAR 39</b>	OK OK
43	If the approach (a) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable?	PDD provides estimates of: (a) Emissions in the project scenario (Section E.1) (b) Leakage (Section E.2) (c) Emissions in the baseline scenario (Section E.4) (d) Emission reductions adjusted by leakage (Section E.6).	OK	OK



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	(c) Emissions or net removals for the baseline scenario (within the project boundary)? (d) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?			
44	If the approach (b) in 42 is chosen, does the PDD provide ex ante estimates of: (a) Emissions or net removals for the project scenario (within the project boundary)? (b) Leakage, as applicable? (c) Emission reductions or enhancements of net removals adjusted by leakage?	N/A	N/A	N/A
45	For both approaches in 42 (a) Are the estimates in 43 or 44 given: (i) On a periodic basis? (ii) At least from the beginning until the end of the crediting period? (iii) On a source-by-source/sink-by-sink basis?  (iv) For each GHG? (v) In tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent, using global warming potentials defined by	(a) Estimates in 43 are given on the periodic basis, in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent, on a source-by-source basis, before, during and after the crediting period. (b) The formulae used in PDD are consistent. (c) Key factors influencing baseline emissions and activity level of the project and risks associated with the project are taken into account, as appropriate. (d) Data sources used to calculate the estimates are clearly identified, reliable and transparent. (e) Default values are taken from identified sources. (f) Estimation in 43 is based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenario in a	OK	OK



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	<p>decision 2/CP.3 or as subsequently revised in accordance with Article 5 of the Kyoto Protocol?</p> <p>(b) Are the formulae used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 consistent throughout the PDD?</p> <p>(c) For calculating estimates in 43 or 44, are key factors influencing the baseline emissions or removals and the activity level of the project and the emissions or net removals as well as risks associated with the project taken into account, as appropriate?</p> <p>(d) Are data sources used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 clearly identified, reliable and transparent?</p> <p>(e) Are emission factors (including default emission factors) if used for calculating the estimates in 43 or 44 selected by carefully balancing accuracy and reasonableness, and appropriately justified of the choice?</p> <p>(f) Is the estimation in 43 or 44 based on conservative assumptions and the most plausible scenarios in a transparent manner?</p> <p>(g) Are the estimates in 43 or 44</p>	<p>transparent manner.</p> <p>(g) Estimates in 43 are consistent throughout the PDD.</p> <p>(h) The annual average of estimated emission reductions are calculated correctly (by dividing the total estimated emission reductions over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve).</p>		



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	consistent throughout the PDD? (h) Is the annual average of estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals calculated by dividing the total estimated emission reductions or enhancements of net removals over the crediting period by the total months of the crediting period and multiplying by twelve?			
46	If the calculation of the baseline emissions or net removals is to be performed de facto, does the PDD include an illustrative forecasted emissions or net removals calculation?	Baseline emission level is calculated using the specific approach employing elements of approved ACM0009 methodology. Forecasted emissions calculation is clearly provided in the PDD.	OK	OK
<b>Approved CDM methodology approach only_Paragraphs 47(a) – 47(b)_Not applicable</b>				
<b>Environmental impacts</b>				
48 (a)	Does the PDD list and attach documentation on the analysis of the environmental impacts of the project, including transboundary impacts, in accordance with procedures as determined by the host Party?	The environmental impacts of the project have been sufficiently described	OK	OK
48 (b)	If the analysis in 48 (a) indicates that the environmental impacts are considered significant by the project participants or the host Party, does the PDD provide	<b>CAR 40.</b> Please provide references to regulatory and legislative documents of Ukraine on assessment of the environmental impacts listed in Section F.1 and F.2 of the PDD.	<b>CAR 40</b> <b>CL 08</b>	OK OK



DETERMINATION REPORT

Guidelines for Users of the JI PDD form or DVM Paragraph	Check Item	Initial finding	Project participants' actions review	Final Conclusion
	conclusion and all references to Supporting Documentation of an environmental impact assessment undertaken in accordance with the procedures as required by the host Party?	<b>CL 08.</b> Please provide clarifications on whether the environmental impact assessment necessary for this type of project activities according to the legislation of the host party.		
<b>Stakeholder consultations</b>				
49	If stakeholder consultation was undertaken in accordance with the procedure as required by the host Party, does the PDD provide: (a) A list of stakeholders from whom comments on the projects have been received, if any? (b) The nature of the comments?  (c) A description on whether and how the comments have been addressed?	In pursuance of requirements of Article 18 of Law of Ukraine "On territory planning and development" and Article 11 of Law of Ukraine "On environmental impact assessment", PJSC "Kyivgas" publishes information in mass media on implementation of planned activities. All the comments received concerning project implementation were positive. No negative comments were received.	OK	OK
<b>Determination regarding small-scale projects (additional elements for assessment)</b>				
<b>Determination regarding land use, land-use change and forestry projects (additional/alternative elements for assessment)</b>				
<b>Determination regarding programmes of activities (additional/alternative elements for assessment)</b>				





## DETERMINATION REPORT

TABLE 2 RESOLUTION OF CORRECTIVE ACTION AND CLARIFICATION REQUESTS

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CAR 01.</b> Please specify the Sectoral scope.	A.1	Sectoral scope: Sector 3 – Energy demand. The necessary information is added to the PDD version 02.	Information on sectoral scope is provided in Section A.1.
<b>CAR 02.</b> Please provide more detailed information about the situation existing prior to the project in Section A.2.	A.2	The main type of activity of PJSC "Kyivgas" is natural gas distribution, transportation and supply. PJSC "Kyivgas" serves the Ukraine's capital, supplying natural gas to 2 million consumers, including individuals, more than 300 industrial enterprises and 1,300 public utilities. Information on the situation existing prior to the project is provided in Section A.2 of the PDD version 02.	Information on project history is provided in Section A.2 of the PDD version 02. The issue is closed.
<b>CAR 03.</b> Please provide the starting date of the project in format dd/mm/yy.	A.2	15/08/2006 – PJSC «Kyivgas» started activities on gas distribution network expansion in Kyiv city within the framework of the Joint Implementation Project.	Information is provided in relevant format, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 04.</b> Please provide Annex 1 tables in accordance with the "Guidelines for users of the JI PDD form" (version 04).		Tables in relevant format are presented in the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 05.</b> Please provide detailed information about facilities included in the project and the details of their physical location.	A.4.1.4	Project facilities, namely gas distribution systems and their components, are located throughout the Donetsk region, which is indicated in Section A.2 and	The information is provided, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		A.4.2. of the PDD. The detailed information is provided in Supporting Documents of the PDD.	
<b>CAR 06.</b> Please provide information on the manufacturer of flexible gas pipes to be implemented under the project.	A.4.2	Flexible gas hoses to be implemented under the project are manufactured by Dong-A Flexible Metal Tubes Co., Ltd (Korea). Relevant information is provided in Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 02.	The information is provided in Section A.4.2, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 07.</b> Please provide links to EFAWA gas fittings manufacturer's website.	A.4.2	Relevant links to EFAWA gas fittings manufacturer's website were provided in Section A.4.2.	Links to the manufacturer's web-site are provided. The issue is closed.
<b>CAR 08.</b> Please provide the explanation of AGMS acronym in Section A.4.2.	A.4.2	AGMS means automated gas metering system. Relevant explanation is added to the PDD version 02.	The information is provided in corresponding PDD section, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 09.</b> Please provide the project schedule in tabular form with indication of start dates and end dates for each activity and stage.	A.4.2	The project schedule with implementation milestones and dates specified is provided in the PDD version 02.	Information is verified, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 10.</b> Please provide the explanation to Figure 10.	A.4.2	Figure 10 depicts pipelines on the map of Kyiv city as seen in GIS software. Relevant information is provided in the PDD version 02.	Relevant clarifications to the Figure are provided, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 11.</b> Please provide the translation of the explanation to Figure 7, presented in PDD Section A.4.2, into the Ukrainian language.	A.4.2	Translation of the explanation to Figure 7 into the Ukrainian language is provided: Appearance of gas fittings (ELGEF Plus Ball Valve produced by Georg Fischer Wavin Ltd), that are planned for installation in the framework of the project «Reduction of greenhouse gases	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		emissions by gasification of Kyiv city"	
<b>CAR 12.</b> Please provide information on the length of the project pipeline.	A.4.2	Information on the length of the project pipeline is provided in Supporting Documents to the PDD.	The information is provided, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 13.</b> The project provides for the installation of cathodic protection plants, which is indicated in Section A.4.2 of the PDD. Please provide photos of this equipment.	A.4.2	Photos of cathode protection plants are provided in Section A.4.2. See Figure 8.	Photos are provided, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 14.</b> Figure 8, PDD Section A.4.2, depicts the principal scheme of cathode protection. Please provide explanation of its elements.	A.4.2	Explanation on the elements of cathode protection depicted in the scheme is provided in Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 02.	The explanation is accepted, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 15.</b> Table 2, PDD Section A.4.3, indicates the length of the crediting period is 5 years while the estimated annual emission reductions are provided for only 1 year (2007). Please make corresponding amendments.	A.4.3	The period preceding the first commitment period is 1 year (2007). Relevant corrections are made in Table 2, Section A.4.3 of the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 16.</b> The total amount of annual emissions for the period of 2013-2020 is calculated incorrectly. Please make the relevant corrections in Table 4, PDD Section A.4.3	A.4.3	Relevant corrections are made in Table 4, Section A.4.3 of the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 17.</b> Please provide the average estimated GHG emission reductions in Table 4, PDD Section A.4.3, in t CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent.	A.4.3	Relevant corrections are made in Table 4, Section A.4.3 of the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 18.</b> The project has no approval of the Host Party and the investing country.	19	The project is implemented as a bilateral JI project. The host country is Ukraine, and the buying country is Switzerland.  To obtain the Letter of Approval the final Determination report must be submitted to the State Environmental Investment	Issue will be closed after the Letter of Approval is issued by the Host Party.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		<p>Agency of Ukraine that includes this Determination Protocol and the list of sources of Reference Information.</p> <p>A Letter of Approval of Switzerland as the investing country is not obtained at the current stage of the Project either.</p>	
<p><b>CAR 19.</b> Please indicate in PDD the full title of ACM0009 methodology whose elements were used for setting the baseline.</p>	22	<p>The title of the approved methodology ACM0009 «Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas - Version 3.2» elements of which were used in baseline setting. Relevant information is provided in Section B of the PDD.</p>	<p>The information is provided, the issue is closed.</p>
<p><b>CAR 20.</b> Section B.1 indicates that baseline was justified using the Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 02, whereas the newest version of the document is Version 03. Please use the newest versions of CDM/JI documents.</p>	23	<p>A specific approach based on the Joint Implementation requirements in accordance with paragraph 9 (a) of the JI Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring, Version 03 was used for baseline setting and justification. Relevant corrections were made in the PDD version 02.</p>	<p>Changes are made, the issue is closed.</p>
<p><b>CAR 21.</b> Please add correct description of parameters <math>NCV_{NG,y}</math> and <math>NCV_{FF,y}</math> through the PDD.</p>	24	<p><math>NCV_{FF,y}</math> - net calorific value of fossil fuel type "F" (fuel type "FF" is coal, fuel oil), GJ/thst</p> <p><math>NCV_{NG,y}</math> - net calorific value of natural gas, GJ/thsm<sup>3</sup>.</p>	<p>Changes are made, the issue is closed.</p>



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CAR 22.</b> The value of carbon oxidation factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion for 2009 is incorrect. Please provide make the relevant corrections.	24	The value of carbon oxidation factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion for 2009 is 0.98 for coal and 0.99 for fuel oil, relative units. The data are sourced from the National inventory report of anthropogenic emissions by sources and removals by sinks of greenhouse gases in Ukraine for 1990-2010.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 23.</b> Data units for parameter $EF_{C,FF,y}$ in Section B.1 are incorrect. Please make the relevant corrections.	24	$EF_{C,FF,y}$ - carbon emission factor for FF-type fossil fuel combustion (t C/TJ).	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 24.</b> The table containing a summary of key elements in Annex 2 lacks the information on the source of data for the parameter "efficiency rate of stationary combustion of coal or fuel oil at consumer $i$ place". Please add relevant information to Annex 2.	24	The data source for $\eta_{BL,i}$ parameter is the approved consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology ACM0009 "Consolidated baseline and monitoring methodology for fuel switching from coal or petroleum fuel to natural gas". The information on the efficiency of stationary coal or fuel oil combustion at consumer $i$ place was added to Annex 2 of the PDD version 02.	Information is verified, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 25.</b> Please add information on the source of data for the parameter "net calorific value of natural gas" to Annex 2.	24	The data source for $NCV_{NG,y}$ is the "National inventory report of anthropogenic greenhouse gas emissions by sources and removals by sinks in Ukraine for 1990-2010". Information on	The information is provided, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		the source of data for the parameter "net calorific value of natural gas" was added to Annex 2 of the PDD version 02.	
<b>CAR 26.</b> Some designations of parameters and data do not correspond to the list of standard variables presented in Annex B of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring". Please make corresponding corrections of Section B of PDD.	24	The relevant corrections were made in accordance with the list of standard variables presented in Annex B of the "Guidance on criteria for baseline setting and monitoring".	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 27.</b> Please provide the explanation of 44/12 coefficient in formulae.	24	44/12 is stoichiometric ratio between the molecular weight of carbon dioxide and carbon (t CO <sub>2</sub> /t C).	Relevant clarifications are provided, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 28.</b> The value of the discount rate specified in Section B.2 does not comply with the value provided in Supporting Document 2. Please make the relevant corrections.	28	Relevant changes were made in the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 29.</b> In the section devoted to demonstrating additionality the developer states that the Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality, Versdion 05, was used, whereas the newest version of the document is Version 06.0.0. Please use the newest versions of CDM/JI documents.	28	The additionality of the project activity is demonstrated and assessed using the Tool for the demonstration and assessment of additionality (Version 06.0.0).	Additionality of the project was demonstrated using the newest version of the document. The issue is closed.
<b>CAR 30.</b> The starting date of the project implementation is not specified in Section C.1. Please add relevant information to Section C.1.	34(a)	The starting date of the project is deemed to be 15/08/2006 when PJSC "Kyivgas" started to implement measures on gas distribution system expansion in Kyiv within the framework of the Joint	Changes are made, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		Implementation Project. The date is specified in Sections A.2 and C.1 of the PDD Version 03.	
<b>CAR 31.</b> PDD Section C.3 provides the incorrect number of months in the crediting period. Please make the relevant corrections.	34(c)	The duration of the crediting period in months is 156 months. Relevant information was added to the PDD version 02.	The duration of the crediting period in months is specified in PDD Section C.3. The issue is closed.
<b>CAR 32.</b> Please provide the information on the source of data for all of the parameters indicated in Annex 3.	36(a)та	Annex 3 provides data sources for all of the parameters and monitoring data.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 33.</b> Please provide references to the source of data for determination of the total natural gas volume transited via the territory of Ukraine in Annex 3	36(b)	Relevant references are provided in Annex 3 to the PDD version 02.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 34.</b> Please, number all formulae in Section D of the PDD.	36 (b) (ii)	Numeration of formulae in Section D of the PDD version 02 was verified. Relevant changes were made in the PDD version 02.	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 35.</b> Please provide all the values of emission reductions in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent in the PDD.	36 (b) (ii)	The values for emission reductions were given in tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> equivalent throughout the PDD.	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 36.</b> Please add information regarding collecting and archiving of data in Section D.1.1.	36 (b) (iii)	In Sections D.1.1.1. and D.1.1.3., ways of data collection and the form of archivation are specified.	The information is provided, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 37.</b> Please check the numbering of tables in Section E of the PDD and make corresponding corrections.	36 (f) (vii)	References are provided to the following documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Law of Ukraine No.1264-XII "On environmental protection" dated 25/06/1991</li> <li>• Law of Ukraine No.2707-XII "On</li> </ul>	References are verified, the issue is closed.





## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		atmospheric air protection" dated 16/10/1992 • Current rules for emission restriction: «Norms of maximum permissible emissions of pollutants from permanent sources» – approved by the Ministry of Environmental Protection of Ukraine dated 27/06/2006, No.309 and registered in the Ministry of Justice of Ukraine dated 01/09/2006, No.912/12786.	
<b>CAR 38.</b> Please number the tables in PDD Section E.	42	Tables in Section E of the PDD version 02 were numbered.	Tables are numbered, the issue is closed
<b>CAR 39.</b> Please correct invalid references to Supporting Documents in Section E.	42	Incorrect references to Supporting Documents in Section E were corrected.	Changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CAR 40.</b> Please provide references to regulatory and legislative documents of Ukraine on assessment of the environmental impacts listed in Section F.1 and F.2 of the PDD.	48(b)	In Sections F.1. and F.2. references to the following documents are provided: (i) Law of Ukraine "On atmospheric air protection" (ii) Law of Ukraine "On environmental protection" (iii) SSR -96 "Planning and development of human settlements".	References are verified, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 01.</b> Please provide the information on maximum number of consumers AGMS is capable of servicing.	A.4.2	The automatic gas monitoring system is designed to service one or several buildings with up to 512 consumers per building.	Relevant clarifications are provided, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
<b>CL 02.</b> Please verify the links to AGMS equipment manufacturers' web-sites.	A.4.2	References are verified. Relevant changes are made.	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 03.</b> Please provide the explanation of controlled horizontal drilling method in Section A.4.2.	A.4.2	Controlled horizontal drilling is a method of making horizontal wells with design parameters, continuous monitoring of the process and adjustment of the drilling route in the course of its construction with the further locking of metal and plastic pipes as well as electric cables with bypass of underground obstacles. For detailed description see Section A.4.2 of the PDD version 02.	Information is verified, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 04.</b> Please provide the information on the purpose of the geographic information system (GIS).	A.4.2	GIS will allow PJSC "Kyivgas" to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- register the presence, location and characteristics of the gas network state (Figure 10);</li> <li>- perform a quick search and navigate with the map;</li> <li>- carry out information and algorithmic support for the preparation of technical conditions for connection and coordination of projects;</li> <li>- analyze and display network status when connecting / disconnecting users, routine maintenance and repair works;</li> <li>- select the optimal diameter of pipes in the course of designing new parts of the network.</li> </ul>	The information is satisfactory, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 05.</b> Please specify whether there are any mandatory government programs or policy binding to carry out mandatory gasification in Kyiv.	29 (c)	There are no programmes or policies to bind PJSC "Kyivgas" to carry out	The explanation is satisfactory, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		gasification of cities in the city; there are no legislative restrictions of the baseline scenario either. The detailed information was provided in Section B.2.	
<b>CL 06.</b> Please provide the information on how the data necessary for determination will be stored after the last transfer of ERUs under the project	36 (b)	Data to be monitored and required for determination and subsequent verification will be archived and stored at PJSC "Kyivgas" for two years after the transfer of emission reduction units generated by the project.	The explanation is accepted, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 07.</b> Please provide information concerning the entities that determined the monitoring plan in Section D.4.	36 (j)	Section D.4 indicates VEMA S.A. and PJSC "Kyivgas" established the monitoring plan. Contact information of the project participants is provided in Annex 1.	Relevant changes are made, the issue is closed.
<b>CL 08.</b> Please provide clarifications on whether the environmental impact assessment necessary for this type of project activities according to the legislation of the host party.	48(b)	According to the Ukrainian legislation, the projects of new gas distribution networks must include the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) that meets basic requirements stated in the State Building Norms of Ukraine A.2.2-1-2003, "Structure and content of environmental impact assessment (EIA) in the process of design and construction of plants, buildings and structures". PJSC "Kyivgas" has the necessary EIA for all the gas distribution network projects in accordance with the legislation of Ukraine. EIA of the projects is developed by subcontracting project-	Relevant clarifications are provided, the issue is closed.



## DETERMINATION REPORT

Draft report clarifications and corrective action requests by determination team	Ref. to checklist question in table 1	Summary of project participants' responses	Determination team conclusion
		assembling organizations and is provided in the sections of reconstruction project document of PJSC « Kyivgas».	