JI Verification



AIE REPORT FORM

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

		g •			
JI activity					
Reference number and title of JI activity	UA1000283, Reduction of methane emissions on the gas equipment of gas-distributing points and on the gas armature of gas-distributing networks of PJSC «Mariupolgaz »				
Parties involved in the JI activity	- Ukraine - Switzerland				
Project participants	 PJSC «Mariupolgaz » VEMA S.A. 				
Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) N/a					
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small-	scale 🛛 LULUCF	🗆 PoA			
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	01/03/2012 – 31/10/2012				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO ₂ eq.)	248 765				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes	☑ No			
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ N.A.				
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY) Reference number of JPAs verified Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N.A.				
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N.A. □ Y	′es □ No			
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes					
Please <u>confirm that all requirements</u> of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines <u>are met</u> :					
<u>Verification opinion</u> Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications					
The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver. 02, as of 15/11/2012					
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes	⊠ No			

and expre reference	ase <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design ass a determination opinion (or provide a to an attached document containing the nformation)	⊠ N.A.				
monitorin	design was revised in the current g period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No		
monitorin	design was revised in the current g period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes er the original determination opinion	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No		
	design was revised in the current g period, <u>please confirm t</u> hat:	☑ N.A.				
(a) Physic	cal location of the project has not changed	⊠ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No		
	ssion sources have changed, they are ted in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	🗆 No		
(c) Baseli	ne scenario has not changed	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	🗆 No		
or CD	ges are consistent with JI specific approach M methodology upon which the nination was prepared for the project	☑ N.A.	□ Yes	□ No		
The <u>monit</u> monitoring	<u>toring plan was revised</u> in the current g period		□Yes	☑ No		
under each	se specify for which technologies and measures type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised to JI PoA only)					
improve the information monitoring	nt <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> he accuracy and/or applicability of on collected, compared to the original g plan without changing conformity with nt rules and regulations for establishing g plans	□ Yes	□ No	⊠n.a.		
List of documents attached to the verification report form						
	ach relevant documents used in the verificatio	n process and m	ark below acc	ordingly		
	☑ Verification report					
_	ritten approvals by the Parties involved, if a	applicable				
☑ Other relevant documents:						
 Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met 						
	Revised monitoring plan, if applicable					
Determination that the revisions to the <u>monitoring plan</u> , if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans						
at gas equ	her documents (please list): Appendix A. Calc ipment of gas-distributing points (cabinet-type networks of PJSC «Mariupolgaz » for 6 mont	gas-distributing	points), on ga	s armature of gas-		
	erewith declares that undertaking the verif constitute a conflict of interest which is inc					
Operational manager signing for the AIE Sokolog Ivanue Verites Certification						
Date and signature 15/11/2012 Holding SAS				and the second se		

1