

## **AIE REPORT FORM**

(version 04)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)					
Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form	Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS				
JI acti	vity				
Reference number and title of JI activity	JI0078, "CMM utilisation on the Coal Mine № 22 "Kommunarskaya" of the State Holding Joint-Stock Company "GOAO Shakhtoupravlenye Donbass"				
Parties involved in the JI activity	Ukraine The Netherlands				
Project participants	PUBLIC JOINT STOCK COMPANY "COLLIERY GROUP "DONBAS" Carbon-TF B.V.				
Coordinating entity (applicable to Jl PoA only)	N/A				
Type of JI activity: ☑ large-scale □ small-scale □ LULUCF □ PoA					
Emission reductions/Enhancements of removals					
Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY)	toring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 16/03/2011 – 30/04/2012				
Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources/enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO <sub>2</sub> eq.)	235 397				
The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity	□ Yes ☑ No				
Serification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)	☑ <b>N/A</b>				
Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY-DD/MM/YYYY)  Reference number of JPAs verified	☑ N/A				
Sampling approach used to verify the PoA	☑ N/A □ Yes □ No				
Statements regarding requirements and possible changes					
Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:					
☑ Yes					
□ No					
Verification oninion					

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications

The veri	ification opinion is provided in the Verification I	Report №.UKRAIN	E-ver/0467/20	012, ver. 02.		
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final			□ Yes			
and exp	please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project designoress a determination opinion (or provide a ce to an attached document containing the d information)	☑ N/A				
monito	ct design was revised in the current ring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions I in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still me		□ Yes	□ No		
monito	ct design was revised in the current ring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the change alter the original determination opinion	es ☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
-	ct design was revised in the current ring period, please confirm that:					
(a) Phy	vsical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
	mission sources have changed, they are ected in an updated monitoring plan	<b>☑ N/A</b>	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Bas	seline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ <b>No</b>		
or C	anges are consistent with JI specific approach CDM methodology upon which the ermination was prepared for the project	⊠ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
	nitoring plan was revised in the current ring period	☑ Ye	☑ Yes □ No			
If yes, plo under ea	lease specify for which technologies and measures such type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised tole to JI PoA only)					
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans			☑ Yes	□ No		
List of o	documents attached to the verification repo	ort form				
Please attach relevant documents used in the verification process and mark below accordingly						
<b>☑</b> '	Verification report					
	□ Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable					
Ø (	☑ Other relevant documents:					
	□ Document listing all revisions to the proje	ect design, if applic	able			
	<ul> <li>Determination that the revisions to the <u>project design</u>, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> </ul>					
	☑ Revised monitoring plan version 6 of 11/06/2012					
	☑ Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with relevant rules and regulations for establishing monitoring plans (included in the Verification Report)					
	□ Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only)					
	□ Any other documents : Excel-file "ER-K22-2011-03-16_to_2012-04-30.V2"					

The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE				
Operational manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov			
Date and signature	17/09/2012			