



Changes to projects during implementation JISC roundtable

14/06/2010

Flavio Gomes



Move Forward with Confidence

**BUREAU
VERITAS**

Bureau Veritas Certification



- ▶ Certification arm of Bureau Veritas Group
- ▶ Accredited Independent Entity since 18/01/2009
- ▶ Engaged in 55 determinations and 11 verifications

Background



- ▶ Developing further guidance regarding changes during project implementation is a priority area for JISC.
- ▶ The design of a JI project may change during the course of its implementation, compared to the approved PDD.
- ▶ JI guidelines already address changes to a project's monitoring plan, but not to the project design.

Proposed procedure to be discussed during JISC 22



- ▶ Changes shall be justified.
- ▶ The PP shall prepare a detailed description of the all changes, which shall be made publicly available.
- ▶ The AIE shall list all revisions to the project design and express a determination opinion.
 - Physical location has not changed.
 - Emissions sources and baseline scenario has not changed.
 - Changes are consistent with JI specific approach or the CDM methodology used.
 - The changes do not alter the original determination opinion for the project.
- ▶ A positive determination opinion shall be a condition for a positive verification opinion.

CDM – EB 48 – Annex 66



- ▶ DOE shall identify and inform of any concerns related to the conformity of the actual project activity and its operation with the registered PDD.
- ▶ If changes do not raise concerns regarding additionality, scale and methodology applicability, the DOE shall submit a notification of changes.
- ▶ If changes do raise concerns regarding additionality, scale and methodology applicability, the DOE shall notify and seek guidance from the EB.

Wind power project verification – a practical example



▶ Corrective Action Request:

- Another wind power park was connected to the transformer station in December 2009 and the main commercial meter T-101 is used now to account the amount of power produced and consumed jointly for 2 wind power parks. Please, describe power monitoring changes in the monitoring report and related procedures.

▶ PP's response

- The amount of power produced and consumed by each wind power park is calculated and divided by special algorithm set in the Electric Energy Purchase-Sales Agreement
- Procedures have been revised accordingly.

Wind power project verification – a practical example (cont.)



► Determination Team conclusion:

- The amount of power delivered to grid by second power park was verified additionally in view of algorithm set in the Electric Energy Purchase-Sales Agreement and found acceptable. Procedures have been adjusted properly.
- CAR is closed, however, to ensure completeness of the monitoring requirements a FAR is issued: Revise monitoring plan and submit it for the determination by the accredited independent entity until the next verification.

What happens next



- ▶ Final procedure to be adopted by the JISC
- ▶ Approach to be adopted in Track 1 projects
 - Should issued ERUs exceed what was determined in the approved PDD?



Move Forward with Confidence