

VIE DEDODT EODW

(version 04)											
(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)											
Accre	dited independ	ent entity (AIE) sub	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS								
			JI activ	vity							
Refere	ence number ar	0220: YARA Köping S3 N2O abatement project in Sweden									
Partie	s involved in th	Sweden Netherlands									
Projec	ct participants	YARA AB N.serve Environmental Services GmbH									
Coord	linating entity (applicable to JI Po	N.A.								
Type of JI activity: ⊠ large-scale □ small-				scale	🗆 LUI	_UCF	□ PoA				
		Emission reduct	ions/Enha	ıncemen	its of r	emovals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)				04/01/2012-16/09/2012							
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)				268686							
	resent verificat activity	□ Yes		No ⊠							
to JI y	Verification pe	eriod (DD/MM/YYYY-D	DD/MM/YYYY)	⊠ N	/A						
Applicable to JI PoA only	Reference nun	nber of JPAs verifie	ed	⊠ N	/A						
Appl	Sampling app	roach used to verify	the PoA	⊠ N	/A	□ Yes	□ No				
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes											
furthe	r relevant requ	all requirements of a irements defined by the JI guidelines are	y the CMP o					uant			
_	Yes										
Please	nents attached)	ification opinion (or), including a stater	nent regardi	ng materi							

accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

Bureau Veritas Certification has performed the 3nd periodic verification of the JI Track II Project "YARA" KÖPING S3 N2O ABATEMENT PROJECT IN SWEDEN", which applies the methodology AM0034 version 03.4.

The verification was performed on the basis of UNFCCC criteria and the host country criteria and also on the criteria given to provide for consistent project operations, monitoring and reporting.

The verification consisted of the following three phases: i) desk review of the project design and the

baseline and monitoring plan; ii) follow-up interviews with project stakeholders; iii) resolution of outstanding issues and the issuance of the final verification report and opinion.

The management of YARA AB is responsible for the preparation of the data on GHG emission and the reported GHG emission reductions of the project on the basis set out within the project Monitoring and Verification Plan indicated in the final PDD version 8 issued on 02/09/2011. The development and maintenance of records and reporting procedures in accordance with that plan, including the calculation and determination of GHG emission reductions from the project, is the responsibility of the management of the project.

Bureau Veritas Certification verified the Project Monitoring Report version 01 dated 08 October 2012 for the reporting period as indicated below. Bureau Veritas Certification confirms that the project is implemented as planned and described in the approved project design documents. The installed equipment being essential for generating emission reduction runs reliably and is calibrated appropriately. The monitoring system is in place and the project is generating GHG emission reductions.

Bureau Veritas Certification can confirm that the GHG emission reduction is accurately calculated and is free of material errors, omissions or misstatements. Our opinion relates to the project's GHG emissions and resulting GHG emission reductions reported and related to the approved project baseline and monitoring, and its associated documents. Based on the information we have seen and evaluated, we confirm, with a reasonable level of assurance, the following statement:

confi	rm, with a reasor	nable level of assur	ance, the follow	ing statement:				
Repo	orting period:	From 04/01/2012	2 to 16/09/2012					
Emis	sion Reductions	(year 2012):	268,686	t CO2	equivalents			
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final				□ Yes		⊠ No		
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)				⊠ N/A				
moni	itoring period, <u>r</u>	s revised in the cu olease confirm tha nes (paragraph 33	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No			
moni	itoring period, <u>r</u>	s revised in the cu please confirm tha inal determination	t the changes	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
		s revised in the cu olease confirm tha						
(a) F	Physical location	of the project has r	not changed	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
` '		es have changed, to characted monitoring p	•	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) E	Baseline scenario	o has not changed		⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
Ì	or CDM methodo	nsistent with JI spec plogy upon which th as prepared for the	е	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period			□ Yes		⊠No			
undei		or which technologies of the monitoring plan v nly)						
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans				⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
		ttached to the ver						
Plead	Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly							

Verification report Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable Other relevant documents: Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met Revised monitoring plan, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only) Any other documents (please list): \boxtimes Monitoring Report version 01, dated 08/10/2012 Excel spreadsheet Excel spreadsheet 20110620 HistoricData-Baseline v10 Excel spreadsheet Excel spreadsheet S3 ERcalc VP3 PC4 v2 The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE Witold Dzugan Operational manager signing for the AIE Date and signature 2012-11-27