



# JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

(version 03.1)

(to be completed by an AIE requesting the publication of a determination pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines)

Accredited independent entity (AIE) submitting form Bureau Veritas Certification Holding SAS

## JI activity

Reference number and title of JI activity UA1000262 "Revamping of sintering and blast-furnace production at OJSC "Alchevsk Iron and Steel Works"

Coordinating entity (applicable to JI PoA only) N/A

Parties involved in the JI activity Ukraine,  
Japan,  
Spain,  
The Netherlands,  
The United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland

Type of JI activity:     large scale     small scale     LULUCF     PoA

## Emission reductions / Enhancements of removals

Monitoring period(s) (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) 01/01/2008 – 31/12/2009

Reference number of JPAs verified (applicable to JI PoA only)  N.A.

A sampling approach was used to verify the JI PoA (applicable to JI PoA only)  N.A.     Yes     No

Verification period (DD/MM/YYYY - DD/MM/YYYY) (applicable to JI PoA only)  N.A.

Verified reductions in anthropogenic emissions by sources / enhancements of anthropogenic removals by sinks (tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent) 3 719 114

The present verification is the first with regard to the JI activity  Yes     No

## Statements regarding requirements and possible changes

Please confirm that all requirements of Article 6 of the Kyoto Protocol, the JI guidelines and further relevant requirements defined by the CMP or the JISC with regard to verifications pursuant to paragraph 37 of the JI guidelines are met:

Yes

No

### Verification opinion

Please provide a verification opinion (or a reference to the verification opinion in one of the documents attached), including a statement regarding materiality and level of assurance, in accordance with the Standard for applying the concept of materiality in verifications:

The verification opinion is provided in the attached Verification Report, ver.03, of 16/06/2011.

The <u>project design</u> was revised after the determination has been deemed final	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If yes, please <u>list all revisions</u> to the project design and express a determination opinion (or provide a reference to an attached document containing the required information)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that the changes do not alter the original determination opinion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If project design was revised, <u>please confirm</u> that:		
(a) Physical location of the project has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(b) If emission sources have changed, they are reflected in an updated monitoring plan	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(c) Baseline scenario has not changed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
(d) Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or CDM methodology upon which the determination was prepared for the project	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
The <u>monitoring plan</u> was revised	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<i>If yes, please inform for which technologies and measures under each type of JPA the monitoring plan was revised (applicable to JI PoA only)</i>		
The <u>revisions to the monitoring plan</u> improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N.A.
<u>List of documents attached to the verification report form</u>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Verification report <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Written approvals by the Parties involved, if applicable <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other documents: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Document listing all revisions to the project design, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the project design, if applicable, do not alter the original determination opinion and that the conditions defined by paragraph 33 of the JI guidelines are still met</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Revised monitoring plan, if applicable</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Determination that the revisions to the monitoring plan, if applicable, improve the accuracy and/or applicability of information collected, compared to the original monitoring plan without changing conformity with the relevant rules and regulations for the establishment of monitoring plans</li> <li><input type="checkbox"/> Sampling plan, if applicable (<i>JI PoA only</i>)</li> <li><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Any other documents: Calculation of emission reductions provided in Excel files (2008-2009)</li> </ul>		
The AIE herewith declares that undertaking the verification for the JI activity referred to above does not constitute a conflict of interest which is incompatible with the role of an AIE		

Authorized officer signing for the AIE

Flavio Gomes

*Flavio Gomes*  
Bureau Veritas Certification  
Holding SAS

Date and signature

16/06/2011

# JI VERIFICATION REPORT FORM

Form No. 1

This form is to be used for the verification of the information provided by paragraph 7.1 of the AIE.

1. Name of the client: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

2. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

3. Description of the product or service: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

4. Name of the verification officer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

5. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

6. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

7. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

8. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

9. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

10. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

11. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

12. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

13. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

14. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

15. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

16. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

17. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

18. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

19. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

20. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

21. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

22. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

23. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

24. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

25. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

26. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011

27. Name of the manufacturer: Bureau Veritas Certification - 16/06/2011