_									
JI	Verification		F - JI - VRep						
(to b	(version 04) (to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)								
	edited independent entity (AIE) submitting form								
	JI activ	-							
Refer	ence number and title of JI activity	0137 - JI project aimed at N2O emissions reduction by installation of secondary catalyst inside ammonia oxidation reactors at 3 nitric acid production plants NA2, NA3 and NA4 of Azomures SA, company situated in Targu Mures, Romania							
Partie	es involved in the JI activity	Romania France							
Proje	ct participants	Azomures SA Vertis Environmental Finance Ltd							
Coor	dinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only)	N.A.							
Туре	of JI activity:   ⊠ large-scale     □ small	-scale 🛛 LULU	CF 🗆 PoA						
	Emission reductions/Enha	ancements of ren	novals						
Monit	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	17/12/2009 – 09/10/2011 LINE NA2: 24/07/2010 - 09/10/2011 LINE NA3: 14/04/2010 - 10/07/2011 LINE NA4: 17/12/2009 - 30/03/2011							
sourc	ed reductions in anthropogenic emissions by ces/enhancements of anthropogenic removals nks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	2474185							
	present verification is the first with regard to activity	□ Yes	⊠ No						
to JI	Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N/A							
Applicable to JI PoA onlv	Reference number of JPAs verified	⊠ N/A							
App F	Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	⊠ <b>N/A</b> ⊑	⊐ Yes   □ No						
	Statements regarding requiren	nents and possib	le changes						
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :									
Ø	⊠ Yes								
<u>No</u>									
Pleas docu	<u>cation opinion</u> se provide a verification opinion (or a reference ments attached), including a statement regard rdance with the Standard for applying the cond	ing materiality and le	evel of assurance, in						
Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 2nd periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "JI project aimed at N2O emissions reduction by installation of secondary catalyst inside ammonia oxidation reactors at 3 nitric acid production plants NA2, NA3 and NA4 of Azomures SA, company									

situated in Targu Mures, Romania", located in Romania which applies the AM0034 "Catalyst reduction of N2O inside the ammonia burner of nitric acid plants" v03. The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of S.C. AZOMURES S.A. is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 1.6 issued on 17/10/2010. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 6 dated 15/02/2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents.

Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

Reporting period: From 17/12/2009 to 09/10/2011

## Line NA2

From 24/07/2010 to 09/10/2011

Emission Reductions (2010): 317 558 t CO2 equivalents

Emission Reductions (2011): 564 071 t CO2 equivalents

## Line NA3

From 14/04/2010 to 10/07/2011

Emission Reductions (2010): 542 985 t CO2 equivalents

Emission Reductions (2011): 410 668 t CO2 equivalents

## Line NA4

From 17/12/2009 to 30/03/2011

Emission Reductions (2009): 24 478 t CO2 equivalents

Emission Reductions (2010): 472 856 t CO2 equivalents

Emission Reductions (2011): 141 569 t CO2 equivalents

Total Emission Reductions (2009): 24 478 t CO2 equivalents

Total Emission Reductions (2010): 1 333 399 t CO2 equivalents

Total Emission Reductions (2011): 1 116 308 t CO2 equivalents

## Total (17/12/2009 to 09/10/2011): 2 474 185 t CO2 equivalents.

The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes	⊠ No
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	⊠ <b>N/A</b>	

Date a	nd si	gnature	20.02.2012	thy				
Operational manager signing for the AIE			Witold Dzugan	<u>.</u>				
		rewith declares that undertaking the verif postitute a conflict of interest which is inc						
	Mor	hitoring report (version 6, dated 15/02/2012)						
		Any other documents (please list):						
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)						
Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan withou changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans.								
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable						
	<ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>							
-	<ul> <li>Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> </ul>							
		er relevant documents:						
		ten approvals by the Parties involved, if a	applicable					
Please	attac	Iments attached to the verification report in relevant documents used in the verificatio ion report		ark below ac	cordingly			
improv inform monito the rel monito	ve the ation oring evant oring		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
under e	ach ty	specify for which technologies and measures pe of JPA the monitoring plan was revised JI PoA only)						
The <u>monitoring plan was revised</u> in the current monitoring period			□ Ye	s	⊠ No			
or	CDM	s are consistent with JI specific approach methodology upon which the nation was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
		d in an updated monitoring plan e scenario has not changed	⊠ <b>N/A</b>	□ Yes	□ No			
		ion sources have changed, they are	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
monito	oring	esign was revised in the current period, <u>please confirm</u> that: I location of the project has not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
monito do not	oring alter	esign was revised in the current period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes the original determination opinion	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met								