



MANAGING RISK

DNV

Experiences and issues regarding current JI PDD forms



UNFCCC Technical Workshop on JI, 9 September 2008 - Bonn

Ole Andreas Flagstad, DNV

Different paths to approach JI

- Based on CDM methodology
 - OK. Fully based on CDM-documentation
- Based on CDM methodology with deviation
 - Proposal: incorporate **new template/annexe** to highlight deviation
- JI approach (track 2)
 - Proposal: incorporate **new template/annexe** to clarify and describe the new project specific approach/methodology and the use of it. Draw on experience from "Proposed new baseline and monitoring methodologies (CDM-NM)". This should help JISC use the flexibility given in a transparent way.
- JI approach (track 1)
 - Proposal: incorporate **new template/annexe** to clarify and describe the new project specific approach/methodology and the use of it. Also to clarify references to national regulations and national requirements.

Comparison of PDD forms (CDM-JI)

- Determination experience shows potential improvements especially in
 - Baseline determination
 - Monitoring
- Improvements would benefit actors through the process (esp. IE and PP) as well as it helps streamline the process as such.
- Existing JI form based on outdated CDM form.
 - Existing CDM template clarifies whether a value is fixed ex ante or ex post
 - Avoid the increase of requests for review as seen with CDM (by quickly establishing clear additionality requirements)
- Proposal:
 - **Revise JI PDD template.** Take into consideration experience and updates from CDM as well as from JI-actors.

- Complex mechanisms require complex language for explicit descriptions
- Simplifications should not reduce clarity.
- If simplifications improves clarity it should be introduced



MANAGING RISK

www.dnv.com
