

## **AIE REPORT FORM**

au Veritas Certification Holding SAS  200274 Revamping of sintering and furnace production at OJSC provsky Integrated Iron and Steel Works at after Dzerzhynsky»
-furnace production at OJSC provsky Integrated Iron and Steel Works ed after Dzerzhynsky»
-furnace production at OJSC provsky Integrated Iron and Steel Works ed after Dzerzhynsky»
no
n, n, Netherlands
"Dniprovsky Integrated Iron and Stee s named after Dzerzhynsky" tomo Corporation sa Carbono, S.L. ting Carbon Finance (SCF) — on behalf ain ting Carbon Finance (SCF) — on behalf a Netherlands sche Bank AG, London branch
33.174
□ LULUCF □ PoA
nents of removals
01/01/2012 – 31/03/2012
381 018
□ Yes ☑ No
☑ N/A
☑ N/A
☑ N/A □ Yes □ No
and possible changes
s t s t s t s t s t s t s t s t s t s t

Verification opinion Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications					
The verification opinion is provided in the ver/0500/2012 version 02 of 06/06/2012.	attached Verifica	ation Report	№UKRAINE		
The <u>project design was revised</u> in the current monitoring period, after the determination has been deemed final	□ Yes	☑ No			
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	☑ N/A		ing pasis kili ng gamiya hadi		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined in JI guidelines (paragraph 33) are still met	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
If project design was revised in the current monitoring period, <u>please confirm</u> that:					
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
The monitoring plan was revised in the current monitoring period	□ Yes ☑ No				
If yes, please specify for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)					
The current revisions to the monitoring plan improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for establishing	☑ N/A	□ Yes	□ No		
monitoring plane					

monitoring plans

List of	f doc	uments attached to the verification rep	ort form		
$   \overline{\mathbf{V}} $	Ver	Verification report			
	Writ	tten approvals by the Parties involved,	if applicable		
	Oth	Other relevant documents:			
		Document listing all revisions to the pro	ject design, if applicable		
			oroject design, if applicable, do not alter the origina litions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines		
		Revised monitoring plan, if applicable			
		and/or applicability of information collect	monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy ted, compared to the original monitoring plan with and regulations for establishing monitoring plans		
		Sampling plan, if applicable (JI PoA only	y)		
	Stee		Calculation of emission reductions for the proportion at OJSC "Dniprovsky Integrated Iron quarter of 2012" and Excel-file "Calculation"		
		erewith declares that undertaking the onstitute a conflict of interest which is	verification for the JI activity referred to ab incompatible with the role of an AIE		
Opera	tiona	Il manager signing for the AIE	Ivan Sokolov Bureau Vojin		
Date a	ınd s	ignature	06/06/2012 Certification		

TO THE POST OF THE