

# Joint Implementation Roundtable

Revision of the JI guidelines

Wrap-up session V

Criteria for baseline setting, additionality and monitoring

Langer Eugen

Bonn Germany, 9 August 2012



## Break-out session V: Criteria for baseline setting, additionality and monitoring

---

Main issues discussed:

- Each paragraph considered in the Appendix
- Need for more coherence between all three sections, particularly baseline setting and additionality
- Missing references to standardized approaches, automatic additionality, sector and programmatic approaches
- Meaning of additionality for JI
- Monitoring plans change after registration and during implementation



## Break-out session V: Criteria for baseline setting, additionality and monitoring

---

Action points / Proposals:

- **General**
  - a) Increase coherence between baseline setting and additionality sections.
  - b) Implement editorial changes
  
- **Baseline setting:**
  - a) Update of the baseline to be linked to the length of commitment period and take into account different sectors and project types
  - b) Need for mandatory guidance from governing body for common baselines by host Parties
  - c) Add discounting approach to baseline setting section.



## Break-out session V: Criteria for baseline setting, additionality and monitoring

---

- **Additionality:**

- a) Take a deeper consideration on what is additionality in the context of JI.
- b) Elaborate on different options for automatic additionality.
- c) Clarify additionality requirements for project specific, programmatic and sectoral JI.

- **Monitoring:**

- a) Add language and provisions allowing revisions of the monitoring plan, to be subject to verification.
  - b) Delete or move definitions (leakage, project boundary) from monitoring section.
  - c) Need for monitoring provisions for sectoral and programmatic JI.
  - d) Monitoring should be consistent with methodology applied (e.g project emissions are not always calculated)
- 

