JI Verification



AIE REPORT FORM									
	(version 04)								
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)									
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS									
JI activity									
Ref	ere	ence number and title of JI activity	0046: Sudenai and Lendimai Wind Power Joint Implementation Project						
Par	tie	s involved in the JI activity	Lithuania Sweden						
Pro	jec	et participants	Sudenu vejo elektra, UAB (Previously UAB Vejo Elektra). Revised MoC and requests for PP change are attached). Nordic Environment Finance Corporation (NEFCO)						
Cod	ord	inating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.						
Тур	e c	of JI activity: 🗆 large-scale 🛛 🛛 small-	scale 🗆 L	ULUCF	🗆 PoA				
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals									
Мо	nito	oring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01	I/10/2012-31/ [,]	12/2012				
sou	irce	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by es/enhancements of anthropogenic removals ks (tonnes of CO_2 eq.)	5,032						
		resent verification is the first with regard to activity	□ ¥€	es	⊠ No				
to JI	١y	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A						
Applicable to JI	PoA only	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A						
lqqA		Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
		Statements regarding requirem	ents and po	ssible char	nges				
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> : Yes No									
Ver	ific	ation opinion							
Ple doc	ase :un	e provide a verification opinion (or a reference nents attached), including a statement regardi dance with the Standard for applying the conc	ng materiality a	and level of a	ssurance, in				
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 5th monitoring period verification of "Sudenai and Lendimai wind power park joint implementation project", which applies the project specific methodology.									
	The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on he criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.								

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) a desk review of the project design, baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of Sudenu vejo elektra, UAB is responsible for the preparation of the GHG emission data and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring Plan revision 1.0 (dated 15/07/2010). The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 1 (dated 04/03/2013) for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions, or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 01/10/2012 to 31/12/2012							
Baseline emissions:	CO2 equivalents;						
Project emissions: 0 t CO2		2 equivalents;					
Emission Reductions:	CO2 equivalents.						
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination h been deemed final	□ Yes		⊠ No				
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project and express a determination opinion (or pro reference to an attached document containi required information)	⊠ N/A						
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat conc defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are s	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the c do not alter the original determination opini	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:							
(a) Physical location of the project has not cha	anged	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(b) If emission sources have changed, they ar reflected in an updated monitoring plan	e	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(C) Baseline scenario has not changed		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific ap or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the curr monitoring period	□ Yes		⊠ No				
If yes, please specify for which technologies and me under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was rev (applicable to JI PoA only)							
The current <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the orig monitoring plan without changing conformi the relevant rules and regulations for establ monitoring plans	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No				

List of documents attached to the verification report form								
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly								
Verification report								
Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable. Note: LoA's were provided during determination process.								
	Other relevant documents:							
		Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicate	ble					
	Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the origina determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met							
	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable							
	Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan withou changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans							
	Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)							
	Any other documents (please list):							
Excel spreadsheet Monitoring data Sudenai-Lendimai 2012								
	Revised Letter of Modalities (Letter of Modalities.pdf)							
	Re	Requests for PP change (Request_Vejo elektra.pdf, Request_Suc	lenu Vejo Elektra.pdf)					
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE								
Operational manager signing for the AIE		nal manager signing for the AIE Witold Dzugan	<u> </u>					
Date a	nd s	signature 2013-03-22	Ing					